## PERPLE_X TUTORIALS

This set of 13 tutorials has been prepared to teach students how to use Perple_X to make different types of phase diagrams. This is the practical part of a 30 hours advanced course on "Petrologic phase diagrams: principles and computations" periodically offered to PhD students by Daniele Castelli and Chiara Groppo (Dept. of Earth Sciences, University of Torino, Italy).

All the calculations have been performed with Perple_X version 6.8.6 (released on January 24, 2019). Each tutorial consists of a pdf file with the commented dialogs and a folder containing all the files produced during calculation. The perplex_option.dat file used for each calculation is provided in the corresponding folders.

The exercises should be done sequentially, following the order indicated by the numbers. Most of the prompts are, in fact, explained only the first time they appear and comments are not repeated later.

Topics addressed in the 13 exercises are as follows:

## Understanding the differences between projections, chemographies and pseudosections

## Ex 1: P-T projection for the $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{5}$ system

This exercise explains how to calculate a P-T projection for the very simple, twocomponents system $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (quartz in excess), showing phase relations among the three alumino-silicate polymorphs. The influence of different thermodynamic databases on the position of the And-Ky-Sil triple point is also explored.

Ex 2: P-T projection for the CMSH system (no solid solutions)
This exercise explains how to calculate a P-T projection for the very simple CMSH system; the grid shows all the possible equilibria for this system in the P-T range 200$1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5-20 \mathrm{kbar}$.

Ex 3: Composition diagrams (chemographies) for the CMSH system
This exercise explains how to calculate composition diagrams (i.e. chemographies) for the P-T projection of Ex. 2, at specified P-T conditions.
Ex 4: P-T pseudosection for the CMSH system (no solid solutions)
This exercise explains how to calculate a very simple pseudosection, not involving solid solutions. Combined with Ex. 2 and Ex. 3, this exercise is useful to understand the difference between P-T projections and P-T pseudosections.

Ex 5: T-X(CO $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ) projections and pseudosections for the $\mathrm{CMS}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ system (no solid solutions)
This exercise investigates prograde metamorphism of impure dolomites and limestones characterized by different amounts of Cal, Dol and Qtz. A constant pressure of 1 kbar, typical of shallow level contact aureoles, is considered. This exercise is useful to understand the difference between $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ projections and $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ pseudosections and allows you to become familiar with the use of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ fluids.
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## Working with solid solutions

## Ex 6: $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{XMg}$ section for the $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}-\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$ system (solvus relations
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This exercise explains how to calculate solvus relations in the system $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}-\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$. In this system two miscibility gaps exist, and the phases on either side of the gaps are calcite/dolomite, and dolomite/magnesite, respectively. The amounts of $\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$ in calcite in equilibrium with dolomite, and that of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ in magnesite in equilibrium with dolomite, change as a function of temperature, and can be used as geothermometers. The effect of pressure on the Cal-Dol and Dol-Mag solvi is investigated by calculating the same phase diagram at different pressures.

Ex 7: P-T projection for the $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}-\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$ system using solid solutions
This exercise explains how to calculate a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{T}$ projection for the $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}-\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$ system, using solid solutions (rather than end members only). Combined with Ex. 6, this exercise provides the opportunity for understanding the relationships between isobaric T-X sections and P-T projections.
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## Working with solid solutions in a more complex system

## Ex 8: P-T pseudosection for a METAPELITE in the KFMASH system

This exercise explains how to calculate a P -T pseudosection for a metapelite sample in the simplified KFMASH system, using SOLID SOLUTIONS. The influence of other components ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CaO}$ and MnO ) will be considered in the next exercise (Ex. 9). At the end, some PRACTICAL TIPS FOR REDRAWING the PerpleX outputs are given.
The second part of this exercise explains how to calculate ISOMODES and compositional ISOPLETHS.

Ex 9: P-T pseudosection for a METAPELITE in the MnNKCFMASH system
This exercise is intended to explore the influence of some minor components $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, CaO and MnO ) on the topology of the pseudosection calculated for the metapelite sample investigated in Ex. 8.
Ex 10: T-XMg pseudosection for a METAPELITE in the MnNKCFMASH system
This exercise is intended to explore the influence of bulk $\mathrm{XMg}[\mathrm{MgO} /(\mathrm{MgO}+\mathrm{FeO})]$ on the stability fields of the main mineral assemblages, for the same metapelite sample investigated in Ex. 8 and 9. The exercise provides the opportunity to calculate an isobaric T-X pseudosection (i.e. a phase diagram section with a compositional parameter on the horizontal axis).

Ex 11: DIRECT modelling of an anatectic METAPELITE (NKCFMASH system)
This exercise deals with the "DIRECT" modelling of a metapelite sample at suprasolidus conditions (i.e. melt-bearing). "Direct modelling" means that you know which is the protolith composition, including its initial $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ amount (which is generally not the case).

Ex 12: INDIRECT modelling of an anatectic METAPELITE (NKCFMASH system)
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This exercise explains the strategy for modelling a REAL SAMPLE OF ANATECTIC METAPELITE, i.e. a metapelite whose protolith is unknown, and that has lost some melt during prograde metamorphism.

## Working with fugacities and a C-O-H-S fluid

Ex 13: $\log f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ vs $\log f\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ diagram for the system C -FeO
This exercise explains how to calculate a $\log f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ vs. $\log f\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ pseudosection showing the stability fields of Fe-oxides, Fe-sulphides and graphite as a function of $f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ and $f\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$. The exercise is useful to understand how to redefine the thermodynamic components of a database (CTRANSF) and how to deal with fugacities as independent variables.

## Ex. 1

## Ex. 1 - P-T projection for the $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{SiO}_{5}$ system

This exercise explains how to calculate a P-T diagram for the very simple, twocomponents system $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (quartz in excess), showing phase relations among the three alumino-silicate polymorphs.

The influence of different thermodynamic databases on the position of the And-Ky-Sil triple point is also explored.

## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>build

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts
"default" is what Perple_X assumes if the user simply presses the enter key in response to a prompt.

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default = my_project]:
Here you can decide how to name your project.
Project names should not include blanks or "." characters, but they may include directory information. The project name can be up to 100 characters long, but because output files are named using project name plus various suffixes (e.g., .dat, .plt, .prt, .arf, .tof, .tab) it is unwise to specify names that are longer than 93 characters.
ex1
The problem definition file will be named: ex1.dat

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default = hp02ver.dat]:
The thermodynamic data file contains the basic thermodynamic data for all stoichiometric phases and/or species. Typically the files are named XXNNver.dat where XX indicates the authorship or source and NN is the year of the last revision. Sources and brief descriptions of commonly used files are at http://www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_thermodynamic_data_file.html.
The HP (Holland \& Powell) databases are the most used in metamorphic petrology. One of the most recent HP databases is hp62 (from the THERMOCALC version 6.2; Holland \& Powell, 2011). In this exercise we will use the hp02 (Holland \& Powell, 1998, revised 2002). We will then compare the results with that obtained using the more recent hp62 database.
hp02ver.dat

Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
Here you can press RETURN. The perplex_option.dat file specifies some computational parameters that Perple_X uses to make the calculations: it is better NOT to modify these parameters, at least at the beginning.

Reading computational options from: perplex_option.dat
The current data base components are:
NA2O MGO AL2O3 SIO2 K2O CAO TIO2 MNO FEO NIO ZRO2 CL2 O2 H2O CO2
Transform them $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?

## N

This is the lists of the CHEMICAL COMPONENTS considered in the chosen database.
This option would permit the user to redefine the database components, e.g., to create Fe2O3 from the components FeO and O 2 (i.e. $\mathrm{FE} 2 \mathrm{O} 3=2 \mathrm{FEO}+0.5 \mathrm{O} 2$ ).
Component transformations in BUILD are tedious, so if you are going to do many calculations with transformed components the program CTRANSF can be used to create a thermodynamic data file with transformed components.

Specify computational mode:
1 - Convex-Hull minimization
2 - Constrained minimization on a 2d grid [default]
3 - Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
4 - Output pseudocompound data
5-1-d Phase fractionation calculations
6 - 0-d Infiltration-reactionation-fractionation
7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with $>2$ independent variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables.
1
Use option 1 (Convex-Hull minimization) for PROJECTIONS (Schreinemakers-type diagrams) or CHEMOGRAPHIES (composition diagrams; phase diagrams with > $\mathbf{2}$ independent variables). Use options 2 or 3 (Constrained minimization on a 2d grid/1d grid) for PSEUDOSECTIONS (phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables).

Calculations with a saturated FLUID (Y/N)?
N
Fluid is not considered in this specific calculation, because reactions among alumina-silicates are solid-solid reactions.

Calculations with saturated components $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
SATURATED COMPONENTS are components whose chemical potentials are determined by the assumed stability of a pure phase consisting entirely of a saturated component, e.g., a system that contains so much silica that a silica polymorph (e.g., quartz or coesite) is stable at all conditions of interest can be specified here by selecting SiO2 as a saturated component.
NB. If more than one saturated component is specified, Perple_X applies the constraints sequentially, e.g., if Al 2 O 3 and SiO 2 are specified as the first and second components, then the excess phases might be corundum + andalusite, if the order is reversed then, at the same conditions, the stable phases would be quartz + andalusite. This sequence is referred to as the saturation hierarchy.
** warning ver015** if you select > 1 saturated component, then the order you enter the components determines the saturation heirarchy and may effect your results (see Connolly 1990).

Select < 6 saturated components from the set:
NA2O MGO AL2O3 SIO2 K2O CAO TIO2 MNO FEO NIO ZRO2 CL2 O2 H2O CO2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
SIO2
(NB. It is CASE-SENSITIVE!).

Use chemical potentials, activities or fugacities as independent variables ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
N
The answer would be $Y$ if you want to calculate a phase diagram with chemical potentials ( $\mu$ ), activities or fugacities on one (or on both) axes (e.g. T-logfO 2 phase diagrams).

Select thermodynamic components from the set:
NA2O MGO AL2O3 SIO2 K2O CAO TIO2 MNO FEO NIO ZRO2 CL2 O2 H2O CO2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
AL2O3
Here is where you specify the components for your system.
THERMODYNAMIC COMPONENTS are components whose chemical potentials are the dependent (implicit) variables of a phase diagram calculation. Phase diagram calculations require the specification of at least one thermodynamic component.

The data base has $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ as default independent potentials.
Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?

## N

The answer would be Y if you want to consider a geothermal gradient along an axes, rather than P or T .

Specify number of independent potential variables:
0 - Composition diagram [default]
1 - Mixed-variable diagram
2 - Sections and Schreinemakers-type diagrams
2
0 = chemografies (see Ex3);
1 = T-X or P-X diagrams (e.g. T-XMgO)
$2=$ classical phase diagram projections and sections
See the comment above.

Select x-axis variable:
1-P(bar)
2-T(K)
2

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$
473
1073
T is expressed in Kelvin

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$
1000
12000
$P$ is expressed in bar

Output a print file ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
Y

Exclude pure and/or endmember phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
N
Here you can specify if you want to exclude some phases from the calculation.

Include solution phases $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N
Solid solutions are not considered, because we are modelling reactions between end-member phases.

Enter calculation title:
ex1
This name appears at the top of the diagram.

After finishing with BUILD, a .dat file is generated within the Perple_X folder. This new file (named ex1.dat) is the input file for the following calculation steps.

## (2) Doing the calculation (CONVEX)

## Run CONVEX to make the calculation:

## C:\Perplex\Perplex686>convex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
ex1

This section summarizes where CONVEX reads the input data (thermodynamic database, input file generated with build etc.), and where CONVEX writes the results (print output, plot output).
Reading thermodynamic data from file: hp02ver.dat
Reading solution models from file: not requested
Writing print output to file: EX1.prn
Writing plot output to file: EX1.plt
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing complete reaction list to file: not requested
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple X version 6.8.5, source updated Dec 20, 2018.
Copyright (C) 1986-2018 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

This section summarizes all the parameters ("computational option settings") used in the calculation and specified in the perplex_option.dat file.
Perple_X computational option settings for CONVEX:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Auto-refine options:
auto_refine man off manual [auto]
Schreinemakers and Mixed-variable diagram options:


Solution subdivision options:
initial_resolution:
exploratory stage $0.0625 \quad 0->1[1 / 16], 0=>$ off
auto-refine stage $0.0208 \quad 0->1[1 / 48], 0=>$ off
stretch_factor $0.0020>0$ [2d-3]
subdivision_override off [off] lin str
hard_limits off [off] on
refine_endmembers F [F] T
pc_perturbation 0.0050 [5d-3]
Thermodynamic options:

```
solvus_tolerance aut [aut] or 0->1; aut = automatic, 0 => p=c pseudocompounds, 1 => homogenize
T_stop (K) 0.0 [0]
T_melt (K) 873.0 [873]
order_check on off [on]
approx_alpha T [T] F
Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T
speciation_factor 100. >10 [100] speciation precision = final resolution/speciation_factor
speciation_max_it 100 [100]
hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
hybrid_EOS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4,7
hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1,7
aq_bad_results err [err] 101, 102, 103, ignore
aq_lagged_speciation F [F]T
aq_ion_H+ T [T] F => use OH-
aq_oxide_components F [F] T
aq_solvent_solvus T [T] F
aq_vapor_epsilon 1.0 [1.]
Input/Output options:
dependent_potentials off off [on]
pause_on_error T [T] F
auto_exclude T [T] F
Worst case (Cartesian) compositional resolution (mol):
Exploratory stage: \(0.833 \mathrm{E}-2\)
Auto-refine stage: \(\quad 0.651 \mathrm{E}-3\)
Adapative minimization will be done with:
4 iterations in the exploratory stage
5 iterations in the autorefine stage
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
Summary of make-definition entities:
sil8L q8L
```


## This is the calculation.

```
** Starting auto_refine computational stage **
Testing divariant assemblage \(1, \quad 0\) assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 1) ky = and
finished with equilibrium ( 2 ) ky = sill
finished with equilibrium ( 3 ) and = sill
Testing divariant assemblage 2,0 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 3,0 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 4,0 assemblages remaining to be tested.
```

At the end, you have two new files in the Perple_X folder. An ex1.prn file, which is the text file with all the information about the calculation, and an ex1.plt file, that is the plot file.

## (3a) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSVDRAW)

Run PSVDRAW to plot the calculated phase diagram:
C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>psvdraw

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project or plot file name [i.e., without the .plt suffix]:
ex1

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $1.20 \quad$ [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:
0 [0] x-min (pts)
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{F}$
field_label $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
$0.180 \quad$ [0.18] y-scale (rel)
130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
replicate_label $0.250 \quad 0->1[0.025]$
splines $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
tenth_ticks F [F] T
text_scale $\quad 1.000$ [1.] (rel)
To change these options edit or create the plot option file See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex1.ps

Modify the default plot $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
N
If you replay $Y$ to this prompt, you can change the output, for example you can modify the minimum and maximum T or P along the axes etc. (see below).
At the end, you have a new file ex1.ps in the Perple_X folder. You can open this file with any graphical software (e.g. CorelDraw, Adobe Illustrator etc.) and also convert it in a pdf file.
ex1
Component saturation hierarchy: SIO 2
Reaction equations are written with the high $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ assemblage to the right of the $=$ sign


The alumino-silicate triple point is modelled at $507^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3.8$ kbar.
(3b) Modifying the default plotting of the calculated phase diagram (PSVDRAW)
E.g. we want to modify the axes numbering (intervals on $x$ axis $=100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; intervals on y axis $=\mathbf{2}$ kbar) and we want to assign a numeric label (rather than a text label) to the reaction curves.

## Run again PSVDRAW:

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>psvdraw

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project or plot file name [i.e., without the .plt suffix]:
ex1

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $\quad 1.20 \quad$ [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:
0 [0] x-min (pts)
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill T [T] F
field_label $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
field_label_scale $0.75 \quad$ [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
$0.180 \quad$ [0.18] $y$-scale (rel)
130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
replicate_label $0.250 \quad 0->1$ [0.025]
splines T [T] F
tenth_ticks F [F] T
text_scale $\quad 1.000$ [1.] (rel)
To change these options edit or create the plot option file See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex1.ps

Modify the default plot $(y / n)$ ?
y

Modify drafting options (y/n)?
answer yes to modify:

```
    -x-y plotting limits
    - axes numbering
y
```

Modify $x-y$ limits ( $y / n$ )?
Answer $Y$ if you want to modify the $T$ and/or $P$ range of the diagram.
n

Restrict phase fields by variance ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
answer yes to:

- suppress pseudounivariant curves and/or pseudoinvariant points of a specified true variance.

This question is only relevant for more complex calculations, involving solution models and therefore considering also pseudo-univariant curves (i.e. di-variant equilibria involving two pseudocompounds of the same phase as reactant and product). See Ex. 7.
n

Restrict phase fields by phase identities (y/n)?
answer yes to:

- show fields that contain a specific assemblage
- show fields that do not contain specified phases
- show fields that contain any of a set of specified phases
n

Modify default equilibrium labeling ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
answer yes to:

- modify/suppress [pseudo-] univariant curve labels
- suppress [pseudo-] invariant point labels
y

Suppress curve labels ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
n

Change default labeling of curve segments $(y / n)$ ?
y

Suppress labels of pseudounivariant curves $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
y

Enter minimum fraction of the axes length that a curve must be to receive a text label (0-1):
1 means that a text label will be assigned to those curves that are longer than the axes length.
1

Enter minimum fraction of the axes length that a curve must be to receive a numeric label ( $0-1.000$ ): 0 means that a numeric label will be assigned to all those curves that are shorter than the axes length.
0

Suppress point labels $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n

Modify default axes numbering ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
y

Enter the starting value and interval for major tick marks on the $X$-axis (current values are: 473. 160. ) Enter the new values:

473
100

Enter the starting value and interval for major tick marks on the Y -axis (current values are: $500.0 .390 \mathrm{E}+04$ ) Enter the new values:
1000
2000


Equilibria corresponding to each reaction number are explained in the ex1.prn file.

## (4) Using a different thermodynamic database

In order to test the influence of different thermodynamic databases on the position of the And-Ky-Sil triple point, you can edit the input file previously created using BUILD.

Open the ex1.dat input file and change its name in ex1_hp62.dat

The thermodynamic database is specified in the first line.
Change hp02ver.data in hp62ver.dat.
hp62ver.dat thermodynamic data file
The most recent databases such as hp62ver.dat, define thermodynamic components with both upper and lower case characters (e.g. SiO2, Al2O3 etc.), opposite to the old databases, in which thermodynamic components were defined with upper case characters only (e.g. SIO2, AL2O3 etc.).
In the input file, change the thermodynamic components accordingly.
begin thermodynamic component list
Al2O3 $00.00000 \quad 0.00000 \quad 0.00000$ unconstrained amount
end thermodynamic component list
begin saturated component list
SiO2 00.000000 .000000 .00000 unconstrained amount
end saturated component list

Run again CONVEX and PSVDRAW and compare the result with that previously obtained.


The alumino-silicate triple point is now modelled at $550^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4.4 \mathrm{kbar}$.
The change in the position of the triple point dates back to the 2004 revision of the HP database. In the 2002 version (hp02ver.dat) the aluminosilicate triple point is at 3.8 kbar and $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, consistent with Holdaway's (1971) estimate; in the 2004 revision (and in the following versions, such as hp62ver.dat) the properties of the aluminosilicates have been adjusted to place the triple point at 4.4 kbar and $550{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to satisfy a petrological argument of Pattison (1992).

## Ex. 2

## Ex. 2 - P-T projection for the CMSH system (no solid solutions)

This exercise explains how to calculate a P-T projection for the very simple CMSH system; the grid will show all the possible equilibria for this system in the P-T range $200-1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 0.5-20 kbar.

## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>build

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default = my_project]:
Ex2
The problem definition file will be named: ex2.dat

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default = hp02ver.dat]:
The HP (Holland \& Powell) databases are the most used in metamorphic petrology. One of the most recent HP databases is hp62 (from the THERMOCALC version 6.2; Holland \& Powell, 2011). I suggest using the hp62ver. dat database, which has been tested in the last years and has demonstrated to be reliable. hp62ver.dat

Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
[RETURN]

Reading computational options from: perplex_option.dat

The current data base components are:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 H 2 O CO 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2 Transform them ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
N
This is the lists of the CHEMICAL COMPONENTS considered in the chosen database.
This option would permit the user to redefine the database components, e.g., to create Fe2O3 from the components FeO and O 2 (i.e. $\mathrm{FE} 2 \mathrm{O} 3=2 \mathrm{FEO}+0.5 \mathrm{O} 2$ ).
Component transformations in BUILD are tedious, so if you are going to do many calculations with transformed components the program CTRANSF can be used to create a thermodynamic data file with transformed components.

Specify computational mode:
1 - Convex-Hull minimization
2 - Constrained minimization on a 2 d grid [default]

3 - Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
4-Output pseudocompound data
5 -1-d Phase fractionation calculations
6-0-d Infiltration-reactionation-fractionation
7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with > 2 independent variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables.

1
Use option 1 (Convex-Hull minimization) for PROJECTIONS (Schreinemakers-type diagrams) or CHEMOGRAPHIES (composition diagrams; phase diagrams with > 2 independent variables). Use options 2 or 3 (Constrained minimization on a 2d grid/1d grid) for PSEUDOSECTIONS (phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables).

Calculations with a saturated FLUID (Y/N)?
Y
There are two important implications to specifying a SATURATED PHASE: 1) it implies that the phase components are always present in sufficient quantity to saturate the system in the phase; 2 ) it implies that the specified phase is always stable. Thus, if you are interested in a system with excess H 2 O , but the physical conditions of the system may be those at which ice is stable, you should specify H 2 O as a saturated component and not as saturated phase. Similarly, if water may not be always present as a pure phase you should specify H 2 O as a thermodynamic component.
In most cases, fluid can be considered as in excess. This means that the user should consider a saturated fluid in the calculation (e.g. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ or a mixture of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ).

NOTE: Because specification of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a saturated phase component causes Perple_X to exclude any phases with the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ composition that are not named " H 2 O ", H 2 O should not be specified as a saturated fluid phase in calculations involving a hydrous silicate melt if, as is commonly the case, the melt model involves a water end-member that is not named "H2O" (e.g. h2oL). This means that, for calculations at supra-solidus conditions (e.g. melt-bearing systems), the user must consider H 2 O as a normal chemical component, and not as a saturated fluid phase (see Ex. 11 and Ex. 12).

Select the independent saturated FLUID components:
H2O CO2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:

For $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluids it is only necessary to select volatile species present in the solids of interest. If the species listed here are H 2 O and CO , then to constrain O 2 chemical potential to be consistent with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluid speciation treat O 2 as a saturated component. Refer to the Perple_X Tutorial for details.
H2O

Calculations with saturated components $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N
SATURATED COMPONENTS are components whose chemical potentials are determined by the assumed stability of a pure phase consisting entirely of a saturated component, e.g., a system that contains so much silica that a silica polymorph (e.g., quartz or coesite) is stable at all conditions of interest can be specified here by selecting SiO 2 as a saturated component.

If more than one saturated component is specified Perple_X applies the constraints sequentially, e.g., if Al 2 O 3 and SiO 2 are specified as the first and second components, then the excess phases might be corundum + andalusite, if the order is reversed then, at the same conditions, the stable phases would be quartz + andalusite. This sequence is referred to as the saturation hierarchy.

Use chemical potentials, activities or fugacities as independent variables (Y/N)?
N
The answer would be Y if you want to calculate a phase diagram with chemical potentials ( $\mu$ ), activities or fugacities on one (or on both) axes (e.g. T- $\log f \mathrm{O}_{2}$ phase diagrams).

Select thermodynamic components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 CO 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2 Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
MgO
CaO
SiO2

Because the thermodynamic data file identifies: H 2 O as special components, you will be prompted next for the EoS to be used for the corresponding composants and mixtures thereof. To override this behavior, e.g., to use a generic hybrid fluid EoS, delete the special_component section from the thermodynamic data file header.

Select fluid equation of state:
0-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Modified Redlich-Kwong (MRK) DeSantis et al 74
1 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 HSMRK Kerrick \& Jacobs 81
2 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
5 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 CORK Holland \& Powell 91, 98
8 - f(O2/CO2) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS*
10 - X(O) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
12 - X(O)-f(S2) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
13 - X(H2) H2O-H2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
14-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Pitzer \& Sterner 94; Holland \& Powell mixing 03
$15-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{H} 2) \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} 2$ low T MRK hybrid-EoS*
16-X(O) H-O MRK hybrid-EoS*
17-X(O)-f(S2) H-O-S MRK hybrid-EoS*
19 - X(O)-X(S) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
20 - X(O)-X(C) COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
24-f(O2/CO2)-N/C C-buffered COHN MRK hybrid-EoS*
$25-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{CO} 2)-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{NaCl}) \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO} 2-\mathrm{NaCl}$ Aranovich et al 10
26 - X(O) O-Si MRK Connolly 16
27-X(O)-X(C) C-O-H MRK hybrid-EoS*
*Hybrid EoS use the following pure species EoS, to change these associations modify the hybrid_EoS keywords in the perplex_option file:

H2O - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CO2 - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CH4 - HSMRK Kerrick \& Jacobs 1981
5
Here you define the EQUATION OF STATE (EoS) for the fluid phase.
Most thermodynamic databases are based on equilibria which involve a fluid phase, and are therefore derived with a specific fluid equation of state. When using such databases it is usually wise to choose (if
possible) the fluid EoS used in the data derivation for calculations. Thus, the Holland \& Powell (1991, 1998) EoS (choice 5) is optimal for the Holland \& Powell 's databases; and Kerrick \& Jacobs' (1981) equation of state (choice 1) (or the hybrid equations of state, e.g. choices 2,8 or 10) for Berman's (1988) database. At high pressures above 20 kbar most of the equations of state for water become thermodynamically unrealistic, CORK (choice 5) minimizes this problem.
For rough calculations the differences between the EoS are not important and in the interest of saving computer time choices 5 and 0 are optimal. Choices 12-27 are equations of state for multispecies $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}-$ $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO} 2-\mathrm{NaCl}$ fluids.

The data base has $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ as default independent potentials.
Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
N
The answer would be Y if you want to consider a geothermal gradient along an axes, rather than P or T .
Specify number of independent potential variables:
0 - Composition diagram [default]
1 - Mixed-variable diagram
2 - Sections and Schreinemakers-type diagrams
2
$0=$ chemografies;
1 = T-X or P-X diagrams (e.g. T-XMgO)
2= classical phase diagram projections and sections
See the comment above.
Select $x$-axis variable:
1-P(bar)
2-T(K)
$3-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$
*Although only one component is specified for the $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$ phase, its equation of state permits use of its compositional variable:

2

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$
473
1273
T is expressed in Kelvin
Select y-axis variable:
2-P(bar)
$3-Y(C O 2)$
2

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$
500
20000
$P$ is expressed in bar

Specify sectioning value for: $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$

## 0

Having included only H 2 O as saturated fluid component, XCO 2 is 0 .

Output a print file ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
Y
For unconstrained minimization calculations, particularly mixed-variable diagrams and Schreinemakers projections, the print file contains a summary of the computed phase equilibria.
** warning ver051** cannot make hmjL because of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file.
...
...

Exclude pure and/or endmember phases (Y/N)?
Y
Here you can specify if you want to exclude some phases from the calculation, and if you want to see the list of the mineral phases compatible with your system. The end-member phases are identified by abbreviated names, in general these abbreviations are defined in the header section of the thermodynamic data file. Phases followed by L (e.g. qL) are required for the melt solution and you can therefore exclude them for calculations without melt.

Do you want to be prompted for phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
N

Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
I suggest excluding the following end-member phases, which are not relevant for this calculation.
cumm_dqf
fo8L
q8L
cenjh
odi
qjL
dijL
fojL
foTL
mcpv
cmpv
foHL
qHL
ak
chum
Irn
merw
mont
rnk
cen
cpv
cstn
cumm
wo
pswo
wal
wol
limL

Include solution phases $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N
Solid solutions are not considered in this case, because we are modelling reactions between end-member phases.

Enter calculation title:
Ex2

## (2) Doing the calculation (CONVEX)

## Run CONVEX to make the calculation:

## C:\Perplex\Perplex686>convex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex2

Reading thermodynamic data from file: hp62ver.dat
Reading solution models from file: not requested
Writing print output to file: EX2.prn
Writing plot output to file: EX2.plt
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing complete reaction list to file: not requested
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Perple_X computational option settings for CONVEX:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Auto-refine options:
auto_refine man off manual [auto]
Schreinemakers and Mixed-variable diagram options:

| variance | $1 / 99 \quad[1 / 99],>0$, maximum true variance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| increment | $0.100 / 0.025 \quad[0.1 / 0.025]$, default search/trace variable increment |
| efficiency | $3 \quad[3]>0<6$ |
| reaction_format | $\min \quad[\mathrm{min}]$ full stoichiometry S+V everything |
| reaction_list off | [off] on |
| console_messages on $\quad[\mathrm{on}]$ off |  |

short_print_file on [on] off
Solution subdivision options:
initial_resolution:

| exploratory stage | 0.0625 | $0->1[1 / 16], 0=>$ off |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| auto-refine stage | 0.0208 | $0->1[1 / 48], 0=>$ off |
| stretch_factor | 0.0020 | $>0[2 d-3]$ |
| subdivision_override | off | [off] lin str |
| hard_limits off | [off] on |  |
| refine_endmembers | $F$ | [F] T |
| pc_perturbation 0.0050 $[5 d-3]$ |  |  |

Thermodynamic options:
solvus_tolerance aut [aut] or $0->1$; aut = automatic, $0=>p=c$ pseudocompounds, 1 => homogenize
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { T_stop (K) } & 0.0 \quad \text { [0] }\end{array}$
T_melt (K) 873.0 [873]
order_check on off [on]
approx_alpha T [T] F
Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T
speciation_factor 100. >10 [100] speciation precision $=$ final resolution/speciation_factor
speciation_max_it 100 [100]
hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
hybrid_EoS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4, 7
hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1, 7
aq_bad_results err [err] 101, 102, 103, ignore
aq_lagged_speciation F [F]T
aq_ion_H+ T $\quad$ TT] F $=>$ use OH -
aq_oxide_components F [F] T
aq_solvent_solvus T [T] F
aq_vapor_epsilon 1.0 [1.]
Input/Output options:
dependent_potentials off off [on]
pause_on_error T [T] F
auto_exclude T [T] F
Worst case (Cartesian) compositional resolution (mol):
Exploratory stage: $0.521 \mathrm{E}-2$
Auto-refine stage: $0.868 \mathrm{E}-3$
Adapative minimization will be done with:
4 iterations in the exploratory stage
5 iterations in the autorefine stage
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
** warning ver051** cannot make hmjL because of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file

## This is the calculation.

| cycle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cycle | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| cycle | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| cycle | 4 | 6 | 7 |

Initial number of divariant assemblages to be tested is: 7
Testing divariant assemblage 1,6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 1) br = per
Testing divariant assemblage 2,6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 2 ) $q=\operatorname{trd}$
Testing divariant assemblage 3,6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium (3) br atg $=$ fo
finished with equilibrium ( 4) liz = br atg
Testing divariant assemblage 4, 9 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium (5) $\mathrm{tr}=\mathrm{diq}$ en
finished with equilibrium ( 6) di ta $=$ tr
Testing divariant assemblage 5, 10 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 7) di atg $=\operatorname{tr}$ fo
Testing divariant assemblage $6, \quad 12$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 8) ta $=q$ anth
finished with equilibrium ( 9 ) ta $=q$ en
finished with equilibrium ( 10) anth = q en
finished with equilibrium ( 11) ta en = anth
finished with equilibrium (12) ta fo $=$ anth
finished with equilibrium ( 13 ) ta fo $=$ en
finished with equilibrium (14) anth fo = en
finished with equilibrium (15) ta atg $=$ en
finished with equilibrium ( 16) atg $=$ ta fo
finished with equilibrium ( 17) atg $=$ en fo
Testing divariant assemblage 7, 12 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 16) atg $=$ ta fo

Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
finished with equilibrium (
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage

8, $\quad 12$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
9 , 12 assemblages remaining to be tested.
10, 12 assemblages remaining to be tested.
11, $\quad 11$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
12, 11 assemblages remaining to be tested.
13, 11 assemblages remaining to be tested.
14, $\quad 11$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
15, 11 assemblages remaining to be tested.
16, $\quad 12$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
17, 11 assemblages remaining to be tested.
18) tr fo $=$ di en

18, 14 assemblages remaining to be tested.
19, $\quad 15$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
20, 15 assemblages remaining to be tested.
21, 16 assemblages remaining to be tested.
22, $\quad 15$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
23, 14 assemblages remaining to be tested.
24, $\quad 14$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
25, $\quad 13$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
26, $\quad 12$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
27, 12 assemblages remaining to be tested.
28, $\quad 11$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
29, 10 assemblages remaining to be tested.
30, 10 assemblages remaining to be tested.
31, 9 assemblages remaining to be tested.
32, 8 assemblages remaining to be tested.
33, 8 assemblages remaining to be tested.

Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage Testing divariant assemblage

34, 7 assemblages remaining to be tested.
35, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
36, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
37, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
38, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
39, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested
40, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
41, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
42, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
43, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
44, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
45, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
** warning ver066** Metastable assemblage into FLIPIT:
tr atg en
$v=20000.0 \quad 888.000 \quad 0.00000 \quad 0.00000 \quad 0.00000$
Testing divariant assemblage 46, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
**warning ver066** Metastable assemblage into FLIPIT:
tr atg fo
$\begin{array}{lllll}v=20000.0 & 888.000 & 0.00000 & 0.00000 & 0.00000\end{array}$
Testing divariant assemblage 47, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage
48, 1 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 49, 0 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 50, 0 assemblages remaining to be tested.

## (3a) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSVDRAW)

## Run PSVDRAW to plot the calculated phase diagram:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>psvdraw

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project or plot file name [i.e., without the .plt suffix]:
Ex2

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $1.20 \quad$ [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box :
0 [0] x-min (pts)
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill T [T] F
field_label $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad$ F $\quad$ ] T

```
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width 1.00 0-99 [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
    0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
    130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
    220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
    0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
replicate_label 0.250 0->1 [0.025]
splines T [T] F
tenth_ticks F [F]T
text_scale 1.000 [1.] (rel)
```

To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex2.ps

Modify the default plot $(y / n)$ ?
N

(3b) Modifying the default plotting of the calculated phase diagram (PSVDRAW)
E.g. you want to modify the axes numbering (intervals on $x$ axis $=100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; intervals on y axis $=4$ kbar) and you want to assign a numeric label (rather than a text label) to (most of) the reaction curves.

## Run again PSVDRAW:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>psvdraw

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project or plot file name [i.e., without the .plt suffix]:
Ex2

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $1.20 \quad[1.2]$ (rel)
bounding_box:
$0 \quad[0] x-m i n(p t s)$
$0 \quad$ [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill T [T] F
field_label $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
field_label_scale $0.75 \quad$ [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
$0.180 \quad$ [0.18] $y$-scale (rel)
130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio $1.000 \quad[1.0]$ x_axis_length/y_axis_length
replicate_label $0.250 \quad 0->1$ [0.025]
splines T [T] F
tenth_ticks F [F] T
text_scale $\quad 1.000 \quad$ [1.] (rel)
To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex2.ps

Modify the default plot $(y / n)$ ?
y

```
Modify drafting options (y/n)?
    answer yes to modify:
    -x-y plotting limits
    - axes numbering
y
Modify x-y limits (y/n)?
Answer Y if you want to modify the T and/or P range of the diagram.
```

n
Restrict phase fields by variance ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
answer yes to:
- suppress pseudounivariant curves and/or pseudoinvariant points of a specified true variance.
This question is only relevant for more complex calculations, involving solution models and therefore
considering also pseudo-univariant curves (i.e. di-variant equilibria involving two pseudocompounds of the
same phase as reactant and product). See Ex. 7.
n
Restrict phase fields by phase identities ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
answer yes to:
- show fields that contain a specific assemblage
- show fields that do not contain specified phases
- show fields that contain any of a set of specified phases
n
Modify default equilibrium labeling ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
answer yes to:
- modify/suppress [pseudo-] univariant curve labels
- suppress [pseudo-] invariant point labels
y

Suppress curve labels $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n

Change default labeling of curve segments ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
y

Suppress labels of pseudounivariant curves ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
y

Enter minimum fraction of the axes length that a curve must be to receive a text label (0-1):
1 means that a text label will be assigned to those curves that are longer than the axes length.
1

Enter minimum fraction of the axes length that a curve must be to receive a numeric label (0-1.000):
0 means that a numeric label will be assigned to all those curves that are shorter than the axes length. 0

Suppress point labels (y/n)?
n

Modify default axes numbering $(y / n)$ ?
y

Enter the starting value and interval for major tick marks on the X-axis (current values are: 473. 160. ) Enter the new values:
473
100

Enter the starting value and interval for major tick marks on the Y -axis (current values are: 500. $0.390 \mathrm{E}+04$ )
Enter the new values:
1000
4000


Equilibria corresponding to each reaction number are explained in the ex2.prn file.

## Ex. 3

## Ex. 3 - Composition diagrams (chemographies) for the CMSH system

This exercise explains how to calculate composition diagrams (i.e. chemographies) for the P-T projection of Ex. 2, at the P-T conditions reported below as A-I.


## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>build

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 201.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default $=$ my_project]:
Ex3

The problem definition file will be named: ex3.dat

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default = hp02ver.dat]:
hp62ver.dat
Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
[enter]

Reading computational options from: perplex_option.dat

The current data base components are:

Specify computational mode:
1 - Convex-Hull minimization
2 - Constrained minimization on a 2 d grid [default]
3 - Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
4 - Output pseudocompound data
5 -1-d Phase fractionation calculations
6 -0-d Infiltration-reactionation-fractionation
7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with > 2 independent variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables.
1
Use option 1 (Convex-Hull minimization) for PROJECTIONS (Schreinemakers-type diagrams) or CHEMOGRAPHIES (composition diagrams; phase diagrams with > 2 independent variables). Use options 2 or 3 (Constrained minimization on a 2d grid/1d grid) for PSEUDOSECTIONS (phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables).

Calculations with a saturated FLUID ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
y
Select the independent saturated FLUID components:
H2O CO2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:

For C-O-H fluids it is only necessary to select volatile species present in the solids of interest. If the species listed here are H 2 O and CO 2 , then to constrain O 2 chemical potential to be consistent with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluid speciation treat O 2 as a saturated component. Refer to the Perple_X Tutorial for details.
H2O

Calculations with saturated components $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N

Use chemical potentials, activities or fugacities as independent variables (Y/N)?
N

Select thermodynamic components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 CO 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
CaO
MgO
SiO2
The order ( $1^{\text {st }}, 2^{\text {nd }}, 3^{\text {rd }}$ ) influences how the three components are plotted in the chemographies: first $=$ bottom left, second = bottom right, third = top.

Because the thermodynamic data file identifies: H 2 O as special components, you will be prompted next for the EoS to be used for the corresponding composants and mixtures thereof. To override this behavior, e.g., to use a generic hybrid fluid EoS, delete the special_component section from the thermodynamic data file header.

Select fluid equation of state:
0-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Modified Redlich-Kwong (MRK) DeSantis et al 74
1 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 HSMRK Kerrick \& Jacobs 81
2 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
5 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 CORK Holland \& Powell 91, 98
8 - f(O2/CO2) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS*
10-X(O) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
12 - X(O)-f(S2) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
13-X(H2) H2O-H2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
14 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Pitzer \& Sterner 94; Holland \& Powell mixing 03
15 - X(H2) H2O-H2 low T MRK hybrid-EoS*
16-X(O) H-O MRK hybrid-EoS*
17-X(O)-f(S2) H-O-S MRK hybrid-EoS*
19-X(O)-X(S) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
20 - X(O)-X(C) COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
24-f(O2/CO2)-N/C C-buffered COHN MRK hybrid-EoS*
$25-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{CO} 2)-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{NaCl}) \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO} 2-\mathrm{NaCl}$ Aranovich et al 10
26 - X(O) O-Si MRK Connolly 16
27 - X(O)-X(C) C-O-H MRK hybrid-EoS*
*Hybrid EoS use the following pure species EoS, to change these associations modify the hybrid_EoS keywords in the perplex_option file:

H2O - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CO2 - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CH4 - HSMRK Kerrick \& Jacobs 1981
5

The data base has $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ as default independent potentials.
Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient (y/n)?
N

Specify number of independent potential variables:
0 - Composition diagram [default]
1 - Mixed-variable diagram
2 - Sections and Schreinemakers-type diagrams
0

Output a print file ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
y
** warning ver051** cannot make hmjL because of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file.

Exclude pure and/or endmember phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
y
Do you want to be prompted for phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?

Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
Same as in Ex2.
cumm_dqf
fo8L
q8L
cenjh
odi
qjL
dijL
fojL
foTL
mcpv
cmpv
foHL
qHL
ak
chum
Irn
merw
mont
rnk
cen
cpv
cstn
cumm
wo
pswo
wal
woL
limL

Include solution models $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N

Enter calculation title:
Ex3
*Although only one component is specified for the FLUID phase, its equation of state permits use of its compositional variable: $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$.

Specify values for: $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar}) \quad \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K}) \quad \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$. For calculation 1, enter zeros to finish.
Here you must specify at which P-T-XCO2 conditions you would like to calculate the chemographies. Having included only $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as saturated fluid component, $\mathrm{XCO}_{2}$ is always 0 .
Point A:
3000
573

Specify values for: $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar}) \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K}) \quad \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$. For calculation 2, enter zeros to finish.
Point B:
5000
733
0

Specify values for: $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar}) \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K}) \quad \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$. For calculation 3, enter zeros to finish.
Point C :
7000
843
0

Specify values for: $\quad P($ bar $) \quad T(K) \quad Y(C O 2)$. For calculation 4, enter zeros to finish.
Point D:
8000
893
0

Specify values for: $\quad P($ bar $) T(K) \quad Y(C O 2)$. For calculation 5, enter zeros to finish.
Point E:
9000
973
0

Specify values for: $\quad P($ bar $) T(K) \quad Y(C O 2)$. For calculation 6, enter zeros to finish.
Point F:
11000
1093
0

Specify values for: $\quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar}) \quad \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K}) \quad \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$. For calculation 7, enter zeros to finish. Point G:
4000
843
0

Specify values for: $\quad P($ bar $) \quad T(K) \quad Y(C O 2)$. For calculation 8, enter zeros to finish.
Point H:
5000
963
0

Specify values for: $\quad P($ bar $) \quad T(K) \quad Y(C O 2)$. For calculation 9, enter zeros to finish.
Point I:
6000
1073

Specify values for:. $\quad P($ bar $) \quad T(K) \quad Y(C O 2)$ For calculation 10, enter zeros to finish.

## (2) Doing the calculation (CONVEX)

## Run CONVEX to make the calculation:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>convex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex3

Reading problem definition from file: ex3.dat
Reading thermodynamic data from file: hp62ver.dat
Reading solution models from file: not requested
Writing print output to file: ex3.prn
Writing plot output to file: ex3.plt
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested
Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Perple_X computational option settings for CONVEX:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Auto-refine options:
auto_refine man off manual [auto]
Solution subdivision options:
initial_resolution:
exploratory stage $0.0625 \quad 0->1[1 / 16], 0=>$ off
auto-refine stage $0.00630->1[1 / 160], 0 \Rightarrow$ off
stretch_factor $0.0020>0$ [2d-3]
subdivision_override off [off] lin str
hard_limits off [off] on
refine_endmembers F [F] T
pc_perturbation 0.0050 [5d-3]
Thermodynamic options:
solvus_tolerance aut [aut] or $0->1$; aut = automatic, $0=>p=c$ pseudocompounds, 1 => homogenize
T_stop (K) $0.0 \quad$ [0]
T_melt (K) 873.0 [873]
order_check on off [on]
approx_alpha T [T] F
Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T

```
speciation_factor 100. >10 [100] speciation precision = final resolution/speciation_factor
speciation_max_it 100 [100]
hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
hybrid_EOS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4,7
hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1,7
aq_bad_results err [err] 101, 102,103, ignore
aq_lagged_speciation F [F]T
aq_ion_H+ T [T] F => use OH-
aq_oxide_components F [F] T
aq_solvent_solvus T [T] F
aq_vapor_epsilon 1.0 [1.]
Input/Output options:
dependent_potentials off off[on]
pause_on_error T [T] F
auto_exclude T [T] F
Worst case (Cartesian) compositional resolution (mol):
    Exploratory stage: 0.521E-2
    Auto-refine stage: 0.521E-3
```

Adapative minimization will be done with:
4 iterations in the exploratory stage
4 iterations in the autorefine stage
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
** warning ver051** cannot make hmjL because of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file.
** Starting auto_refine computational stage **
Computing the compositional phase relations at condition 1

| cycle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cycle | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| cycle | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| cycle | 4 | 6 | 7 |

Computing the compositional phase relations at condition 2

| cycle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cycle | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| cycle | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| cycle | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| cycle | 5 | 8 | 8 |

Computing the compositional phase relations at condition 3

| cycle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cycle | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| cycle | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| cycle | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| cycle | 5 | 8 | 8 |

Computing the compositional phase relations at condition 4

| cycle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cycle | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| cycle | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| cycle | 4 | 6 | 7 |

Computing the compositional phase relations at condition 5

| cycle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cycle | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| cycle | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| cycle | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| cycle | 5 | 8 | 8 |

Computing the compositional phase relations at condition 6

| cycle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cycle | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| cycle | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| cycle | 4 | 6 | 7 |

Computing the compositional phase relations at condition 7

| cycle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cycle | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| cycle | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| cycle | 4 | 6 | 7 |

Computing the compositional phase relations at condition 8

| cycle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cycle | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| cycle | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| cycle | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| cycle | 5 | 8 | 8 |

Computing the compositional phase relations at condition 9

| cycle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cycle | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| cycle | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| cycle | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| cycle | 5 | 8 | 8 |

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSVDRAW)

## Run PSVDRAW to plot the calculated chemographies:

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>PSVDRAW

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project or plot file name [i.e., without the .plt suffix]:
EX3

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $1.20 \quad$ [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:

|  | 0 | $[0] \mathrm{x}$-min (pts) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | $[0] \mathrm{y}$-min (pts) |
|  | 800 | $[800] \mathrm{x}$-length (pts) |
|  | 800 | $[800] \mathrm{y}$-length (pts) |
| field_fill | T | $[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$ |
| field_label | T | $[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$ |

```
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid F [F] T
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width 1.00 0-99 [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
    0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
    0.180 [0.18] y-scale (rel)
    130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
    220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
    0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
replicate_label 0.250 0->1 [0.025]
splines T [T] F
tenth_ticks F [F]T
text_scale 1.000 [1.] (rel)
```

To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: EX3.ps

Modify the default plot $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
N


## Ex. 4

## Ex. 4 - P-T pseudosection for the CMSH system (no solid solutions)

This exercise explains how to calculate a very simple pseudosection, not involving solid solutions, for a generic ultramafic composition ( $\mathrm{MgO}=50, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}=45, \mathrm{CaO}=5 \mathrm{~mol}$; red dot in the figure below).


Combined with Ex. 2 and Ex. 3, this exercise is useful to understand the difference between P-T projections and P-T pseudosections.
(1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>build

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default = my_project]:
Ex4

The problem definition file will be named: ex4.dat

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default = hp02ver.dat]:
hp62ver.dat

Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
[enter]

Reading computational options from: perplex_option.dat

The current data base components are:

Specify computational mode:
1 - Convex-Hull minimization
2 - Constrained minimization on a 2d grid [default]
3 - Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
4 - Output pseudocompound data
5 -1-d Phase fractionation calculations
6 - 0-d Infiltration-reactionation-fractionation
7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with > 2 independent variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables.

2
Use option 1 (Convex-Hull minimization) for PROJECTIONS (Schreinemakers-type diagrams) or CHEMOGRAPHIES (composition diagrams; phase diagrams with > 2 independent variables). Use options 2 or 3 (Constrained minimization on a 2d grid/1d grid) for PSEUDOSECTIONS (phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables).

Calculations with a saturated FLUID ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
y

Select the independent saturated FLUID components:
H2O CO2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:

For $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluids it is only necessary to select volatile species present in the solids of interest. If the species listed here are H 2 O and CO 2 , then to constrain O 2 chemical potential to be consistent with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluid speciation treat O 2 as a saturated component. Refer to the Perple_X Tutorial for details.
H2O

Calculations with saturated components $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N

Use chemical potentials, activities or fugacities as independent variables (Y/N)?
N

Select thermodynamic components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 CO CuO Cr2O3S2 F2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
MgO
SiO2
CaO

Because the thermodynamic data file identifies: H 2 O as special components, you will be prompted next for the EoS to be used for the corresponding composants and mixtures thereof. To override this behavior, e.g., to use a generic hybrid fluid EoS, delete the special_component section from the thermodynamic data file header.

Select fluid equation of state:
0-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Modified Redlich-Kwong (MRK) DeSantis et al 74
1 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 HSMRK Kerrick \& Jacobs 81
2 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
5 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 CORK Holland \& Powell 91, 98
8 - f(O2/CO2) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS*
10 - X(O) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
12 - X(O)-f(S2) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
13-X(H2) H2O-H2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
14 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Pitzer \& Sterner 94; Holland \& Powell mixing 03
15 - X(H2) H2O-H2 low T MRK hybrid-EoS*
16-X(O) H-O MRK hybrid-EoS*
17-X(O)-f(S2) H-O-S MRK hybrid-EoS*
19-X(O)-X(S) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
20 - X(O)-X(C) COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
24-f(O2/CO2)-N/C C-buffered COHN MRK hybrid-EoS*
$25-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{CO} 2)-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{NaCl}) \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO} 2-\mathrm{NaCl}$ Aranovich et al 10
26 - X(O) O-Si MRK Connolly 16
27 - X(O)-X(C) C-O-H MRK hybrid-EoS*
*Hybrid EoS use the following pure species EoS, to change these associations modify the hybrid_EoS keywords in the perplex_option file:

H2O - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CO2 - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CH4 - HSMRK Kerrick \& Jacobs 1981
5

The data base has $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ as default independent potentials.
Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient (y/n)?
N

Select $x$-axis variable:
1-P(bar)
2-T(K)
$3-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$
4 - Composition $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{C} 1)^{*}$ (user defined)
*Although only one component is specified for the $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$ phase, its equation of state permits use of its compositional variable: ${ }^{*} X(C 1)$ can not be selected as the $y$-axis variable

2

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$
473
1273

Select y-axis variable:
2 - P(bar)
$3-Y(C O 2)$

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$
500
20000

Specify sectioning value for: $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$
0

For gridded minimization, grid resolution is determined by the number of levels (grid_levels) and the resolution at the lowest level in the X - and Y -directions (x_nodes and y_nodes) these parameters are currently set for the exploratory and autorefine cycles as follows:
stage grid_levels xnodes ynodes effective resolution
exploratory $140 \quad 40 \quad 40 \times 40$ nodes
auto-refine $460 \quad 60 \quad 473 \times 473$ nodes
To change these options edit or create the file perplex_option.dat
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html\#grid_parameters

Specify component amounts by mass ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
N
Here you can specify the bulk composition of your sample either in wt\% (by mass) or in mol\%. In this example, that refers to a generic ultramafic rock rather than to a real sample, I have chosen mol\% because it is easier to visualize a mol\% composition on the chemographies.

Enter molar amounts of the components:
MgO SiO 2 CaO
for the bulk composition of interest:
50
45
5

Output a print file $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
Y
** warning ver051** cannot make hmjL because of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file.

Exclude pure and/or endmember phases $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
Y
Do you want to be prompted for phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
N

Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
Same as in Ex2 and Ex3.
cumm_dqf
fo8
q8L
cenjh
odi
qjL
dijL
fojL
foTL
mcpv
cmpv
foHL
qHL
ak
chum
Irn
merw
mont
rnk
cen
cpv
cstn
cumm
wo
pswo
wal
woL
limL

Include solution phases $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N

Enter calculation title:
Ex4

## (2) Doing the calculation (VERTEX)

Run VERTEX to make the calculation:

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>vertex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex4

Reading problem definition from file: ex4.dat
Reading thermodynamic data from file: hp62ver.dat
Reading solution models from file: not requested

Writing print output to file: ex4.prn
Writing plot output to file: ex4.plt
Writing bulk composition plot output to file: ex4.blk
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested
Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Perple_X computational option settings for VERTEX:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Auto-refine options:
auto_refine man off manual [auto]
Free energy minimization options:
final_resolution:
exploratory stage $\quad 0.1 \mathrm{E}-1 \quad[1 \mathrm{e}-2]$, target value, see actual values be low
auto-refine stage $0.1 \mathrm{E}-2 \quad[1 \mathrm{e}-3]$, target value, see actual values be low
resolution_factor $2>=2$ [2]
refinement_points 5 [aut] or 1->12; aut = automatic
solvus_tolerance_Il aut $0->1$ [0.2]
global_reach_increment $0 \quad>=0$ [0]
reach_increment_switch on [on] off all
zero_mode $\quad 0.1 \mathrm{E}-05 \quad 0->1[1 \mathrm{e}-6] ;<0=>$ off
2D grid options:
x_nodes $40 / 40$ [20/40], >0, <2048; effective x-resolution
60 / 473 nodes
y_nodes $\quad 40 / 40$ [20/40], >0, <2048; effective y-resolution
60 / 473 nodes

$$
\begin{array}{lrr}
\text { grid_levels } & 1 / 4 & {[1 / 4],>0,<10} \\
\text { linear_model } & \text { on } & \text { off }[\text { on }]
\end{array}
$$

Solution subdivision options:
initial_resolution:
exploratory stage $0.0625 \quad 0->1[1 / 16], 0=>$ off
auto-refine stage $0.0208 \quad 0->1[1 / 48], 0=>$ off
stretch_factor $0.0020>0$ [2d-3]
subdivision_override off [off] lin str
hard_limits off [off] on
refine_endmembers F [F] T
Thermodynamic options:
solvus_tolerance aut [aut] or $0->1$; aut = automatic, $0=>p=c$ pseudocompounds, 1 => homogenize
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { T_stop (K) } & 0.0 \quad \text { [0] }\end{array}$
T_melt (K) 873.0 [873]
order_check on off [on]
approx_alpha T [T] F
Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T
speciation_factor 100. >10 [100] speciation precision $=$ final resolution/speciation_factor
speciation_max_it 100 [100]
hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
hybrid_EOS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4, 7
hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1, 7
aq_bad_results err [err] 101, 102, 103, ignore

```
    aq_lagged_speciation F [F]T
    aq_ion_H+ T [T] F => use OH-
    aq_oxide_components F [F] T
    aq_solvent_solvus T [T] F
    aq_vapor_epsilon 1.0 [1.]
Input/Output options:
    dependent_potentials off off [on]
    pause_on_error T [T] F
    auto_exclude T [T] F
    logarithmic_p F [F] T
    bad_number NaN [NaN]
    interim_results aut [auto] off manual
Information file output options:
    option_list_files F [F] T; echo computational options
    pseudocompound_file F [F] T; echo static pseudocompound compositions
    auto_refine_file F [F] T; echo auto-refine compositions
Worst case (Cartesian) compositional resolution (mol):
    Exploratory stage: 0.521E-2
    Auto-refine stage: 0.868E-3
    Adapative minimization will be done with:
    4 iterations in the exploratory stage
    5 \text { iterations in the autorefine stage}
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
** warning ver051** cannot make hmjLbecause of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file.
** Starting auto_refine computational stage **
0.3% done with low level grid.
2.9% done with low level grid.
5.4% done with low level grid.
8.0% done with low level grid.
10.5% done with low level grid.
13.1% done with low level grid.
15.7% done with low level grid.
18.2% done with low level grid.
20.8% done with low level grid.
23.3% done with low level grid.
25.9% done with low level grid.
28.4% done with low level grid.
31.0% done with low level grid.
33.5% done with low level grid.
36.1% done with low level grid.
38.7% done with low level grid.
41.2% done with low level grid.
43.8% done with low level grid.
46.3% done with low level grid.
48.9% done with low level grid.
51.4% done with low level grid.
54.0% done with low level grid.
56.5% done with low level grid.
```

59.1\% done with low level grid.
61.7\% done with low level grid.
$64.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
$66.8 \%$ done with low level grid.
69.3\% done with low level grid.
$71.9 \%$ done with low level grid.
$74.4 \%$ done with low level grid.
$77.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$79.6 \%$ done with low level grid.
$82.1 \%$ done with low level grid.
$84.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
$87.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
89.8\% done with low level grid.
$92.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
94.9\% done with low level grid.
97.4\% done with low level grid.
100.0\% done with low level grid.

Beginning grid refinement stage.
197 grid cells to be refined at grid level 2
refinement at level 2 involved 429 minimizations
2029 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 6241
380 grid cells to be refined at grid level 3
...working ( 73 minimizations done)
...working ( 576 minimizations done)
refinement at level 3 involved 745 minimizations
2774 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 24649
719 grid cells to be refined at grid level 4
...working ( 333 minimizations done)
...working ( 834 minimizations done)
...working ( 1336 minimizations done)
refinement at level 4 involved 1363 minimizations
4137 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 98596

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSSECT)

Run PSSECT to plot the calculated pseudosection:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>pssect

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:

## Ex4

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $1.20 \quad$ [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:


To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex4.ps

Modify the default plot $(y / n)$ ?
n
ex4

$$
Y(\mathrm{CO} 2)=0.00
$$



Being a very simple pseudosection (no solid solutions!), the stability fields of each mineral assemblage are separated by "real" reaction curves, i.e. UNIVARIANT CURVES, and all the field assemblages have the same variance. However, this is a very special case: pseudosections generally contain multi-variant field assemblages.
NB. The variance of each field is $2\left[f=c-p+2 ; c=4(C M S H) ; p=4\right.$ (remember that each field also contains $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ ]
(4) Comparison between projection and pseudosection


Comparison between the CMSH projection (Ex. 2) and the pseudosection (Ex. 4) calculated for a bulk composition $\mathrm{MgO}=50 \mathrm{~mol} \%, \mathrm{SiO}=45 \mathrm{~mol} \%, \mathrm{CaO}=5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (red dot in the chemographies -Ex .3 ).
This specific bulk composition "sees" (i.e. is sensible to) only some of the reactions predicted by the P-T projection.

## Ex. 5

## Ex. 5 - T-X $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ projections and pseudosections for the $\mathrm{CMS}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ system (no solid solutions)

This exercise investigates prograde metamorphism of impure dolomites and limestones characterized by different amounts of Cal, Dol and Qtz. A constant pressure of 1 kbar, typical of shallow level contact aureoles, is considered.
This exercise is useful to understand the difference between $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ projections and T $\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ pseudosections and allows you to become familiar with the use of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ fluids.

```
Ex. 5.1 - Isobaric T-X(CO2) projection for a generic siliceous dolomite containing
excess Cal
```


## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>build

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default $=$ my_project]:
Ex5_1

The problem definition file will be named: ex5_1.dat

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default = hp02ver.dat]:
hp62ver.dat

Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
enter

Reading computational options from: perplex_option.dat

The current data base components are:

```
Na2O MgO Al2O3 SiO2 K2O CaO TiO2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO2 Cl2 O2 H2O CO2 CuO Cr2O3 S2 F2
Transform them (Y/N)?
n
Specify computational mode:
    1-Convex-Hull minimization
    2 - Constrained minimization on a 2d grid [default]
    3-Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
    4-Output pseudocompound data
```

```
5 -1-d Phase fractionation calculations
6 -0-d Infiltration-reactionation-fractionation
7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
```

Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with $>2$ independent variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables.
1

Calculations with a saturated FLUID (Y/N)?
y

Select the independent saturated FLUID components:
H2O CO2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
For $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluids it is only necessary to select volatile species present in the solids of interest. If the species listed here are H 2 O and CO 2 , then to constrain O 2 chemical potential to be consistent with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluid speciation treat O 2 as a saturated component. Refer to the Perple_X Tutorial for details.
H2O
CO2
Because we want to calculate a T-XCO2 grid, both H 2 O and CO 2 must be included in the calculation.

Calculations with saturated components $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
Y
We want to calculate the T-XCO2 grid with calcite in excess, therefore we must consider CaO as a saturated component.
** warning ver015** if you select > 1 saturated component, then the order you enter the components determines the saturation hierarchy and may effect your results (see Connolly 1990).
NOTE: If more than one saturated component is specified Perple_X applies the constraints sequentially. For example, if CaO and MgO are specified as saturated components in this order, this implies that calcite and dolomite would be excess phases; if the order is reversed ( $\mathrm{MgO}, \mathrm{CaO}$ ) then, at the same conditions, the stable phases would be magnesite and calcite. This sequence is referred to as the saturation hierarchy.

Select < 6 saturated components from the set:

## Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2

Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
CaO

Use chemical potentials, activities or fugacities as independent variables $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N

Select thermodynamic components from the set:
Na 2 O Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
MgO
SiO2
Because the thermodynamic data file identifies: $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{CO} 2$ as special components, you will be prompted next for the EoS to be used for the corresponding composants and mixtures thereof. To override this behavior, e.g., to use a generic hybrid fluid EoS, delete the special_component section from the thermodynamic data file header.

```
Select fluid equation of state:
    0-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Modified Redlich-Kwong (MRK) DeSantis et al 74
    1-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 HSMRK Kerrick & Jacobs 81
    2 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
    5- X(CO2) H2O-CO2 CORK Holland & Powell 91, 98
    8-f(O2/CO2) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS*
    10 - X(O) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    12 - X(O)-f(S2) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    13-X(H2) H2O-H2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
    14-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Pitzer & Sterner 94; Holland & Powell mixing 03
    15-X(H2) H2O-H2 low T MRK hybrid-EoS*
    16 - X(O) H-O MRK hybrid-EoS*
    17-X(O)-f(S2) H-O-S MRK hybrid-EoS*
    19 - X(O)-X(S) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    20-X(O)-X(C) COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    24-f(O2/CO2)-N/C C-buffered COHN MRK hybrid-EoS*
    25-X(CO2)-X(NaCl) H2O-CO2-NaCl Aranovich et al 10
    26-X(O) O-Si MRK Connolly 16
    27-X(O)-X(C) C-O-H MRK hybrid-EoS*
*Hybrid EoS use the following pure species EoS, to change these associations modify the hybrid_EoS keywords in the
perplex_option file:
    H2O - PSEoS Pitzer & Sterner 1994
    CO2 - PSEoS Pitzer & Sterner 1994
    CH4 - HSMRK Kerrick & Jacobs 1981
5
The data base has \(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})\) and \(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})\) as default independent potentials. Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient ( \(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}\) )?
N
Specify number of independent potential variables:
0 - Composition diagram [default]
1 - Mixed-variable diagram
2 - Sections and Schreinemakers-type diagrams
2
Select \(x\)-axis variable:
1-P(bar)
2-T(K)
\(3-Y(C O 2)\)
3
Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: \(\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)\)
0
1
Select y-axis variable:
2-T(K)
3-P(bar)
```

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$
573
873

Specify sectioning value for: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$
1000

Output a print file $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
Y
** warning ver051** cannot make hmjL because of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file

Exclude pure and/or endmember phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
Y
Do you want to be prompted for phases $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
n
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
cumm_dqf
fo8L
q8L
cenjh
odi
qjL
dijL
fojL
foTL
mcpv
cmpv
foHL
qHL
lrn
spu
merw
ty
mont
rnk
ak
cen

Include solution phases $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N

Enter calculation title:
Ex5_1

## (2) Doing the calculation (CONVEX)

## Run CONVEX to make the calculation:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>convex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex5_1
Reading problem definition from file: ex5_1.dat
Reading thermodynamic data from file: hp62ver.dat
Reading solution models from file: not requested
Writing print output to file: ex5_1.prn
Writing plot output to file: ex5_1.plt
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat Writing complete reaction list to file: not requested
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Perple_X computational option settings for CONVEX:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Auto-refine options:
auto_refine man off manual [auto]
Schreinemakers and Mixed-variable diagram options:
variance $\quad 1 / 99 \quad[1 / 99],>0$, maximum true variance
increment $0.100 / 0.025$ [0.1/0.025], default search/trace variable
increment
efficiency $3 \quad[3]>0<6$
reaction_format $\min \quad[\mathrm{min}]$ full stoichiometry S+V everything
reaction_list off [off] on
console_messages on [on] off
short_print_file on [on] off
Solution subdivision options:
initial_resolution:
exploratory stage $0.0625 \quad 0->1[1 / 16], 0=>$ off
auto-refine stage $0.0208 \quad 0->1[1 / 48], 0=>$ off
stretch_factor $0.0020>0$ [2d-3]
subdivision_override off [off] lin str
hard_limits off [off] on
refine_endmembers F [F] T
pc_perturbation 0.0050 [5d-3]
Thermodynamic options:
solvus_tolerance aut [aut] or $0->1$; aut = automatic, $0 \Rightarrow p=c$ pseudocompounds, $1=>$ homogenize
T_stop (K) $0.0 \quad$ [0]
T_melt (K) 873.0 [873]

```
    order_check on off [on]
    approx_alpha T [T] F
    Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T
    speciation_factor 100. >10 [100] speciation precision = final resolution/speciation_factor
    speciation_max_it 100 [100]
    hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
    hybrid_EoS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4, 7
    hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1,7
    aq_bad_results err [err] 101, 102,103, ignore
    aq_lagged_speciation F [F]T
    aq_ion_H+ T [T] F => use OH-
    aq_oxide_components F [F]T
    aq_solvent_solvus T [T] F
    aq_vapor_epsilon 1.0 [1.]
Input/Output options:
    dependent_potentials off off[on]
    pause_on_error T [T] F
    auto_exclude T [T] F
Worst case (Cartesian) compositional resolution (mol):
    Exploratory stage: 0.521E-2
    Auto-refine stage: 0.868E-3
```

Adapative minimization will be done with: 4 iterations in the exploratory stage
5 iterations in the autorefine stage
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
** warning ver051** cannot make hmjL because of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file ...
** Starting auto_refine computational stage ** $\begin{array}{llll}\text { cycle } & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
Initial number of divariant assemblages to be tested is: 1
Testing divariant assemblage 1,0 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium (1) di=br wo
finished with equilibrium ( 2 ) fo = br wo
**warning ver047** univariant field 3 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium ( 3 ) br di=fo
** warning ver020** sfol2
finished with equilibrium $(3) \mathrm{br} \mathrm{di}=\mathrm{fo}$
finished with equilibrium ( 4) di = wo fo
Testing divariant assemblage $2, \quad 1$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium (5) q = wo
Testing divariant assemblage 3,2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 6) atg $=$ di br
finished with equilibrium ( 3 ) fo $=\mathrm{di}$ br
** warning ver047** univariant field $\quad 7$ terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium ( 7) atg = di fo
**warning ver047** univariant field $\quad 7$ terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium ( 7) atg = di fo
**warning ver047** univariant field $\quad 7$ terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium (
7) atg = di fo
**warning ver020** sfol2
finished with equilibrium ( 7 ) atg = di fo
** warning ver047** univariant field 8 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium ( 8) br atg $=$ fo
${ }^{* *}$ warning ver047** univariant field 8 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium ( 8) br atg $=\mathrm{fo}$
** warning ver047** univariant field 8 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium ( 8) br atg $=$ fo
${ }^{* *}$ warning ver047** univariant field 8 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium (
8) $b r a t g=f o$
** warning ver020** sfol2
finished with equilibrium ( 8) br atg $=$ fo
Testing divariant assemblage 4, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 9 ) $\mathrm{qtr}=\mathrm{di}$
finished with equilibrium ( 10 ) q dol $=\mathrm{di}$
finished with equilibrium ( 11) tr = di dol
finished with equilibrium ( 12) q dol $=\mathrm{tr}$
finished with equilibrium ( 13 ) $\mathrm{tr}=\mathrm{di}$ fo
finished with equilibrium ( 14 ) di dol $=$ fo
** warning ver047** univariant field 15 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium ( 15 ) tr dol $=$ fo
**warning ver047** univariant field 15 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium ( 15 ) tr dol = fo
** warning ver047** univariant field 15 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium ( 15 ) tr dol = fo
${ }^{* *}$ warning ver047** univariant field 15 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium ( 15 ) tr dol $=$ fo
** warning ver020** sfol2
finished with equilibrium ( 15 ) tr dol $=$ fo
finished with equilibrium (16) q ta $=\operatorname{tr}$
finished with equilibrium (17) q dol $=$ ta
${ }^{* *}$ warning ver047** univariant field 18 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium (
18) ta $=$ tr dol
finished with equilibrium (
18) ta $=$ tr dol
finished with equilibrium ( 19) tr $=\mathrm{di}$ atg
finished with equilibrium ( 7) atg = di fo
** warning ver047** univariant field 20 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL $=0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium (20) atg $=\operatorname{tr}$ fo
**warning ver047** univariant field 20 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium (
20) $\operatorname{atg}=\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{fo}$
** warning ver020** sfol2
finished with equilibrium (
finished with equilibrium (
finished with equilibrium (
20) $\operatorname{atg}=\operatorname{tr}$ fo
finished with equilibrium (
finished with equilibrium (
22) ta = tr atg
finished with equilibrium
15) tr dol $=\mathrm{fo}$
**warning ver074** no new equilibria identified, if degenerate segments have been skipped increase the computational reliability level.
finished with equilibrium (25) dol $=\mathrm{br}$
finished with equilibrium ( 25 ) dol $=b r$
**warning ver066** Metastable assemblage into FLIPIT:
di q
$v=1000.00 \quad 873.000 \quad 0.267547 \mathrm{E}-010.00000 \quad 0.00000$
Testing divariant assemblage 5,3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 6,2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage
7, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 8, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage $9, \quad 3$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 10, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 11, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 12, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 13, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 14, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
**warning ver066** Metastable assemblage into FLIPIT:
ta atg
$\mathrm{v}=1000.00 \quad 573.000 \quad 0.781364 \mathrm{E}-020.00000 \quad 0.00000$
Testing divariant assemblage 15, 1 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage
16, 0 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
17, 0 assemblages remaining to be tested.
18, 1 assemblages remaining to be tested.
19, 1 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 20, 0 assemblages remaining to be tested.

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSVDRAW)

## Run PSVDRAW to plot the calculated phase diagram:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>psvdraw

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project or plot file name [i.e., without the .plt suffix]:

```
Ex5_1
```

```
Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
    Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
    axis_label_scale 1.20 [1.2] (rel)
    bounding_box:
        [0] x-min (pts)
        0 [0] y-min (pts)
        800 [800] x-length (pts)
        800 [800] y-length (pts)
    field_fill T [T] F
    field_label T [T] F
    field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
    font Helvetica
    grid F [F]T
    half_ticks T [T] F
    line_width 1.00 0-99 [1.] (pts)
    picture_transformation :
        0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
        0.180 [0.18] y-scale (rel)
        130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
        220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
        0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
    plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
    replicate_label 0.250 0->1 [0.025]
    splines T [T] F
    tenth_ticks F [F] T
    text_scale 1.000 [1.] (rel)
```

To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex5_1.ps

Modify the default plot $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
y

Modify drafting options (y/n)?
answer yes to modify:
$-x-y$ plotting limits

- axes numbering
y

Modify $x-y$ limits ( $y / n$ )?
n

Restrict phase fields by variance ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
answer yes to:

- suppress pseudounivariant curves and/or pseudoinvariant points of a specified true variance.
n

Restrict phase fields by phase identities ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?

```
answer yes to:
    - show fields that contain a specific assemblage
    - show fields that do not contain specified phases
    - show fields that contain any of a set of specified phases
y
To simplify, we will exclude all those reaction curves that involve brucite.
```

WARNING: You can not specify saturated phases or phases determined by component saturation constraints in these restrictions.

Show only with assemblage ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
n

Show only without phases $(y / n)$ ?
y

Enter the name of a phase absent in all fields (left justified, <cr> to finish):
br

Enter the name of a phase absent in all fields (left justified, <cr> to finish):
enter

Show only with phases $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n

Modify default equilibrium labeling ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
answer yes to:

- modify/suppress [pseudo-] univariant curve labels
- suppress [pseudo-] invariant point labels
y
Suppress curve labels ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
n

Change default labeling of curve segments ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
y
Suppress labels of pseudounivariant curves $(y / n)$ ?
n

Enter minimum fraction of the axes length that a curve must be to receive a text label (0-1):
1

Enter minimum fraction of the axes length that a curve must be to receive a numeric label ( $0-1.000$ ):
0

Suppress point labels $(y / n)$ ?
n

Modify default axes numbering ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?

Enter the starting value and interval for major tick marks on the X-axis (current values are: $0.00 \quad 0.200$ Enter the new values:

0
0.2

Enter the starting value and interval for major tick marks on the Y -axis (current values are: 573. 60.0 ) Enter the new values:

573
100


# Ex. 5.2 - Isobaric $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{XCO}_{2}$ pseudosection of a siliceous dolomite for the composition 2Qtz-2Dol-1Cal (see Bucher \& Grapes, 2011; Fig. 6.8) 

## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>build

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default = my_project]:

Ex5_2

The problem definition file will be named: ex5_2.dat

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default = hp02ver.dat]:
hp62ver.dat

Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat

The current data base components are:

```
Na2O MgO Al2O3 SiO2 K2O CaO TiO2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO2 Cl2 O2 H2O CO2 CuO Cr2O3 S2
F2
Transform them (Y/N)?
n
Specify computational mode:
    1-Convex-Hull minimization
    2 - Constrained minimization on a 2d grid [default]
    3-Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
    4-Output pseudocompound data
    5-1-d Phase fractionation calculations
    6-0-d Infiltration-reactionation-fractionation
    7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with > 2 independent
variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3
independent variables.
```

2
Calculations with a saturated fluid $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y

Select the independent saturated fluid components:
H2O CO2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
For C-O-H fluids it is only necessary to select volatile species present in the solids of interest. If the species listed here are H 2 O and CO 2 , then to constrain O 2 chemical potential to be consistent with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluid speciation treat O 2 as a saturated component. Refer to the Perple_X Tutorial for details.
H2O
CO2

Calculations with saturated components ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
N

Select thermodynamic components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
MgO
CaO
SiO2

Because the thermodynamic data file identifies: H2O CO2 as special components, you will be prompted next for the EoS to be used for the corresponding composants and mixtures thereof. To override this behavior, e.g., to use a generic hybrid fluid EoS, delete the special_component section from the thermodynamic data file header.

```
Select fluid equation of state:
    0-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Modified Redlich-Kwong (MRK) DeSantis et al 74
    1-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 HSMRK Kerrick & Jacobs 81
    2 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
    5-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 CORK Holland & Powell 91,98
    8-f(O2/CO2) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS*
    10-X(O) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    12-X(O)-f(S2) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    13-X(H2) H2O-H2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
    14-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Pitzer & Sterner 94; Holland & Powell mixing 03
    15-X(H2) H2O-H2 low T MRK hybrid-EoS*
    16 - X(O) H-O MRK hybrid-EoS*
    17-X(O)-f(S2) H-O-S MRK hybrid-EoS*
    19 - X(O)-X(S) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    20-X(O)-X(C) COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    24-f(O2/CO2)-N/C C-buffered COHN MRK hybrid-EoS*
    25-X(CO2)-X(NaCl) H2O-CO2-NaCl Aranovich et al 10
    26-X(O) O-Si MRK Connolly 16
    27-X(O)-X(C) C-O-H MRK hybrid-EoS*
```

*Hybrid EoS use the following pure species EoS, to change these associations modify the hybrid_EoS keywords in the
perplex_option file:
H2O - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CO2 - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CH4 - HSMRK Kerrick \& Jacobs 1981
5

The data base has $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ as default independent potentials.
Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
n
Select $x$-axis variable:
1-P(bar)
2-T(K)
3-Y(CO2)
4 - Composition X_C1* (user defined)
*X_C1 can not be selected as the $y$-axis variable
3

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$
0
1
Select $y$-axis variable:
2-T(K)
3-P(bar)
2

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$
573
873

Specify sectioning value for: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$
1000
For gridded minimization, grid resolution is determined by the number of levels (grid_levels) and the resolution at the lowest level in the $X$ - and $Y$-directions (x_nodes and $y_{-}$nodes) these parameters are currently set for the exploratory and autorefi ne cycles as follows:
stage grid_levels xnodes ynodes effective resolution
exploratory $1 \begin{array}{lllll}60 & 60 & 60 \times 60 \text { nodes }\end{array}$
auto-refine $4 \quad 60 \quad 60 \quad 473 \times 473$ nodes
To change these options edit or create the file perplex_option.dat
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html\#grid_parameters
Specify component amounts by mass ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
n

The amounts you enter next need not be normalized; regardless of units, they define the molar amount of the system
The starting composition $2 \mathrm{Qtz}+2 \mathrm{Dol}+1 \mathrm{Cal}$ means: $2 \mathrm{SiO} 2+2 \mathrm{MgO}+3 \mathrm{CaO}$
Enter the molar amounts of the components:
$\mathrm{MgO} \mathrm{CaO} \mathrm{SiO2}$
for the bulk composition of interest:
2

Output a print file $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y
**warning ver051** cannot make hmjL because of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file.

Exclude pure and/or endmember phases $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y
Do you want to be prompted for phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
n
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
cumm_dqf
fo8L
q8L
cenjh
odi
qjL
dijL
fojL
foTL
mcpv
cmpv
foHL
qHL
Irn
spu
merw
ty
mont
rnk
ak
cen

Include solution models ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
n

Enter calculation title:
Ex5_2

## (2) Doing the calculation (VERTEX)

## Run VERTEX to make the calculation:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>vertex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex5_2
Reading problem definition from file: ex5_2.dat
Reading thermodynamic data from file: hp62ver.dat
Reading solution models from file: not requested
Writing print output to file: ex5_2.prn
Writing plot output to file: ex5_2.plt
Writing bulk composition plot output to file: ex5_2.blk
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Perple_X computational option settings for VERTEX:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Auto-refine options:
auto_refine man off manual [auto]
Free energy minimization options:
final_resolution:
exploratory stage $0.1 \mathrm{E}-1 \quad[1 \mathrm{e}-2]$, target value, see actual values be low auto-refine stage $\quad 0.1 \mathrm{E}-2 \quad[1 \mathrm{e}-3]$, target value, see actual values be low
resolution_factor $2 \quad>=2$ [2]
refinement_points 5 [aut] or 1->12; aut = automatic
solvus_tolerance_II aut $0->1$ [0.2]
global_reach_increment $0 \quad>=0$ [0]
reach_increment_switch on [on] off all
zero_mode $\quad 0.1 \mathrm{E}-05 \quad 0->1[1 \mathrm{e}-6] ;<0=>$ off
2D grid options:

| x_nodes | 40/40 | [20/40], >0, <2048; effective x-resolution |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 / 473 nodes |  |  |
| y_nodes | 40/40 | [20/40], >0, <2048; effective y-resolution |
| 60 / 473 nodes |  |  |
| grid_levels | $1 / 4$ | [1/4], >0, <10 |
| linear_model | on | off [on] |

Solution subdivision options:
initial_resolution:

| exploratory stage | 0.0625 | $0->1[1 / 16], 0=>$ off |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| auto-refine stage | 0.0208 | $0->1[1 / 48], 0=>$ off |
| stretch_factor | 0.0020 | $>0[2 d-3]$ |

subdivision_override off [off] lin str
hard_limits off [off] on
refine_endmembers $F$ [F] T
Thermodynamic options:
solvus_tolerance aut [aut] or $0->1$; aut = automatic, $0=>p=c$ pseudocompounds, 1 => homogenize
T_stop (K) $\quad 0.0 \quad[0]$
T_melt (K) $873.0 \quad$ [873]
order_check on off [on]
approx_alpha T [T] F
Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T
speciation_factor 100. $>10$ [100] speciation precision $=$ final resolution/speciation_factor
speciation_max_it 100 [100]
hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
hybrid_EoS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4, 7
hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1, 7
aq_bad_results err [err] 101, 102, 103, ignore
aq_lagged_speciation F [F] T
aq_ion_H+ T [T] F => use OH -
aq_oxide_components $F \quad[F] T$
aq_solvent_solvus T [T] F
aq_vapor_epsilon 1.0 [1.]
Input/Output options:
dependent_potentials off off[on]
pause_on_error T [T] F
auto_exclude T [T] F
logarithmic_p F [F] T
bad_number $\quad \mathrm{NaN} \quad[\mathrm{NaN}]$
interim_results aut [auto] off manual
Information file output options:
option_list_files F [F] T; echo computational options
pseudocompound_file F [F] T; echo static pseudocompound compositions
auto_refine_file F [F] T; echo auto-refine compositions
Worst case (Cartesian) compositional resolution (mol):
Exploratory stage: $0.521 \mathrm{E}-2$
Auto-refine stage: $\quad 0.868 \mathrm{E}-3$
Adapative minimization will be done with:
4 iterations in the exploratory stage
5 iterations in the autorefine stage
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html

[^0]23.3\% done with low level grid.
25.9\% done with low level grid.
28.4\% done with low level grid.
$31.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$33.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$36.1 \%$ done with low level grid.
$38.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
$41.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
$43.8 \%$ done with low level grid.
$46.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
48.9\% done with low level grid.
$51.4 \%$ done with low level grid.
54.0\% done with low level grid.
$56.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
59.1\% done with low level grid.
61.7\% done with low level grid.
$64.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
$66.8 \%$ done with low level grid.
69.3\% done with low level grid.
$71.9 \%$ done with low level grid.
$74.4 \%$ done with low level grid.
$77.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$79.6 \%$ done with low level grid.
$82.1 \%$ done with low level grid.
$84.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
$87.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
89.8\% done with low level grid.
$92.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
94.9\% done with low level grid.
97.4\% done with low level grid.
$100.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
Beginning grid refinement stage.
234 grid cells to be refined at grid level 2
...working ( 502 minimizations done)
refinement at level 2 involved 534 minimizations
2134 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 6241
461 grid cells to be refined at grid level 3
...working ( 470 minimizations done)
...working ( 971 minimizations done)
refinement at level 3 involved 975 minimizations
3109 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 24649
916 grid cells to be refined at grid level 4
...working ( 498 minimizations done)
...working ( 1000 minimizations done)
...working ( 1501 minimizations done)
refinement at level 4 involved 1893 minimizations
5002 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 98596

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSSECT)

Run PSSECT to plot the calculated pseudosection:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>pssect

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex5_2

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $1.20 \quad$ [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box :
0 [0] x-min (pts)
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill T [T] F
field_label $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
0.180 [0.18] y-scale (rel)
130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
replicate_label $0.250 \quad 0->1[0.025]$
splines T [T] F
tenth_ticks F [F] T
text_scale 1.000 [1.] (rel)
To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex5_2.ps

Modify the default plot $(y / n)$ ?
N


# Ex. 5.3 - Isobaric T-X(CO2) projection for a generic siliceous limestone containing excess Qtz 

## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

The problem is the same as in Ex. 5.1, except for the choice of the excess component that is now SiO 2 (quartz is in excess). You can edit the ex5_1.dat input file (change the name in ex5_3). The thermodynamic components are now MgO and CaO , whereas the saturated component is SiO .
begin thermodynamic component list
MgO $00.00000 \quad 0.00000 \quad 0.00000$ unconstrained amount
CaO 00.000000 .000000 .00000 unconstrained amount
end thermodynamic component list
begin saturated component list
SiO2 $00.000000 .00000 \quad 0.00000$ unconstrained amount
end saturated component list

## (2) Doing the calculation (CONVEX)

## Run CONVEX to make the calculation:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>convex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex5_3

Reading problem definition from file: ex5_3.dat
Reading thermodynamic data from file: hp62ver.dat
Reading solution models from file: not requested
Writing print output to file: ex5_3.prn
Writing plot output to file: ex5_3.plt
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing complete reaction list to file: not requested
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Perple_X computational option settings for CONVEX:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Auto-refine options:
auto_refine man off manual [auto]
Schreinemakers and Mixed-variable diagram options:
variance $\quad 1 / 99 \quad[1 / 99],>0$, maximum true variance
increment 0.100/0.025 [0.1/0.025], default search/trace variable
increment

```
    efficiency 3 [3]>0<6
    reaction_format min [min] full stoichiometry S+V everything
    reaction_list off [off] on
    console_messages on [on] off
    short_print_file on [on] off
Solution subdivision options:
    initial_resolution:
    exploratory stage 0.0625 0->1 [1/16], 0 => off
    auto-refine stage 0.0208 0->1 [1/48 ], 0 => off
    stretch_factor 0.0020 >0 [2d-3]
    subdivision_override off [off] lin str
    hard_limits off [off] on
    refine_endmembers F [F] T
    pc_perturbation 0.0050 [5d-3]
Thermodynamic options:
    solvus_tolerance aut [aut] or 0->1; aut = automatic, 0 => p=c pseudocompounds, 1 => homogenize
    T_stop (K) 0.0 [0]
    T_melt (K) 873.0 [873]
    order_check on off [on]
    approx_alpha T [T] F
    Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T
    speciation_factor 100. >10 [100] speciation precision = final resolution/speciation_factor
    speciation_max_it 100 [100]
    hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
    hybrid_EoS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4,7
    hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1,7
    aq_bad_results err [err] 101, 102, 103, ignore
    aq_lagged_speciation F [F]T
    aq_ion_H+ T [T] F => use OH-
    aq_oxide_components F [F] T
    aq_solvent_solvus T [T] F
    aq_vapor_epsilon 1.0 [1.]
Input/Output options:
    dependent_potentials off off [on]
    pause_on_error T [T] F
    auto_exclude T [T] F
Worst case (Cartesian) compositional resolution (mol):
    Exploratory stage: 0.521E-2
    Auto-refine stage: 0.868E-3
Adapative minimization will be done with:
    4 \text { iterations in the exploratory stage}
    5 \text { iterations in the autorefine stage}
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
** Starting auto_refine computational stage **
cycle 1 1 1 1
cycle 2 2 2
cycle 3 3 3
Initial number of divariant assemblages to be tested is: 3
Testing divariant assemblage 1,2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
```

finished with equilibrium ( finished with equilibrium ( finished with equilibrium ( finished with equilibrium ( finished with equilibrium ( finished with equilibrium ( finished with equilibrium ( finished with equilibrium (

1) $\mathrm{tacc}=\mathrm{tr}$
2) ta dol $=\mathrm{tr}$
3) dol = ta cc
4) $\mathrm{dol}=\operatorname{trcc}$
5) $\mathrm{mag}=\mathrm{ta}$
6) $\mathrm{mag}=\mathrm{ta}$
7) dol mag $=\mathrm{tr}$
8) $\operatorname{trcc}=\mathrm{di}$
** warning ver047** univariant field 8 terminates at an invariant field that could not be located within the tolerance specified in the thermodynamic data file (PTOL= $0.300000 \mathrm{E}-02$ ).
finished with equilibrium (
9) $\mathrm{dol}=\mathrm{di}$
** warning ver020** sfol2
finished with equilibrium (
10) $\mathrm{dol}=\mathrm{di}$
finished with equilibrium (
11) $\mathrm{dol}=\mathrm{di}$
finished with equilibrium ( 9 ) ta $=$ en
finished with equilibrium ( 10 ) mag = en
finished with equilibrium ( 11 ) dol en $=$ tr
finished with equilibrium ( 10 ) $\mathrm{mag}=$ en
finished with equilibrium ( 10 ) $\mathrm{mag}=$ en
finished with equilibrium ( 12) ta $=$ anth
finished with equilibrium ( 13 ) anth = en
finished with equilibrium ( 8) dol = di
finished with equilibrium (14) $\mathrm{tr}=\mathrm{en} \mathrm{di}$
finished with equilibrium ( 8) dol $=\mathrm{di}$
Testing divariant assemblage
2, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 3,2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 15 ) cc = wo
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
Testing divariant assemblage
4, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
5, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
6, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
7, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
8, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
9, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
10, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
11, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
12, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
13, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
14, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
15, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
16, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
17, 1 assemblages remaining to be tested.
18, 0 assemblages remaining to be tested.
19, 1 assemblages remaining to be tested.
20, 0 assemblages remaining to be tested.
21, 0 assemblages remaining to be tested.
22, 0 assemblages remaining to be tested.

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSVDRAW)

## Run PSVDRAW to plot the calculated phase diagram:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>psvdraw

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project or plot file name [i.e., without the .plt suffix]:
Ex5_3

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale 1.20 [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:
0 [0] x-min (pts)
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill T [T] F
field_label T [T] F
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
0.180 [0.18] $y$-scale (rel)
130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
$0.00 \quad$ [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
replicate_label $0.250 \quad 0->1$ [0.025]
splines T [T] F
tenth_ticks F [F] T
text_scale 1.000 [1.] (rel)
To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex4_3.ps

Modify the default plot $(y / n)$ ?
n


# Ex. 5.4 - Isobaric $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{XCO}_{2}$ pseudosection of a siliceous limestone for the composition 6Qtz-2Dol-1Cal (see Bucher \& Grapes, 2011; Fig. 6.12) 

## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

The problem is the same as in Ex. 5.2, except for the composition of the system that is now $6 \mathrm{Qtz}+2 \mathrm{Dol}+$ 1Cal, corresponding to $6 \mathrm{SiO} 2+2 \mathrm{MgO}+3 \mathrm{CaO}$. You can edit the ex5_2.dat input file (change the name in ex5_4) (see below).
begin thermodynamic component list

| MgO | 1 | 2.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | molar amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CaO | 1 | 3.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | molar amount |
| SiO2 | 1 | 6.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | molar amount |

end thermodynamic component list

## (2) Doing the calculation (VERTEX)

## Run VERTEX to make the calculation:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>vertex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:

```
Ex5_4
```

Reading problem definition from file: ex5_4.dat
Reading thermodynamic data from file: hp62ver.dat
Reading solution models from file: not requested
Writing print output to file: ex5_4.prn
Writing plot output to file: ex5_4.plt
Writing bulk composition plot output to file: ex5_4.blk
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Perple_X computational option settings for VERTEX:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Auto-refine options:
auto_refine man off manual [auto]
Free energy minimization options:
final_resolution:
exploratory stage
auto-refine stage
0.1E-1 [1e-2], target value, see actual values be low
resolution_factor $2>=2$ [2]
refinement_points 5 [aut] or 1->12; aut = automatic

```
solvus_tolerance_II aut 0->1 [0.2]
global_reach_increment 0 >= 0 [0]
reach_increment_switch on [on] off all
zero_mode 0.1E-05 0->1 [1e-6];<0 => off
2D grid options:
\begin{tabular}{lcl} 
x_nodes & \(40 / 40\) & {\([20 / 40],>0,<2048 ;\) effective x-resolution \(40 / 313\) nodes } \\
y_nodes & \(40 / 40\) & {\([20 / 40],>0,<2048 ;\) effective y-resolution \(40 / 313\) nodes } \\
grid_levels & \(1 / 4\) & {\([1 / 4],>0,<10\)} \\
linear_model & on \(\quad\) off \([\mathrm{on}]\)
\end{tabular}
```

Solution subdivision options:
initial_resolution:

| exploratory stage | 0.0625 | $0->1[1 / 16], 0=>$ off |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| auto-refine stage | 0.0208 | $0->1[1 / 48], 0=>$ off |


| stretch_factor | 0.0020 | $>0[2 d-3]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| subdivision_override off | [off] lin str |  |
| hard_limits off | [off] on |  |
| refine_endmembers | F | [F] T |

Thermodynamic options:
solvus_tolerance aut [aut] or $0->1$; aut = automatic, $0=>p=c$ pseudocompounds, 1 => homogenize
T_stop (K) $0.0 \quad$ [0]
T_melt (K) 873.0 [873]
order_check on off [on]
approx_alpha T [T] F
Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T
speciation_factor $100 .>10[100]$ speciation precision $=$ final resolution/speciation_factor
speciation_max_it 100 [100]
hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
hybrid_EoS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4, 7
hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1, 7
aq_bad_results err [err] 101, 102, 103, ignore
aq_lagged_speciation F [F]T
aq_ion_H+ T [T] F => use OH-
aq_oxide_components F [F] T
aq_solvent_solvus T [T] F
aq_vapor_epsilon 1.0 [1.]
Input/Output options:
dependent_potentials off off [on]
pause_on_error T [T] F
auto_exclude T [T] F
logarithmic_p F [F] T
bad_number $\quad \mathrm{NaN} \quad[\mathrm{NaN}]$
interim_results aut [auto] off manual
Information file output options:
option_list_files F [F] T; echo computational options
pseudocompound_file F [F] T; echo static pseudocompound compositions
auto_refine_file F [F] T; echo auto-refine compositions
Worst case (Cartesian) compositional resolution (mol):
Exploratory stage: $0.521 \mathrm{E}-2$
Auto-refine stage: $0.868 \mathrm{E}-3$
Adapative minimization will be done with:
4 iterations in the exploratory stage

5 iterations in the autorefine stage
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
** Starting auto_refine computational stage **
$0.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
2.9\% done with low level grid.
5.4\% done with low level grid.
8.0\% done with low level grid.
$10.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
13.1\% done with low level grid.
$15.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
$18.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
20.8\% done with low level grid.
23.3\% done with low level grid.
$25.9 \%$ done with low level grid.
28.4\% done with low level grid.
$31.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$33.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$36.1 \%$ done with low level grid.
38.7\% done with low level grid.
$41.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
$43.8 \%$ done with low level grid.
$46.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
$48.9 \%$ done with low level grid.
51.4\% done with low level grid.
54.0\% done with low level grid.
56.5\% done with low level grid.
59.1\% done with low level grid.
61.7\% done with low level grid.
64.2\% done with low level grid.
$66.8 \%$ done with low level grid.
69.3\% done with low level grid.
$71.9 \%$ done with low level grid.
$74.4 \%$ done with low level grid.
$77.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$79.6 \%$ done with low level grid.
82.1\% done with low level grid.
84.7\% done with low level grid.
$87.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
89.8\% done with low level grid.
92.3\% done with low level grid.
94.9\% done with low level grid.
97.4\% done with low level grid.
100.0\% done with low level grid.

Beginning grid refinement stage.
204 grid cells to be refined at grid level 2 refinement at level 2 involved 436 minimizations
2036 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 6241
366 grid cells to be refined at grid level 3

```
    ...working( }66\mathrm{ minimizations done)
    ...working( }567\mathrm{ minimizations done)
    refinement at level 3 involved 705 minimizations
2741 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 24649
6 8 2 \text { grid cells to be refined at grid level 4}
    ...working ( }363\mathrm{ minimizations done)
    ...working ( }864\mathrm{ minimizations done)
    refinement at level }4\mathrm{ involved 1270 minimizations
4 0 1 1 \text { minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 98596}
```


## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSSECT)

Run PSSECT to plot the calculated pseudosection:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>pssect

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex5_4

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale 1.20 [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:
$0 \quad[0] x-m i n(p t s)$
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill T [T] F
field_label $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
$0.180 \quad$ [0.18] y-scale (rel)
130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
replicate_label $0.250 \quad 0->1$ [0.025]
splines $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
tenth_ticks F [F] T
text_scale $1.000 \quad$ [1.] (rel)
To change these options edit or create the plot option file

See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex5_4.ps

Modify the default plot $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n



Comparison between the isobaric $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{X}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)$ projection for a generic siliceous dolomite containing excess calcite (Ex. 5.1) and the pseudosection calculated for a bulk composition $\mathrm{MgO}=2, \mathrm{CaO}=3, \mathrm{SiO} 2=2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (2Qtz+2Dol+1Cal; Ex. 5.2). This specific bulk composition "sees" (i.e. is sensible to) only some of the reactions predicted by the P-T projection.


Comparison between the isobaric T-X(CO2) projection for a generic siliceous limestone containing excess quarz (Ex. 5.3) and the pseudosection calculated for a bulk composition $\mathrm{MgO}=2 \%, \mathrm{CaO}=3, \mathrm{SiO}=6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ (6Qtz+2Dol+1Cal; Ex. 5.4). This specific bulk composition "sees" (i.e. is sensible to) only some of the reactions predicted by the P-T projection.

## Ex. 6

## Ex. 6 - T-XMg section for the $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}-\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$ system (solvus relations)

This exercise explains how to calculate solvus relations in the system $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}-\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$. In this system two miscibility gaps exist, and the phases on either side of the gaps are calcite/dolomite, and dolomite/magnesite, respectively. The amounts of $\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$ in calcite in equilibrium with dolomite, and that of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ in magnesite in equilibrium with dolomite, change as a function of temperature, and can be used as geothermometers. The effect of pressure on the Cal-Dol and Dol-Mag solvi is investigated by calculating the same phase diagram at different pressures.

The issue can be treated as a simple isobaric T-X pseudosection, where $X(X M g)$ varies between 0 ( $\mathrm{XMg}=0$; Cal) and 1 (XMg=1; Mag). Opposite to Ex. 4 and 5, solid solutions must now be considered. Perplex deals with solid solutions by creating a whole set of "pseudocompounds" (intermediate compositions), and treating each of them like a separate phase. Thus, if a carbonate with composition Calgo has a lower free energy than Cal9 at a particular P-T condition, Calgo will be considered as the stable carbonate.

Pseudocompounds are indicated with abbreviations, whose meaning is sometimes not immediately understandable. To understand the meaning of these abbreviations, I suggest changing to $\mathbf{T}$ (true) the default value of the pseudocompound_file keyword in the perplex_option.dat file. Doing in this way, VERTEX will create an additional output file, listing the composition of each pseudocompound.

## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>build

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default = my_project]:
ex6_5kbar

The problem definition file will be named: ex6_5kbar.dat

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default = hp02ver.dat]:
hp62ver.dat

Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat

The current data base components are:

Transform them $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
n
Specify computational mode:
1 - Convex-Hull minimization
2 - Constrained minimization on a 2d grid [default]
3 - Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
4 - Output pseudocompound data
5-1-d Phase fractionation calculations
6-0-d Infiltration-reactionation-fractionation
7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with $>2$ independent variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables.
The problem can be treated as an isobaric T-X pseudosection, where $X$ varies between $0(X M g=0$; Cal) and 1 ( $\mathrm{XMg}=1$; Mag).
2

Calculations with a saturated fluid $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y

Select the independent saturated fluid components:
H2O CO2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
For C-O-H fluids it is only necessary to select volatile species present in the solids of interest. If the species listed here are H 2 O and CO 2 , then to constrain O 2 chemical potential to be consistent with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluid speciation treat O 2 as a saturated component. Refer to the Perple_X Tutorial for details.
CO2

Calculations with saturated components $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
n

Use chemical potentials, activities or fugacities as independent variables $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
n

Select thermodynamic components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 H 2 O CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
MgO
CaO
Because the thermodynamic data file identifies: CO2 as special components, you will be prompted next for the EoS to be used for the corresponding composants and mixtures thereof. To override this behavior,e.g., to use a generic hybrid fluid EoS, delete the special_component section from the thermodynamic data file header.

Select fluid equation of state:

```
    0-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Modified Redlich-Kwong (MRK) DeSantis et al 74
    1-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 HSMRK Kerrick & Jacobs 81
    2 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
    5-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 CORK Holland & Powell 91,98
    8-f(O2/CO2) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS*
    10 - X(O) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    12 - X(O)-f(S2) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    13-X(H2) H2O-H2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
    14-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Pitzer & Sterner 94; Holland & Powell mixing 03
    15-X(H2) H2O-H2 low T MRK hybrid-EoS*
    16 - X(O) H-O MRK hybrid-EoS*
    17-X(O)-f(S2) H-O-S MRK hybrid-EoS*
    19 - X(O)-X(S) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    20- X(O)-X(C) COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    24 - f(O2/CO2)-N/C C-buffered COHN MRK hybrid-EoS*
    25-X(CO2)-X(NaCl) H2O-CO2-NaCl Aranovich et al 10
    26-X(O) O-Si MRK Connolly 16
    27-X(O)-X(C) C-O-H MRK hybrid-EoS*
    *Hybrid EoS use the following pure species EoS, to change these associations modify the hybrid_EoS keywords in the
    perplex_option file:
    H2O - PSEoS Pitzer & Sterner 1994
    CO2 - PSEoS Pitzer & Sterner 1994
    CH4 - HSMRK Kerrick & Jacobs }198
5
The data base has \(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})\) and \(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})\) as default independent potentials.
Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient ( \(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}\) ) ?
n
Select \(x\)-axis variable:
1-P(bar)
2-T(K)
3 - Y(CO2)
4 - Composition X_C1* (user defined)
*Although only one component is specified for the \(\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)\) phase, its equation of state permits use of its compositional variable:
* X _C1 can not be selected as the \(y\)-axis variable
4
Select y-axis variable:
1-P(bar)
2-T(K)
\(3-Y(C O 2)\)
2
Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: \(T(K)\)
573
1273
```

Specify sectioning value for: P (bar)
5000

Specify sectioning value for: $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$
The fluid is pure CO2.
1

For gridded minimization, grid resolution is determined by the number of levels (grid_levels) and the resolution at the lowest level in the X - and Y -directions (x_nodes and y_nodes) these parameters are currently set for the exploratory and autorefine cycles as follows:
stage grid_levels xnodes ynodes effective resolution
exploratory $14040 \quad 40 \times 40$ nodes
auto-refine $4040 \quad 313 \times 313$ nodes
To change these options edit or create the file perplex_option.dat
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html\#grid_parameters

Specify component amounts by mass ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
N
The amounts you enter next need not be normalized; regardless of units, they define the molar amount of the system

The bulk composition of the system will be computed as:

$$
C=C 0 *\left(1-X_{-} C 1\right)+C 1^{*} X_{-} C 1
$$

where $X_{-} C 1$ varies between 0 and 1 , and $C 0$ and $C 1$ are the compositions specified next.
To compute bulk compositions as: $\quad \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{C} 1 * X_{-} \mathrm{C} 1$ change the computational option keyword closed_c_space.

Enter the molar amounts of the components:
MgO CaO
to define the composition CO
Composition CO should be CaCO 3 , therefore it is defined as $\mathrm{CaO}=1, \mathrm{MgO}=0$
0
1

Enter the molar amounts of the components:

```
MgO CaO
```

to define the composition C1
Composition C 1 should be MgCO , therefore it is defined as $\mathrm{CaO}=0, \mathrm{MgO}=1$
1
0

Output a print file ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
y
** warning ver051** cannot make hmjL because of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file.
...
Exclude pure and/or endmember phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?

Do you want to be prompted for phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
n
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
per
dol
See below the reason why the dol end-member is excluded from the calculation.

Include solution models ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
Y

Enter the solution model file name [default = solution_model.dat]:
[enter]
...

Select models from the following list, enter 1 per line, press <enter> to finish

$$
\operatorname{Do}(\mathrm{AE}) \quad \mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE}) \quad \mathrm{oCcM}(\mathrm{HP}) \quad \operatorname{Carb}(\mathrm{M}) \quad o \mathrm{occM}(\mathrm{EF}) \quad \operatorname{dis}(\mathrm{EF})
$$

For details on these models see:www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_solution_model_glossary.html or read the commentary in the solution model file.
Do(AE)
Cc(AE)
Do(AE) and $\operatorname{Cc}(A E)$ are the solution models for dolomite and magnesite from Anovitz \& Essene (1987), respectively. Cc(AE) also models Mg -calcite.
"The Do(AE) model requires fictive do-structure endmembers that have a standard state G $20920 j>$ than the Cal-structure endmember, these are made here by a "DQF" correction." This "warning" (see solution_model.dat file) implies that the dol end-member must be excluded from the calculation.

Enter calculation title:
Ex6_5kbar

## (2) Doing the calculation (VERTEX)

Run VERTEX to make the calculation:
C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>vertex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
ex6_5kbar
Reading problem definition from file: ex6_5kbar.dat
Reading thermodynamic data from file: hp62ver.dat
Reading solution models from file: solution_model.dat
Writing print output to file: ex6_5kbar.prn
Writing plot output to file: ex6_5kbar.plt
Writing bulk composition plot output to file: ex6_5kbar.blk

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing auto refine summary to file: not requested
Writing pseudocompound glossary to file: ex6_5kbar_pseudocompound_glossary.txt
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Perple_X computational option settings for VERTEX:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Auto-refine options:
auto_refine auto off manual [auto]
Free energy minimization options:
final_resolution: exploratory stage $\quad 0.1 \mathrm{E}-1 \quad[1 \mathrm{e}-2]$, target value, see actual values be low
auto-refine stage $\quad 0.1 \mathrm{E}-2 \quad[1 \mathrm{e}-3]$, target value, see actual values be low
resolution_factor $2>=2$ [2]
refinement_points 4 [aut] or 1->12; aut = automatic
solvus_tolerance_II aut $0->1$ [0.2]
global_reach_increment $0 \quad>=0$ [0]
reach_increment_switch on [on] off all
zero_mode $\quad 0.1 \mathrm{E}-050->1[1 \mathrm{e}-6] ;<0=>$ off
2D grid options:
x_nodes $\quad 40 / 40$ [20/40], $>0,<2048$; effective x-resolution $60 / 313$ nodes
y_nodes $\quad 40 / 40$ [20/40], $>0,<2048$; effective $y$-resolution $60 / 313$ nodes
grid_levels $\quad 1 / 4 \quad[1 / 4],>0,<10$
linear_model on off [on]
Composition options:
closed_c_space T F [T]
Solution subdivision options:
initial_resolution:
exploratory stage $0.0625 \quad 0->1[1 / 16], 0=>$ off
auto-refine stage $0.0208 \quad 0->1[1 / 48], 0=>$ off
stretch_factor $0.0020>0$ [2d-3]
subdivision_override off [off] lin str
hard_limits off [off] on
refine_endmembers F [F] T
Thermodynamic options:
solvus_tolerance aut [aut] or $0->1$; aut = automatic, $0=>p=c$ pseudocompounds, 1 => homogenize
T_stop (K) $0.0 \quad$ [0]
T_melt (K) 873.0 [873]
order_check on off [on]
approx_alpha T [T] F
Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T
speciation_factor 100. >10 [100] speciation precision = final resolution/speciation_factor
speciation_max_it 100 [100]
hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
hybrid_EoS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4, 7
hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1, 7
aq_bad_results err [err] 101, 102, 103, ignore
aq_lagged_speciation F [F] T

```
    aq_ion_H+ T [T] F => use OH-
    aq_oxide_components F [F]T
    aq_solvent_solvus T [T] F
    aq_vapor_epsilon 1.0 [1.]
Input/Output options:
    dependent_potentials off off[on]
    pause_on_error T [T] F
    auto_exclude T [T] F
    logarithmic_p F [F] T
    bad_number NaN [NaN]
    interim_results aut [auto] off manual
Information file output options:
option_list_files F [F] T; echo computational options
pseudocompound_file F [F] T; echo static pseudocompound compositions
auto_refine_file F [F] T; echo auto-refine compositions
Worst case (Cartesian) compositional resolution (mol):
    Exploratory stage: 0.521E-2
    Auto-refine stage: 0.868E-3
    Adapative minimization will be done with:
    4 \text { iterations in the exploratory stage}
    5 \text { iterations in the autorefine stage}
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
**}\mathrm{ warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for Do(AE)
    sid
    1 5 \text { pseudocompounds generated for: Do(AE)}
**warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for Cc(AE)
    sid
    1 5 \text { pseudocompounds generated for: Cc(AE)}
Total number of pseudocompounds: }3
```

This is the total number of pseudocompounds considered by VERTEX; the number of pseudocompounds is controlled by some of the perplex_option keywords. The highest is the number of pseudocompounds, the longest is the calculation (and the virtual memory consumed).

For pseudosection calculations (involving solid solutions), VERTEX divides the calculation in two stages. The EXPLORATORY STAGE is used to roughly establish the stable solution compositions; in the AUTO-REFINE STAGE, VERTEX refines the previous calculation by:

1) Eliminating solutions that were not stable in the exploratory calculation.
2) Restricting compositional ranges of solutions to the ranges established in the exploratory calculation
3) Increasing resolution of compositions within these restricted ranges.

This is the beginning of EXPLORATORY STAGE
** Starting exploratory computational stage **
2.5\% done with low level grid.
5.0\% done with low level grid.
$7.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$10.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$12.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$15.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$17.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$20.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
22.5\% done with low level grid.
$25.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$27.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$30.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$32.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$35.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$37.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
40.0\% done with low level grid.
42.5\% done with low level grid.
45.0\% done with low level grid.
47.5\% done with low level grid.
50.0\% done with low level grid.
52.5\% done with low level grid.
$55.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$57.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
60.0\% done with low level grid.
$62.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
65.0\% done with low level grid.
67.5\% done with low level grid.
$70.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$72.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$75.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$77.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
80.0\% done with low level grid.
$82.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
85.0\% done with low level grid.
87.5\% done with low level grid.
90.0\% done with low level grid.
$92.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$95.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
97.5\% done with low level grid.
$100.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
Endmember fractions for model: Do(AE)
Endmember Minimum Maximum
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { CC } & 0.48438 & 0.56250\end{array}$
Endmember fractions for model: Cc(AE)
Endmember Minimum Maximum
mag $\quad 0.0000 \quad 1.0000$
Reading data for auto-refinement from file: ex6_5kbar.arf
6 pseudocompounds generated for: $\operatorname{Do}(\mathrm{AE})$
47 pseudocompounds generated for: $\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})$
Total number of pseudocompounds: 53

## This is the beginning of the AUTO-REFINE STAGE

** Starting auto_refine computational stage **
$0.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
2.9\% done with low level grid.
5.4\% done with low level grid.
8.0\% done with low level grid.
$10.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$13.1 \%$ done with low level grid.
$15.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
$18.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
$20.8 \%$ done with low level grid.
23.3\% done with low level grid.
25.9\% done with low level grid.
28.4\% done with low level grid.
$31.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$33.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$36.1 \%$ done with low level grid.
$38.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
41.2\% done with low level grid.
43.8\% done with low level grid.
46.3\% done with low level grid.
48.9\% done with low level grid.
$51.4 \%$ done with low level grid.
54.0\% done with low level grid.
$56.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
59.1\% done with low level grid.
61.7\% done with low level grid.
64.2\% done with low level grid.
66.8\% done with low level grid.
69.3\% done with low level grid.
$71.9 \%$ done with low level grid.
$74.4 \%$ done with low level grid.
$77.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
79.6\% done with low level grid.
82.1\% done with low level grid.
84.7\% done with low level grid.
87.2\% done with low level grid.
89.8\% done with low level grid.
$92.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
94.9\% done with low level grid.
97.4\% done with low level grid.
100.0\% done with low level grid.

Beginning grid refinement stage.
167 grid cells to be refined at grid level 2 refinement at level 2 involved 353 minimizations

1953 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 6241
321 grid cells to be refined at grid level 3
...working ( 148 minimizations done)
refinement at level 3 involved 632 minimizations
2585 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 24649
630 grid cells to be refined at grid level 4
...working ( 17 minimizations done)
...working ( 519 minimizations done)
...working ( 1020 minimizations done)
refinement at level 4 involved 1229 minimizations
3814 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 98596
Endmember fractions for model: Do(AE)
Endmember Minimum Maximum
cc $\quad 0.48264 \quad 0.56424$
Endmember fractions for model: Cc(AE)
Endmember Minimum Maximum
mag $0.0000 \quad 1.0000$

Before plotting the calculated diagram, have a look to the new pseudocompound_file.txt generated by VERTEX. It contains the list of the pseudocompounds with their composition.

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSSECT)

## Run PSSECT to plot the calculated pseudosection:

C: \PERPLEX\Perplex685(090118)>pssect

Perple_X version 6.8.5, source updated Dec 22, 2018.
Copyright (C) 1986-2018 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
ex6_5kbar

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale 1.20 [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:
0 [0] x-min (pts)
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
field_label $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
0.180 [0.18] y-scale (rel)
130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)

```
    220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
    0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
replicate_label 0.250 0->1 [0.025]
splines }\quad\textrm{T}\quad[\textrm{T}]\textrm{F
tenth_ticks F [F]T
text_scale 1.000 [1.] (rel)
```

To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex6_5kbar.ps

Modify the default plot (y/n)?
n
There are 2 fields for: Cc

Because the $\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})$ solution model treats simultaneously both the Mg -calcite and magnesite solid solutions, they are indicated with the same name on the diagram. Be careful to assign the correct name to each phase.

5 kbar


In the resulting diagram there are two different types of fields: white fields contain two phases (these are the miscibility gaps), whereas grey fields contain one phase. White fields are di-variant, and grey-field are tri-variant.

The red ellipse highlights a "bug", that can be eliminated by increasing the resolution of the $x-y$ grid used by VERTEX during the gridded minimization calculation.

## (4) Increasing the resolution of the $x-y$ grid

For gridded minimization, VERTEX uses a multilevel grid refinement strategy in which phase relations are mapped on an initial grid of resolution x_nodes * y_nodes. This grid is refined a number of times equal to (grid_levels - 1) by bisection (Connolly 2005). The x_nodes, y_nodes, grid_levels parameters are set by keywords of the same name in the perplex_option file. Each keyword takes two integer values. The first value is used for the exploratory stage of gridded minimization calculations, while the second value is used for the auto-refine stage.
There is no simple rule for the best choices for x_nodes or y_nodes as they depend on the scale of the diagram and the heterogeneity of its phase fields, the default values are 40 and 40 for exploratory and auto-refinement stages of a calculation. The default values of grid_levels are 1 and 4 for exploratory and auto-refinement stages.

Increase the resolution of the grid, by increasing the number of $x-y$ nodes for the auto-refinement stage of calculation from 40 to 60 (open the perplex_option.dat file and modify the default value of the x_nodes and y_nodes parameters).
x_nodes 4060 | [40 40] exploratory and auto-refine (grid parameters keyword group), lowest-level $x$ grid resolution
y_nodes 4060 | [40 40] exploratory and auto-refine (grid parameters keyword group), lowest-level y grid resolution

Run VERTEX and PSSECT again and see the result. The problem has been solved.


## (5) Exploring the influence of pressure on the Cal-Dol and Dol-Mag solvi

The influence of pressure on the Cal-Dol and Dol-Mag solvi can be investigated by calculating the same phase diagram at different pressures ( 5 to 30 kbar, every 5 kbar). You can edit the input file, by specifying a different pressure (remember to rename the file). Pressure is reported at the end of the input file:

| 5000.00 | 1273.00 | 1.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | $\operatorname{maxp}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{xco2}, \mathrm{u} 1, \mathrm{u} 2$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 5000.00 | 573.000 | 1.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | $\min \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{xco2}, \mathrm{u} 1, \mathrm{u} 2$ |
| 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | unused place holder post 06 |

Run again VERTEX and PSESECT for calculation at 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 kbar, respectively.


What happens at the $T$ (and $P$ ) conditions indicated by the blue, red and orange arrows?
How many phases are stable at those P-T conditions?

## Ex. 7

## Ex. 7 - P-T projection for the $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}-\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$ system using solid solutions

This exercise explains how to calculate a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{T}$ projection for the $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}-\mathrm{MgCO}_{3}$ system, using solid solutions (rather than end members only).
Combined with Ex. 6, this exercise provides the opportunity for understanding the relationships between isobaric T-X sections and P-T projections.

## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>build

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default = my_project]:
ex7

The problem definition file will be named: ex7.dat

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default = hp02ver.dat]:
hp62ver.dat

Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat

The current data base components are:

```
Na2O MgO Al2O3 SiO2 K2O CaO TiO2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO2 Cl2 O2 H2O CO2 CuO Cr2O3 S2
F2
Transform them (Y/N)?
n
Specify computational mode:
    1-Convex-Hull minimization
    2-Constrained minimization on a 2d grid [default]
    3-Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
    4-Output pseudocompound data
    5-1-d Phase fractionation calculations
    6-0-d Infiltration-reactionation-fractionation
    7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with > 2 independent
variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagram or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent
variables.
```

Calculations with a saturated fluid $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y

Select the independent saturated fluid components:
H2O CO2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:

For C-O-H fluids it is only necessary to select volatile species present in the solids of interest. If the species listed here are H 2 O and CO 2 , then to constrain O 2 chemical potential to be consistent with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluid speciation treat O 2 as a saturated component. Refer to the Perple_X Tutorial for details.
CO2

Calculations with saturated components $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N

Use chemical potentials, activities or fugacities as independent variables ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
N

Select thermodynamic components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 H 2 O CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
MgO
CaO

Because the thermodynamic data file identifies: CO2 as special components, you will be prompted next for the EoS to be used for the corresponding composants and mixtures thereof. To override this behavior, e.g., to use a generic hybrid fluid EoS, delete the special_component section from the thermodynamic data file header.

Select fluid equation of state:
0-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Modified Redlich-Kwong (MRK) DeSantis et al 74
1-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 HSMRK Kerrick \& Jacobs 81
2 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
5 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 CORK Holland \& Powell 91, 98
8 - f(O2/CO2) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS*
10-X(O) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
12 - X(O)-f(S2) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
13 - X(H2) H2O-H2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
14-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Pitzer \& Sterner 94; Holland \& Powell mixing 03
15-X(H2) H2O-H2 low T MRK hybrid-EoS*
16-X(O) H-O MRK hybrid-EoS*
17 - X(O)-f(S2) H-O-S MRK hybrid-EoS*
19-X(O)-X(S) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
20 - X(O)-X(C) COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
24-f(O2/CO2)-N/C C-buffered COHN MRK hybrid-EoS*
$25-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{CO} 2)-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{NaCl}) \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO} 2-\mathrm{NaCl}$ Aranovich et al 10
26-X(O) O-Si MRK Connolly 16
27-X(O)-X(C) C-O-H MRK hybrid-EoS*
*Hybrid EoS use the following pure species EoS, to change these associations modify the hybrid_EoS keywords in the perplex_option file:

H2O - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CO2 - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CH4 - HSMRK Kerrick \& Jacobs 1981
5

The data base has $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ as default independent potentials.
Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
n

Specify number of independent potential variables:
0 - Composition diagram [default]
1 - Mixed-variable diagram
2 - Sections and Schreinemakers-type diagrams
2

Select x-axis variable:
1-P(bar)
2-T(K)
$3-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$
*Although only one component is specified for the $Y(C O 2)$ phase, its equation of state permits use of its compositional variable:
2

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$
573
1273
Same T range as in Ex. 6

Select y-axis variable:
2-P(bar)
$3-Y(C O 2)$
2

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$
5000
30000
Same P range as in Ex. 6

Specify sectioning value for: $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$
1

Output a print file ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
y
...
Exclude pure and/or endmember phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
y
Do you want to be prompted for phases $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
n
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
per
dol
Same as in Ex. 6

Include solution models $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y
Enter the solution model file name [default = solution_model.dat]:
[enter]
...
Select models from the following list, enter 1 per line, press <enter> to finish

```
Do(AE) Cc(AE) oCcM(HP) Carb(M) oCcM(EF) dis(EF)
```

For details on these models see:www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_solution_model_glossary.html or read the commentary in the solution model file.
Do(AE)
Cc(AE)
Same as in Ex. 6

Enter calculation title:
ex7

## (2) Doing the calculation (CONVEX)

## Run CONVEX to make the calculation:

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>convex
Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex7
Reading problem definition from file: ex7.dat
Reading thermodynamic data from file: hp62ver.dat
Reading solution models from file: solution_model.dat
Writing print output to file: ex7.prn
Writing plot output to file: ex7.plt
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing complete reaction list to file: not requested
Writing auto refine summary to file: not requested
Writing pseudocompound glossary to file: ex7_pseudocompound_glossary.txt
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Perple_X computational option settings for CONVEX:

Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Auto-refine options:

> auto_refine aut off manual [auto]

Schreinemakers and Mixed-variable diagram options:


Solution subdivision options:
initial_resolution:
exploratory stage $0.0625 \quad 0->1[1 / 16], 0=>$ off
auto-refine stage $0.0208 \quad 0->1[1 / 48], 0=>$ off
stretch_factor $0.0020>0$ [2d-3]
subdivision_override off [off] lin str
hard_limits off [off] on
refine_endmembers F [F] T
pc_perturbation 0.0050 [5d-3]
Thermodynamic options:
solvus_tolerance aut [aut] or 0->1; aut = automatic, $0=>p=c$ pseudocompounds, 1 => homogenize
T_stop (K) 0.0 [0]
T_melt (K) 873.0 [873]
order_check on off [on]
approx_alpha T [T] F
Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T
speciation_factor $100 .>10[100]$ speciation precision $=$ final resolution/speciation_factor
speciation_max_it 100 [100]
hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
hybrid_EoS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4, 7
hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1, 7
aq_bad_results err [err] 101, 102, 103, ignore
aq_lagged_speciation F [F]T
aq_ion_H+ $\quad$ T $\quad \mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}=>$ use OH -
aq_oxide_components $\mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
aq_solvent_solvus T [T] F
aq_vapor_epsilon 1.0 [1.]
Input/Output options:
dependent_potentials off off [on]
pause_on_error T [T] F
auto_exclude T [T] F
Worst case (Cartesian) compositional resolution (mol):
Exploratory stage: $0.521 \mathrm{E}-2$
Auto-refine stage: $0.868 \mathrm{E}-3$
Adapative minimization will be done with:
4 iterations in the exploratory stage
5 iterations in the autorefine stage
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html

```
**warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for Do(AE)
sid
    15 pseudocompounds generated for: Do(AE)
**warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for Cc(AE)
sid
    15 pseudocompounds generated for: Cc(AE)
Total number of pseudocompounds: }3
```

** Starting exploratory computational stage **
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { cycle } & 1 & 1 & 1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{clll}\text { cycle } & 2 & 2 & 2\end{array}$

Initial number of divariant assemblages to be tested is: 2
Testing divariant assemblage 1,1 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 2, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 3, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 4, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 5, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
**warning ver066** Metastable assemblage into FLIPIT:
mag_6.2 cc
$v=7517.30 \quad 573.000 \quad 1.00000 \quad 0.00000 \quad 0.00000$
Testing divariant assemblage 6, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 7,5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 8, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 9, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
**warning ver066** Metastable assemblage into FLIPIT:
mag arag
$\begin{array}{lllll}v=30000.0 & 895.993 & 1.00000 & 0.00000 & 0.00000\end{array}$
Testing divariant assemblage 10, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
** warning ver066** Metastable assemblage into FLIPIT:
mag_6.2 arag
$v=27500.0 \quad 1273.00 \quad 1.00000 \quad 0.00000 \quad 0.00000$
Testing divariant assemblage 11, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 12, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 13,5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 14,5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 15,4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 16, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 17, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 18, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 19, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 20, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 21, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 22, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 23, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 24, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 25, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
**warning ver066** Metastable assemblage into FLIPIT:
arag mag_18.8
$\begin{array}{lllll}v=30000.0 & 1133.00 & 1.00000 & 0.00000 & 0.00000\end{array}$
Testing divariant assemblage $26, \quad 1$ assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 27, 0 assemblages remaining to be tested.

| Endmember fractions for model: Do(AE) |
| :--- |
| Endmember $\quad$ Minimum $\quad$ Maximum |
| cc $\quad 0.50000 \quad 0.56250$ |
| Endmember fractions for model: Cc(AE) |
| Endmember <br> mag$\quad 0.62500 \mathrm{E}-01$ |

Reading data for auto-refinement from file: ex7.arf 10 pseudocompounds generated for: Do(AE)
47 pseudocompounds generated for: $\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})$
Total number of pseudocompounds: 57

| $* *$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * Starting | auto_refine | com |  |
| cycle | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| cycle | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| cycle | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| cycle | 4 | 4 | 4 |

Initial number of divariant assemblages to be tested is: 4
Testing divariant assemblage 1,3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 1 ) $\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})(\mathrm{mag}) \mathrm{Do}(\mathrm{AE})(\mathrm{cc}$ _47.9) $=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})($ mag_97.9)
finished with equilibrium ( 2 ) $\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})(\mathrm{mag})$ arag $=\mathrm{Do}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\mathrm{cc} \_47.9\right)$
finished with equilibrium ( 3 ) $\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})(\mathrm{mag})$ arag $=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\mathrm{mag}_{-} 97.9\right)$
finished with equilibrium ( 4) Cc(AE)(mag_97.9) arag = Do(AE)(cc_47.9)
finished with equilibrium ( 5) Do(AE)(cc_47.9) $=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\mathrm{mag}_{1} 97.9\right) \mathrm{Do}(\mathrm{AE})(\mathrm{cc}$ _50.0)
finished with equilibrium ( 6) Do(AE)(cc_47.9) arag = Do(AE)(cc_50.0)
finished with equilibrium ( 7) Cc(AE)(mag_97.9) arag = Do(AE)(cc_50.0)

finished with equilibrium ( 9) Cc(AE)(mag_97.9) Do(AE)(cc_50.0) = Cc(AE)(mag_95.8)
finished with equilibrium ( 10 ) arag Cc(AE)(mag_95.8) = Do(AE)(cc_50.0)
Testing divariant assemblage 2, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 3, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 11) $\operatorname{Do}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\mathrm{cc} \_50.0\right) \mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\mathrm{mag}_{2} 2.1\right)=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\mathrm{mag}_{2} 4.2\right)$
finished with equilibrium ( 12) Do(AE)(cc_50.0) arag $=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})($ mag_2.1)
finished with equilibrium ( 13) Do(AE)(cc_50.0) arag $=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})($ mag_4.2)
finished with equilibrium ( 14 ) $\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})$ (mag_4.2) arag $=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})$ (mag_2.1)
finished with equilibrium ( 15) Do(AE)(cc_50.0) Cc(AE)(mag_4.2) = Do(AE)(cc_52.1)
finished with equilibrium ( 16) Do(AE)(cc_50.0) arag = Do(AE)(cc_52.1)
finished with equilibrium ( 17) arag Do(AE)(cc_52.1) $=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\mathrm{mag}_{\mathbf{4}} 4.2\right)$
finished with equilibrium ( 18 ) arag $\operatorname{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\mathrm{mag}_{-} 6.2\right)=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})($ mag_4.2)
finished with equilibrium ( 19) Cc(AE)(mag_4.2) Do(AE)(cc_52.1) $=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})($ mag_6.2)
finished with equilibrium ( 20 ) arag $\operatorname{Do}(A E)\left(c c \_52.1\right)=C c(A E)\left(m a g \_6.2\right)$
finished with equilibrium ( 21) arag $\operatorname{Do}(\mathrm{AE})(\mathrm{cc}$ _ 52.1$)=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})($ mag_8.3)
finished with equilibrium ( 22 ) arag $\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\mathrm{mag}_{-} 8.3\right)=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})($ mag_6.2)
finished with equilibrium ( 23) Do(AE)(cc_52.1) Cc(AE)(mag_6.2) = Cc(AE)(mag_8.3)
finished with equilibrium ( 24 ) arag Do(AE)(cc_52.1) $=\operatorname{Do(AE)(cc\_ 54.2)~}$
finished with equilibrium ( 25 ) arag $\operatorname{Do}(\mathrm{AE})\left(c c \_54.2\right)=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})($ mag_8.3)
finished with equilibrium ( 26) Do(AE)(cc_52.1) Cc(AE)(mag_8.3) = Do(AE)(cc_54.2)
finished with equilibrium ( 27 ) arag $\operatorname{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})($ mag_10.4 $)=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})($ mag_8.3)
finished with equilibrium ( 28 ) arag $\operatorname{Do}(A E)\left(c c \_54.2\right)=C c(A E)\left(m a g \_10.4\right)$
finished with equilibrium ( 29 ) $\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\right.$ mag_8.3) $\mathrm{Do}(A E)\left(c c \_54.2\right)=C c(A E)\left(m a g \_10.4\right)$
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37, 8 assemblages remaining to be tested.
38, 9 assemblages remaining to be tested.
39, 9 assemblages remaining to be tested.
40, 9 assemblages remaining to be tested.
41, 8 assemblages remaining to be tested.
42, 8 assemblages remaining to be tested.
43, 9 assemblages remaining to be tested.
44, 9 assemblages remaining to be tested.
45, 8 assemblages remaining to be tested.
46, 7 assemblages remaining to be tested.
47, 7 assemblages remaining to be tested.
48, 8 assemblages remaining to be tested.
49, 7 assemblages remaining to be tested.
50, 7 assemblages remaining to be tested.
51, 7 assemblages remaining to be tested.
52, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
53, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
54, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
55, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
56, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
57, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
58, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
59, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
60, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
61, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
62, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
63, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
64, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
65, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
66, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
67, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
68, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
69, 6 assemblages remaining to be tested.
70, 5 assemblages remaining to be tested.
71, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
72, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
73, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
74, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
75, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
76, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
77, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
78, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
79, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested.
finished with equilibrium ( 48) Cc(AE)(mag_16.7) Do(AE)(cc_56.2) $=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\right.$ mag_18.8 $^{(18.8}$
Testing divariant assemblage 80, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested.
Testing divariant assemblage 81, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested.
**warning ver066** Metastable assemblage into FLIPIT:
mag_16.7 arag
$\begin{array}{lllll}v=30000.0 & 1150.50 & 1.00000 & 0.00000 & 0.00000\end{array}$

Testing divariant assemblage 82, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested. finished with equilibrium ( 49) Cc(AE)(mag_18.8) Do(AE)(cc_56.2) $=\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})\left(\mathrm{mag}_{-} 20.8\right)$

| Testing divariant assemblage | 83, 4 assemblages remaining to be tested. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Testing divariant assemblage | 84, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested. |
| Testing divariant assemblage | 85, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested. |
| Testing divariant assemblage | 86, 3 assemblages remaining to be tested. |
| Testing divariant assemblage | 87, 2 assemblages remaining to be tested. |
| Testing divariant assemblage | 88, 1 assemblages remaining to be tested. |
| Testing divariant assemblage | 89, 0 assemblages remaining to be tested. |
| Endmember fractions for model: Do(AE) |  |
| Endmember Minimum | Maximum |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { cc } & 0.47917 \quad 0.56250\end{array}$ |  |
| Endmember fractions for model: $\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{AE})$ |  |
| Endmember Minimum | Maximum |
| mag 0.20833E-01 0.97 | 7917 |

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSVDRAW)

## Run PSWDRAW to plot the calculated pseudosection:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>psvdraw

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project or plot file name [i.e., without the .plt suffix]:
ex7

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale 1.20 [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:
0 [0] x-min (pts)
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill T [T] F
field_label T [T] F
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :

| 0.180 | $[0.18]$ x-scale (rel) |
| :---: | :--- |
| 0.180 | $[0.18]$ y-scale (rel) |
| 130. | [0.18] x-translation (pts) |
| 220. | [0.18] y-translation (pts) |
| 0.00 | [0.0] rotation (deg) |
| ratio | $1.000 \quad$ [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length |
|  | $0.250 \quad 0->1[0.025]$ |
| T | $[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$ |

```
tenth_ticks F [F] T
text_scale 1.000 [1.] (rel)
```

To change these options edit or create the plot option fileSee: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html PostScript will be written to file: ex7.ps

## Modify the default plot $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?

Y
CONVEX has calculated both true univariant equilibria (i.e. variance $=1$ ) and pseudo-univariant equilibria (i.e. equilibria among pseudocompounds, involving two pseudocompounds of the same phase on both sides of the reaction; variance =2). Pseudo-univariant equilibria are not true univariant reactions, and it is convenient not to plot them, otherwise the resulting diagram would be full of curves.

Modify drafting options ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
answer yes to modify:

- x-y plotting limits
- axes numbering
n

Restrict phase fields by variance ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
answer yes to:

- suppress pseudounivariant curves and/or pseudoinvariant points of a specified true variance.
y
This is a key prompt. We are interested to "true" univariant reactions (i.e. true variance = 1), not to pseudo-univariant curves (i.e. di-variant equilibria involving two pseudocompounds of the same phase on both sides of the reaction). Therefore we must suppress all the curves that have a variance $\geq 2$ (i.e. we must show all fields with true variance $<2$, see below).

Select true variance restriction to be applied to pseudo-invariant/univariant equilibria:
1 - show all fields with true variance < than a specified value [default]
2 - show all fields with a specified true variance
1
We are interested to "true" univariant reactions (i.e. true variance =1), therefore we must show all fields with true variance $<2$.

Enter the true variance to be used for this restriction [1-99, default = 2]:
2

Suppress pseudoinvariant points $(y / n)$ ?
N
Pseudoinvariant points are useful for visualizing how a phase changes its composition along a true univariant curve (see below).
Restrict phase fields by phase identities $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
answer yes to:

- show fields that contain a specific assemblage
- show fields that do not contain specified phases
- show fields that contain any of a set of specified phases
n

```
Modify default equilibrium labeling ( \(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}\) )?
    answer yes to:
    - modify/suppress [pseudo-] univariant curve labels
    - suppress [pseudo-] invariant point labels
y
```

Suppress curve labels $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n
Change default labeling of curve segments ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
y
Suppress labels of pseudounivariant curves $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
y

Enter minimum fraction of the axes length that a curve must be to receive a text label (0-1):
1 means that a text label will be assigned to those curves that are longer than the axes length.
1

Enter minimum fraction of the axes length that a curve must be to receive a numeric label (0-1.000):
0 means that a numeric label will be assigned to all those curves that are shorter than the axes length. 0

Suppress point labels (y/n)?
N

The resulting diagram shows three univariant equilibria. Two of them are divided in several parts (each one with a numeric label) by pseudo-invariant points (small points, with number in brackets). The third univariant equilibrium is the polymorphic transformation of calcite to aragonite.
To understand the meaning of each part of the univariant equilibria, open the ex7.prn file. At the end of this file, the (pseudo-) univariant equilibria are summarized.


Note that each segment of the same univariant curve differs from the others for the composition of one phase. This implies that the composition of solid solutions ( Mg -calcite, dolomite and magnesite) changes along each univariant curve (as in all P-T projections involving solid solutions!).

## (4) Comparing T-X sections and P-T projections

You can now compare the P-T projection with the T-XMgO sections obtained in Ex. 6.


Note that the two types of diagram provide the same information, but in different way.

In the P-T projection, the solid solutions compositional information is somehow "hidden": you can understand how solid solutions change their composition as a function of $P$ and $T$ by carefully analysing each segment of the univariant curves.

In the isobaric T-X sections, solid solutions compositions are explicitly indicated, but you need more than one diagram to understand how these compositions change as a function of pressure.


## Ex. 8

## Ex. 8 - P-T pseudosection for a METAPELITE in the KFMASH system

This exercise explains how to calculate a P-T pseudosection for a metapelite sample, in the simplified KFMASH system. The problem is a bit more complex than Ex. 4, because it involves SOLID SOLUTIONS. The influence of other components ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CaO}$ and MnO ) will be considered in the next exercise (Ex. 9).
At the end, some practical tips for redrawing the PerpleX outputs are given.

This exercise is based on the paper by Tinkham et al. (2001) [Geol. Mat. Res., 3, 1-42]. The modelled sample is AWBZ (see Table 1 and their Fig. 3).

Total bulk composition (mol\%; SiO2 in excess):
$\mathrm{Al} 2 \mathrm{O} 3=37.99, \mathrm{FeO}=21.93, \mathrm{MgO}=19.59, \mathrm{MnO}=0.42, \mathrm{CaO}=4.95, \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O}=6.11, \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O}=9.01$
Bulk composition recalculated ignoring $\mathrm{MnO}, \mathrm{CaO}$ and Na 2 O components:
$\mathrm{Al} 2 \mathrm{O}=42.92, \mathrm{FeO}=24.77, \mathrm{MgO}=22.13, \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O}=10.18$
$\mathrm{T}=425-700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{P}=1-10 \mathrm{kbar}$
(1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

C: \PERPLEX\Perplex686>build
Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default = my_project]:
Ex8

The problem definition file will be named: ex8.dat
Enter thermodynamic data file name [default = hp02ver.dat]:
hp62ver.dat

Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
[enter]

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
The current data base components are:

```
Na2O MgO Al2O3 SiO2 K2O CaO TiO2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO2 Cl2 O2 H2O CO2 CuO Cr2O3 S2
F2
Transform them (Y/N)?
```

Specify computational mode:
1 - Convex-Hull minimization
2 - Constrained minimization on a 2 d grid [default]
3 - Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
4 - Output pseudocompound data
5 -1-d Phase fractionation calculations
6 - 0-d Infiltration-reactionation-fractionation
7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with > 2 independent variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables.
2

Calculations with a saturated fluid $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y
Select the independent saturated fluid components:
H2O CO2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:

For C-O-H fluids it is only necessary to select volatile species present in the solids of interest. If the species listed here are H 2 O and CO 2 , then to constrain O 2 chemical potential to be consistent with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluid speciation treat O 2 as a saturated component. Refer to the Perple_X Tutorial for details.
H 2 O

Calculations with saturated components $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
Y
** warning ver015** if you select > 1 saturated component, then the order you enter the components determines the saturation heirarchy and may effect your results (see Connolly 1990).

Select < 6 saturated components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 CO 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2 Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:

SiO2

Use chemical potentials, activities or fugacities as independent variables (Y/N)?
N

Select thermodynamic components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 CO 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
MgO
Al2O3
K2O
FeO

Because the thermodynamic data file identifies: H 2 O as special components, you will be prompted next for the EoS to be used for the corresponding composants and mixtures thereof. To override this behavior, e.g., to use a generic hybrid fluid EoS, delete the special_component section from the thermodynamic data file header.

Select fluid equation of state:
O-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Modified Redlich-Kwong (MRK) DeSantis et al 74
1 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 HSMRK Kerrick \& Jacobs 81
2 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
5 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 CORK Holland \& Powell 91, 98
8 - f(O2/CO2) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS*
10 - X(O) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
12 - X(O)-f(S2) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
13-X(H2) H2O-H2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
14 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Pitzer \& Sterner 94; Holland \& Powell mixing 03
15 - X(H2) H2O-H2 low T MRK hybrid-EoS*
16 - X(O) H-O MRK hybrid-EoS*
17-X(O)-f(S2) H-O-S MRK hybrid-EoS*
19-X(O)-X(S) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
20 - X(O)-X(C) COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly \& Cesare 93*
24-f(O2/CO2)-N/C C-buffered COHN MRK hybrid-EoS*
$25-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{CO} 2)-\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{NaCl}) \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CO} 2-\mathrm{NaCl}$ Aranovich et al 10
26 - X(O) O-Si MRK Connolly 16
27 - X(O)-X(C) C-O-H MRK hybrid-EoS*
*Hybrid EoS use the following pure species EoS, to change these associations modify the hybrid_EoS keywords in the perplex_option file:

H2O - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CO2 - PSEoS Pitzer \& Sterner 1994
CH4 - HSMRK Kerrick \& Jacobs 1981
5

The data base has $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ as default independent potentials.
Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
N

Select x-axis variable:
1 - P(bar)
2-T(K)
3 - Y(CO2)
4 - Composition X(C1)* (user defined)
*Although only one component is specified for the $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$ phase, its equation of state permits use of its compositional variable:
*X(C1) can not be selected as the $y$-axis variable
2

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$
698
973

Select $y$-axis variable:
2-P(bar)
$3-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$
2

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$
1000
10000

Specify sectioning value for: $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$
0

For gridded minimization, grid resolution is determined by the number of levels (grid_levels) and the resolution at the lowest level in the X - and Y -directions (x_nodes and $\mathrm{y}_{-}$nodes) these parameters are currently set for the exploratory and autorefi ne cycles as follows:
stage grid_levels xnodes ynodes effective resolution
exploratory $1 \quad 60 \quad 60 \quad 60 \times 60$ nodes
auto-refine $46060 \quad 473 \times 473$ nodes
To change these options edit or create the file perplex_option.dat
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html\#grid_parameters

All thermodynamic components must be constrained, constrain saturated components also $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N

Specify component amounts by mass ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
N

The amounts you enter next need not be normalized; regardless of units, they define the molar amount of the system

Enter the molar amounts of the components:
MgO Al 2 O 3 K 2 O FeO
for the bulk composition of interest:
22.13
42.92
10.18
24.77

Output a print file $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
Y

Exclude pure and/or endmember phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
Y
Do you want to be prompted for phases $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N

Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
mic

In the metapelitic system, Kfs mostly derives from the breakdown of white mica at relatively high-T, therefore you should consider the high-T sanidine (san) end-member rather than microcline (mic).

Include solution models ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
y

Enter solution model file name [default = solution_model.dat]:
[enter]
...

Select models from the following list, enter 1 per line, press <enter> to finish
clinopyroxene models: $\mathrm{Cpx}(\mathrm{H}) \quad$ Augite(G) $\mathrm{Cpx}(\mathrm{JH})$
clinoamphibole models: $\mathrm{cAmph}(\mathrm{G})$ Cumm cAmph(DP) cAmph(G)_I
liquid models: $\quad \operatorname{melt}(\mathrm{H}) \operatorname{melt}(\mathrm{G}) \quad \operatorname{Melt}(\mathrm{JH}) \operatorname{melt}(\mathrm{W}) \operatorname{melt}(\mathrm{HP})$
chlorite models: $\quad \mathrm{Chl}(\mathrm{W}) \mathrm{Chl}(\mathrm{HP}) \mathrm{Chl}(\mathrm{LWV})$
olivine models: $\quad \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{JH}) \quad \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{SG}) \quad \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{HP}) \quad \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{HPK}) \quad \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{stx})$

```
    O(stx7) O(stx8)
spinel models: }\quad\textrm{Sp}(\textrm{JH})\quad\textrm{GaHcSp
garnet models: Grt(JH) Gt(W) CrGt Gt(MPF) Gt(B) Gt(GCT) Gt(HP) Gt(EWHP) Gt(WPH) Gt(stx)
    Gt(stx8) Gt(WPPH) ZrGt(KP) Maj
orthopyroxene models: Opx(JH) Opx(W) Opx(HP) CrOpx(HP) Opx(stx) Opx(stx8)
white-mica models: Mica(W) Pheng(HP) Mica(CF) Mica(CHA1) Mica(CHA) Mica+(CHA) Mica(SGH)
chloritoid models: Ctd(W) Ctd(HP) Ctd(SGH)
staurolite models: }\quad\textrm{St}(\textrm{W})\quad\textrm{St}(\textrm{HP}
biotite models: }\textrm{Bi}(\textrm{W})\quad\textrm{Bio}(TCC) Bio(WPH) Bio(HP
cordierite models: Crd(W) hCrd
sapphirine models: Sa(WP) Sapp(TP) Sapp(HP) Sapp(KWP)
carpholite models: Carp Carp(M) Carp(SGH)
sudoite models: Sud(Livi) Sud Sud(M)
orthoamphibole models: Anth oAmph(DP) o-Amph
stilpnomelane models: Stlp
alphabet-phase models: A-phase
clinohumite models: Chum
brucite models: B
aqueous_electrolyte model WADDAH
wadleysite models: Wad
ringwoodite models: Ring Ring(H)
wuestite models: Wus
ilmenite models: Aki
perovskite models: Pv
High pressure garnet mode Gt(H)
ferropericlase models: Fper(H)
MgSi Perovskite models: Mpv(H)
CaSi Perovskite models: Cpv(H)
Corundum models: Cor(H)
Akimotoite models: Aki(H)
wadsleyite models: Wad(H)
HP_clinopyroxene models: Hpx(H)
talc models: T
```

serpentine models: Atg(PN)
For details on these models see:www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_solution_model_glossary.html or read the commentary in the solution model file.
Chl(W)
Bi(W)
Mica(W)
Gt(W)
Ctd(W)
St(W)
Crd(W)
Enter calculation title:
Ex8

## (2) Doing the calculation (VERTEX)

Run VERTEX to make the calculation:

## C: \PERPLEX\Perplex686>vertex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex8

Reading problem definition from file: ex8.dat
Reading thermodynamic data from file: hp62ver.dat Reading solution models from file: solution_model.dat
Writing print output to file: ex8.prn
Writing plot output to file: ex8.plt
Writing bulk composition plot output to file: ex8.blk
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing auto refine summary to file: not requested
Writing pseudocompound glossary to file: not requested
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Perple_X computational option settings for VERTEX:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Auto-refine options:
auto_refine aut off manual [auto]
Free energy minimization options:
final_resolution:
exploratory stage $\quad 0.1 \mathrm{E}-1 \quad[1 \mathrm{e}-2]$, target value, see actual values below
auto-refine stage $0.1 \mathrm{E}-2 \quad[1 \mathrm{e}-3]$, target value, see actual values below
resolution_factor $2>=2$ [2]

```
refinement_points 6 [aut] or 1->12; aut = automatic
solvus_tolerance_| aut 0->1 [0.2]
global_reach_increment 0 >= 0 [0]
reach_increment_switch on [on] off all
zero_mode 0.1E-05 0->1 [1e-6];<0 => off
2D grid options:
\begin{tabular}{lcc} 
x_nodes & \(40 / 60\) & {\([20 / 40],>0,<2048 ;\) effective x-resolution \(40 / 473\) nodes } \\
y_nodes & \(40 / 60\) & {\([20 / 40],>0,<2048 ;\) effective \(y\)-resolution \(40 / 473\) nodes } \\
grid_levels & \(1 / 4\) & {\([1 / 4],>0,<10\)} \\
linear_model & on & off [on]
\end{tabular}
```

Solution subdivision options:
initial_resolution:
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { exploratory stage } & 0.0625 & 0->1[1 / 16], 0=>\text { off } \\ \text { auto-refine stage } & 0.0208 & 0->1[1 / 48], 0=>\text { off } \\ \text { stretch_factor } 0.0020 & >0[2 \mathrm{~d}-3] \\ \text { subdivision_override } & \text { off } & \text { [off] lin str } \\ \text { hard_limits off } & \text { [off] on } \\ \text { refine_endmembers } & \text { F } & \text { [F] T }\end{array}$
Thermodynamic options:
solvus_tolerance aut [aut] or $0->1$; aut = automatic, $0=>p=c$ pseudocompounds, $1=>$ homogenize
T_stop (K) 0.0 [0]
T_melt (K) 873.0 [873]
order_check on off [on]
approx_alpha T [T] F
Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T
speciation_factor 100. $>10$ [100] speciation precision $=$ final resolution/speciation_factor
speciation_max_it 100 [100]
hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
hybrid_EoS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4, 7
hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1, 7
aq_bad_results err [err] 101, 102, 103, ignore
aq_lagged_speciation F [F] T
aq_ion_H+ T [T] F => use OH-
aq_oxide_components F [F] T
aq_solvent_solvus T [T] F
aq_vapor_epsilon 1.0 [1.]
Input/Output options:
dependent_potentials off off [on]
pause_on_error T [T] F
auto_exclude T [T] F
logarithmic_p F [F] T
bad_number $\quad \mathrm{NaN} \quad[\mathrm{NaN}]$
interim_results aut [auto] off manual
Information file output options:
option_list_files F [F] T; echo computational options
pseudocompound_file T [F] T; echo static pseudocompound compositions
auto_refine_file F [F] T; echo auto-refine compositions
Worst case (Cartesian) compositional resolution (mol):
Exploratory stage: $0.521 \mathrm{E}-2$
Auto-refine stage: $0.868 \mathrm{E}-3$

Adapative minimization will be done with:

## 4 iterations in the exploratory stage

5 iterations in the autorefine stage
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
** warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for $\mathrm{Chl}(\mathrm{W})$ ff3cli f3clin mnchl
** warning ver050** reformulating prismatic solution: $\mathrm{ChI}(\mathrm{W})$ because of missing endmembers. (reformulation can be controlled explicitly by excluding additional endmembers). 2597 pseudocompounds generated for: Chl(W)
** warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for $\mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{W})$

```
spss gr fmn_i fkho_i kho1 andr_i
```

**warning ver050** reformulating prismatic solution: $\mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{W})$ because of missing endmembers. (reformulation can be controlled explicitly by excluding additional endmembers).

15 pseudocompounds generated for: $\mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{W})$
** warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for Mica(W)
pa ma1_dqf fmu
150 pseudocompounds generated for: Mica(W)
**warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for $\mathrm{Ctd}(\mathrm{W})$
ctdo
15 pseudocompounds generated for: $\operatorname{Ctd}(\mathrm{W})$
${ }^{* *}$ warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for St(W)
mstt msto mnst
15 pseudocompounds generated for: St(W)
${ }^{* *}$ warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{W})$
ffbi_i fbi ftbi_i tbi mnbi
** warning ver050** reformulating prismatic solution: $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{W}) \quad$ because of missing endmembers.
(reformulation can be controlled explicitly by excluding additional endmembers).
286 pseudocompounds generated for: $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{W})$
**warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for $\mathrm{Crd}(\mathrm{W})$ mncrd hmncrd_i
**warning ver050** reformulating prismatic solution: $\mathrm{Crd}(\mathrm{W})$ because of missing endmembers. (reformulation can be controlled explicitly by excluding additional endmembers).

286 pseudocompounds generated for: Crd(W)
Total number of pseudocompounds: 3364

## This is the beginning of the EXPLORATORY COMPUTATIONAL STAGE

** Starting exploratory computational stage **
2.5\% done with low level grid.
5.0\% done with low level grid.
$7.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$10.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$12.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$15.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$17.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
20.0\% done with low level grid.
$22.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$25.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
27.5\% done with low level grid.
$30.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$32.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$35.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$37.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
40.0\% done with low level grid.
$42.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
45.0\% done with low level grid.
47.5\% done with low level grid.
50.0\% done with low level grid.
$52.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
55.0\% done with low level grid.
57.5\% done with low level grid.
60.0\% done with low level grid.
$62.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$65.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$67.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$70.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$72.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$75.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$77.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$80.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$82.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
85.0\% done with low level grid.
$87.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$90.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$92.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$95.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
97.5\% done with low level grid.
$100.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
Compositions for prismatic model: $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathrm{W})$
Simplex 1
Composition Minimum Maximum
$10.17188 \quad 0.65104$
$20.0000 \quad 0.0000$
Simplex 2
Composition Minimum Maximum
$10.34896 \quad 0.53140$

Endmember fractions for model: Gt(W)
Endmember Minimum Maximum
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { alm } & 0.69271 \quad 0.88021\end{array}$

Endmember fractions for model: Mica(W)
Endmember Minimum Maximum
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{mu} & 0.85938 & 0.96354\end{array}$
cel $\quad 0.20833 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.88542 \mathrm{E}-01$
Endmember fractions for model: $\mathrm{Ctd}(\mathrm{W})$
Endmember Minimum Maximum
fctd $0.83333 \quad 0.91667$
Endmember fractions for model: St(W)
Endmember Minimum Maximum
fst $0.60938 \quad 0.85417$
Compositions for prismatic model: Bi(W)
Simplex 1
Composition Minimum Maximum
$1 \quad 0.36458 \quad 0.68229$
Simplex 2
Composition Minimum $\quad$ Maximum
$1 \quad 0.13542 \quad 0.41146$
Compositions for prismatic model: Crd(W)
Simplex 1
Composition Minimum Maximum
1
0.28125
Simplex 2

The failure rate during speciation (order-disorder) calculations is $0.000 \%$ out of a total of 4161952. calculations. Average number of iterations per speciation calculation: 4.3

Reading data for auto-refinement from file: ex8.arf
550 pseudocompounds generated for: $\mathrm{ChI}(\mathrm{W})$
11 pseudocompounds generated for: $\mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{W})$
29 pseudocompounds generated for: Mica(W)
6 pseudocompounds generated for: $\operatorname{Ctd}(\mathrm{W})$
14 pseudocompounds generated for: $\operatorname{St}(\mathrm{W})$
255 pseudocompounds generated for: $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{W})$
187 pseudocompounds generated for: $\mathrm{Crd}(\mathrm{W})$
Total number of pseudocompounds: 1052

## This is the beginning of the AUTO-REFINE STAGE

** Starting auto_refine computational stage **
$0.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
$1.9 \%$ done with low level grid.
$3.6 \%$ done with low level grid.
$5.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
$7.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
8.7\% done with low level grid.
$10.4 \%$ done with low level grid.
$12.1 \%$ done with low level grid.
$13.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
$15.4 \%$ done with low level grid.
$17.1 \%$ done with low level grid.
$18.8 \%$ done with low level grid.
$20.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
22.2\% done with low level grid.
23.9\% done with low level grid.
$25.6 \%$ done with low level grid.
$27.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
29.0\% done with low level grid.
$30.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
$32.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
$34.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$35.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
$37.4 \%$ done with low level grid.
$39.1 \%$ done with low level grid.
$40.8 \%$ done with low level grid.
$42.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$44.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
45.9\% done with low level grid.
47.6\% done with low level grid.
49.3\% done with low level grid.
51.0\% done with low level grid.
$52.6 \%$ done with low level grid.
54.3\% done with low level grid.
$56.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$57.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
59.4\% done with low level grid.
61.1\% done with low level grid.
$62.8 \%$ done with low level grid.
$64.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$66.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
67.9\% done with low level grid.
69.6\% done with low level grid.
$71.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
$72.9 \%$ done with low level grid.
$74.6 \%$ done with low level grid.
$76.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
$78.0 \%$ done with low level grid.
$79.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
81.4\% done with low level grid.
$83.1 \%$ done with low level grid.
$84.8 \%$ done with low level grid.
$86.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
$88.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
$89.9 \%$ done with low level grid.
91.5\% done with low level grid.
$93.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
$94.9 \%$ done with low level grid.
$96.6 \%$ done with low level grid.
98.3\% done with low level grid.
100.0\% done with low level grid.

Beginning grid refinement stage.
596 grid cells to be refined at grid level 2
...working ( 501 minimizations done)
...working ( 1002 minimizations done)
refinement at level 2 involved 1273 minimizations
4873 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 14161
1040 grid cells to be refined at grid level 3
...working ( 231 minimizations done)
** warning ver042** an optimization failed due to numerical instability or because the phases of the system do not span its bulk composition.

In the 1st case:
increase (sic) final_resolution and/or
increase resolution_factor and/or
increase reach_increment and/or
increase speciation_factor and/or
increase speciation_max_it and/or
see: www.perplex.ch/perplex_options.html for explanation.
In the 2nd case: change the bulk composition or add phases.
** warning ver333** You've got to ask yourself one question: Do I feel lucky? Well, do ya, punk?
...working ( 732 minimizations done)
...working ( 1234 minimizations done)
...working ( 1736 minimizations done)
refinement at level 3 involved 1894 minimizations
6767 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 56169
1799 grid cells to be refined at grid level 4
** warning ver042** an optimization failed due to numerical instability or because the phases of the system do not span its bulk composition.

In the 1st case:
increase (sic) final_resolution and/or
increase resolution_factor and/or
increase reach_increment and/or
increase speciation_factor and/or
increase speciation_max_it and/or
see: www.perplex.ch/perplex_options.html for explanation.
In the 2nd case: change the bulk composition or add phases.
** warning ver333** You've got to ask yourself one question: Do I feel lucky? Well, do ya, punk?
...working ( 343 minimizations done)
** warning ver042** an optimization failed due to numerical instability or because the phases of the system do not span its bulk composition.

In the 1st case:
increase (sic) final_resolution and/or
increase resolution_factor and/or
increase reach_increment and/or
increase speciation_factor and/or
increase speciation_max_it and/or
see: www.perplex.ch/perplex_options.html for explanation.
In the 2nd case: change the bulk composition or add phases.
** warning ver333** You've got to ask yourself one question: Do I feel lucky? Well, do ya, punk?
...working ( 845 minimizations done)
** warning ver042** an optimization failed due to numerical instability or because the phases of the system do not span its bulk composition.

In the 1st case:
increase (sic) final_resolution and/or
increase resolution_factor and/or increase reach_increment and/or increase speciation_factor and/or increase speciation_max_it and/or
see: www.perplex.ch/perplex_options.html for explanation.
In the 2nd case: change the bulk composition or add phases.
**warning ver333** You've got to ask yourself one question: Do I feel lucky? Well, do ya, punk?
...working ( 1346 minimizations done)
...working ( 1848 minimizations done)
...working ( 2349 minimizations done)
...working ( 2850 minimizations done)
refinement at level 4 involved 3071 minimizations
9838 minimizations required of the theoretical limit of 224676
** warning ver993** The compositions of the following solutions reached internal limits that were automatically relaxed:

Chl(W)
Crd(W)
Restriction during the auto-refine stage is usually insignificant. If desired, confirm by comparing the ranges below to those in the *.arf file.
NOTE: unintentional restrictions encountered during the exploratory stage may be problematic, refer to the output written at the end of the exploratory stage for relevant warnings.

Compositions for prismatic model: $\mathrm{Ch}(\mathrm{W})$

## Simplex 1

Composition Minimum Maximum

| 1 | 0.16059 | 0.66059 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$2 \quad 0.86806 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 0.86806 \mathrm{E}-03$
Simplex 2
Composition Minimum Maximum
$\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0.32899 & 0.53072\end{array}$

Endmember fractions for model: Gt(W)
Endmember Minimum Maximum
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { alm } & 0.69444 & 0.87847\end{array}$

Endmember fractions for model: Mica(W)
Endmember Minimum Maximum
$\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{mu} & 0.86458 & 0.96615\end{array}$
cel $\quad 0.18229 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 0.87674 \mathrm{E}-01$
Endmember fractions for model: $\mathrm{Ctd}(\mathrm{W})$
Endmember Minimum Maximum
fctd 0.828120 .91580

Endmember fractions for model: St(W)
Endmember Minimum Maximum
fst 0.604170 .85677

Compositions for prismatic model: $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{W})$
Simplex 1
Composition Minimum Maximum
$\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0.36285 & 0.68207\end{array}$

Simplex 2
Composition Minimum Maximum
10.160590 .41059

Compositions for prismatic model: $\mathrm{Crd}(\mathrm{W})$
Simplex 1
Composition Minimum Maximum
$\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0.26823 & 0.46181\end{array}$
Simplex 2
Composition Minimum Maximum $\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0.32552 & 0.65365\end{array}$
The failure rate during speciation (order-disorder) calculations is $0.000 \%$ out of a total of 14191024. calculations.
Average number of iterations per speciation calculation: 6.3

At the end of the calculation, a number of new files appear in the Perple_X folder. The most useful are the ${ }^{* * *}$.prn and the ${ }^{* * *}$.plt files (i.e. the text file and the plot file).

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSSECT)

Run PSSECT to plot the calculated pseudosection:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>pssect

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex8
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $1.20 \quad$ [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:
$0 \quad[0] x-m i n(p t s)$
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill T [T] F
field_label $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
0.180 [0.18] y-scale (rel)

```
    130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
    220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
    0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
    plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
    replicate_label 0.250 0->1 [0.025]
    splines T [T] F
    tenth_ticks F [F] T
    text_scale 1.000 [1.] (rel)
```

To change these options edit or create the plot option file See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex8.ps

Modify the default plot $(y / n)$ ?
n


Quartz and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are in excess.

In the calculated pseudosection, field assemblages have different variances. Fields with the same grey colour have the same variance. Colour code is as follows: white = 2-variant fields, light grey = 3-variant fields, medium grey = 4-variant fields and so on. Darker is the colour, higher is the variance (and lower is the number of phases!).
(4) PRACTICAL TIPS FOR RE-DRAWING THE PERPLE_X OUTPUTS

In most cases, you should re-draw the final output in order to obtain a result that can be published. When re-drawing a pseudosection, be careful to not introduce topological errors.

General rules are:
(1) Fields with the same variance should be indicated with the same colour.

Remember that: $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{P}+2$. In this case: $\mathrm{C}=9$ (MnNKCFMASH)
Therefore, fields characterized by 9 phases ( $\mathrm{P}=9$; white fields in the pseudosection) are divariant ( $\mathrm{F}=9-9+2$ ); fields with 8 phases (light grey) are tri-variant and so on.
(2) in a point cannot converge more than 4 lines
(3) 2 fields with the same variance $n$ (i.e. with the same $n^{\circ}$ of phases) are always separated by a $(n+1)$ or ( $n-1$ )-variant field, except when they are separated by a true univariant curve.
(4) Lines between fields always mark the APPEARANCE or DISAPPEARANCE of a phase


Variance of each field is reported in brackets. The thick black lines are true univariant reactions.


The phase-in boundaries are reported with different colours for each phase.

## (5) Calculating ISOMODES (WERAMI)

This section explains how to calculate the variation in the modal amounts of each mineral phase (vol\%), for the modelled pseudosection.

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>werami

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex8

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple_X version 6.8.4, source updated Sept 30, 2018.
Copyright (C) 1986-2018 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Perple_X computational option settings for WERAMI:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Input/Output options:

| aqueous_output | T | $[F]$ T |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aqeuous_species | 20 | $[20] 0-100$ |

aq_solvent_composition $y \quad[y] m$ : $y=>$ mol fraction, $m=>$ molality
aq_solute_composition $m \quad y[m]: y=>$ mol fraction, $m=>$ molality
spreadsheet T [F] T
logarithmic_p F [F] T
bad_number $\quad \mathrm{NaN} \quad[\mathrm{NaN}]$
composition_constant F [F] T
composition_phase mol [mol] wt
composition_system wt [wt] mol
proportions vol [vol] wt mol
absolute $\quad$ F [F] T
cumulative $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
fancy_cumulative_modes F [F] T
interpolation on [on] off
melt_is_fluid F [F] T
solution_names abb [model] abbreviation full
species_output T [T] F
species_Gibbs_energies F [F] T
seismic_output som [some] none all
pause_on_error T [T] F
poisson_test F [F] T
auto_exclude T [T] F
Information file output options:
option_list_files F [F] T; echo computational options
Thermodynamic options:
approx_alpha T [T] F


29 - Adiabatic bulk modulus T derivative (bar/K)
30 - Shear modulus T derivative (bar/K)
31 - Sound velocity $P$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{bar}$ )
32 - $P$-wave velocity $P$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{bar}$ )
33 - S-wave velocity $P$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{bar}$ )
34 - Adiabatic bulk modulus $P$ derivative (unitless)
35 - Shear modulus P derivative (unitless)
36 - All phase \&/or system properties
37 - Absolute amount (Vol, Mol, or Wt) of a phase
38 - Multiple property output
39 - Heat capacity ratio (Cp/Cv)
40 - Lagged or back-calculated aqueous solute chemistry
7

Enter solution or compound (left justified):
Gt(W)
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n
Fractions are Wt , Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.
Mode can be computed as vol\%, mol\% or wt\%. The default value is vol\%. You can control this parameter modifying the "proportion" keyword in the perplex_option.dat file

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7

Enter solution or compound (left justified):
ChI(W)
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties (y/n)?
n
Fractions are Wt , Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

## Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:

7

Enter solution or compound (left justified):
Ctd(W)
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties (y/n)?
n
Fractions are Wt , Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7

Enter solution or compound (left justified):
St(W)
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n
Fractions are Wt, Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:

Enter solution or compound (left justified):
Mica(W)
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
n
Fractions are Wt, Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7

Enter solution or compound (left justified):
$\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{W})$
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties (y/n)?
n
Fractions are Wt, Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7

Enter solution or compound (left justified):
Crd(W)
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties (y/n)?
n
Fractions are Wt , Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7

Enter solution or compound (left justified):
san
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties (y/n)?
n
Fractions are Wt , Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7

Enter solution or compound (left justified):
ky
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n
Fractions are Wt, Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7

Enter solution or compound (left justified):

Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n
Fractions are Wt, Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7

Enter solution or compound (left justified):
and
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
n
Fractions are Wt, Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.
Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
0

Change default variable range $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n

Select the grid resolution (to use an arbitrary grid set sample_on_grid to F):
1-60×60 nodes
2-119x 119 nodes
3-237x 237 nodes
4-473 x 473 nodes [default]
4
...
...

Output has been written to the 2d tab format file: ex8_1.tab
2d tab format files can be processed with:
PSTABLE - a Perple_X plotting program
PERPLE_X_PLOT - a MATLAB plotting script
PYWERAMI - petrol.natur.cuni.cz/~ondro/pywerami:home
spread-sheet programs, e.g., EXCEL
for details on tab format refer to:
perplex.ethz.ch/faq/perple_x_tab_file_format.txt
Select operational mode:
1 - properties at specified conditions
2 - properties on a 2d grid
3 - properties along a 1d path
4 - as in 3 , but input from file
0 - EXIT
0
At the end, you have a new file (ex8_1.tab) in the Perple_X folder.

## (6) Calculating ISOPLETHS (WERAMI)

This section explains how to calculate COMPOSITIONAL ISOPLETHS for specific phases.
In this example, the $\mathrm{XMg}(\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{Mg}+\mathrm{Fe})$ for chloritoid, staurolite, garnet and biotite is calculated.

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>werami

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex8
Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested
Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Perple_X computational option settings for WERAMI:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Input/Output options:

| aqueous_output | T | $[F] T$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| aqeuous_species | 20 | $[20] 0-100$ |

aq_solvent_composition $y \quad[y] m$ : $y=>$ mol fraction, $m=>$ molality
aq_solute_composition $m \quad y[m]: y=>$ mol fraction, $m=>$ molality
spreadsheet T [F] T
logarithmic_p F [F] T
bad_number $\mathrm{NaN} \quad[\mathrm{NaN}]$
composition_constant F [F] T
composition_phase mol [mol] wt
composition_system wt [wt] mol
proportions vol [vol] wt mol
absolute $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
cumulative $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
fancy_cumulative_modes $\mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
interpolation on [on] off
melt_is_fluid F [F] T
solution_names abb [model] abbreviation full
species_output T [T] F
species_Gibbs_energies $F \quad[F] T$
seismic_output som [some] none all
pause_on_error $\quad$ T $T$ ]
poisson_test $\quad$ [F] T
auto_exclude $T$ [T] F
Information file output options:
option_list_files F [F] T; echo computational options
Thermodynamic options:

```
approx_alpha T [T] F
Anderson-Gruneisen F [F]T
hybrid_EoS_H2O 1 [7] 0-2, 4-8
hybrid_EoS_CO2 4 [7] 0-4
hybrid_EoS_CH4 4 [1] 0-1
fd_expansion_factor 2.0 >0 [2.]
finite_difference_p 0.1D+05 >0 [1d4]; fraction = 0.1D-02 [1d-2]
Seismic velocity options:
    bounds VRH [VRH] HS
    vrh/hs_weighting 0.5 [0.5] 0->1
    explicit_bulk_modulus T [F] T
    poisson_ratio on [on] all off; Poisson ratio =0.35
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
Select operational mode:
    1 - properties at specified conditions
    2 - properties on a 2d grid
    3-properties along a 1d path
    4-as in 3, but input from file
    0-EXIT
2
Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
1 - Specific Enthalpy (J/m3)
2 - Density (kg/m3)
3 - Specific heat capacity (J/K/m3)
4 - Expansivity (1/K, for volume)
5 - Compressibility (1/bar, for volume)
6 - Composition (Mol, Mass, or Wt\%) of the system
7 - Mode (Vol, Mol, or Wt proportion) of a phase
8 - Composition (Mol, Mass, or Wt\%) of a solution phase
9 - Grueneisen thermal ratio
10 - Adiabatic bulk modulus (bar)
11 - Adiabatic shear modulus (bar)
12 - Sound velocity (km/s)
13 - P-wave velocity (Vp, km/s)
14 - S-wave velocity (Vs, km/s)
15 - Vp/Vs
16 - Specific entropy (J/K/m3)
17 - Entropy (J/K/kg)
18 - Enthalpy (J/kg)
19 - Heat Capacity (J/K/kg)
20 - Specific mass of a phase (kg/m3-system)
21 - Poisson ratio
22 - Molar Volume (J/bar)
23 - Dependent potentials (J/mol, bar, K)
24 - Assemblage Index
25 - Modes of all phases
26 - Sound velocity T derivative (km/s/K)
27 - P-wave velocity T derivative ( \(\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{K}\) )
```

28 - S-wave velocity T derivative (km/s/K)
29 - Adiabatic bulk modulus T derivative (bar/K)
30 - Shear modulus T derivative (bar/K)
31 - Sound velocity $P$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{bar}$ )
32 - $P$-wave velocity $P$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{bar}$ )
33 - S-wave velocity P derivative (km/s/bar)
34 - Adiabatic bulk modulus P derivative (unitless)
35 - Shear modulus P derivative (unitless)
36 - All phase \&/or system properties
37 - Absolute amount (Vol, Mol, or Wt) of a phase
38 - Multiple property output
39 - Heat capacity ratio (Cp/Cv)
40 - Lagged or back-calculated aqueous solute chemistry
8

Enter solution (left justified):
Gt(W)

Define the composition in terms of the species/endmembers of $\operatorname{Gt}(W) \quad(y / n)$ ?
Answer no to define a composition in terms of the systems components. (e.g. $\mathrm{MgO}, \mathrm{FeO}$, etc.)
Answer yes to define a composition in terms of the solid solution end-members (e.g. Prp, Alm, etc.)
Units (mass or molar) are controlled by the composition keyword in perplex_option.dat.
Phase compositions can be computed as mol\% or wt\%. The default value is mol\%. You can control this parameter modifying the "composition_phase" keyword in the perplex_option.dat file
n

Compositions are defined as a ratio of the form:
$\operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=1, c 1\right\} / \operatorname{Sum}\{w(i) * n(i), i=c 2, c 3\}$
$n(j)=$ molar amount of component $j$
$w(j)=$ weighting factor of component $j$ (usually 1)

How many components in the numerator of the composition (<13)?
We want to calculate the ratio $\mathrm{Mg} /(\mathrm{Mg}+\mathrm{Fe})$, this means that we have one component in the numerator $(\mathrm{MgO})$ and two components in the denominator $(\mathrm{MgO}+\mathrm{FeO})$
1

Enter component indices and weighting factors for the numerator:
1 - MgO
2-Al2O3
3-K2O
4 - FeO
5- SiO 2
6 - H 2 O
The weighting factor corresponds to the number of cations in each component (e.g. for MgO the weighting factor is 1 ; for K2O the weighting factor is 2 etc.)
1
1

How many components in the denominator of the composition (<12)?

Enter zero to use the numerator as a composition.
2

Enter component indices and weighting factors for the denominator:
1 - MgO
2 - Al2O3
3-K2O
4 - FeO
5-SiO2
6-H2O
1
1
4
1

The compositional variable is:

```
1.0 MgO
    divided by
1.0 MgO + 1.0 FeO
Change it (y/n)?
n
```

This composition will be designated: $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{W}) 1]$

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
8

Enter solution (left justified):
Ctd(W)
Define the composition in terms of the species/endmembers of Ctd(W) (y/n)?
Answer no to define a composition in terms of the systems components.
Units (mass or molar) are controlled by the composition keyword in perplex_option.dat.
n

Compositions are defined as a ratio of the form:
$\operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=1, c 1\right\} / \operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=c 2, c 3\right\}$
$n(j)=$ molar amount of component $j$
$w(j)=$ weighting factor of component $j$ (usually 1)
How many components in the numerator of the composition (<13)?
1

Enter component indices and weighting factors for the numerator:
1-MgO
2-Al2O3
3-K2O
4 - FeO
$5-\mathrm{SiO} 2$

$$
6-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}
$$

1
1

How many components in the denominator of the composition (<12)?
Enter zero to use the numerator as a composition.
2

Enter component indices and weighting factors for the denominator:
1 - MgO
2 - Al2O3
3-K2O
4 - FeO
5- SiO 2
6 - H 2 O
1
1
4
1

The compositional variable is:

### 1.0 MgO

divided by
$1.0 \mathrm{MgO}+1.0 \mathrm{FeO}$
Change it $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n

This composition will be designated: $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{Ctd}(\mathrm{W}) 2]$
Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
8

Enter solution (left justified):
St(W)

Define the composition in terms of the species/endmembers of St(W) (y/n)?
Answer no to define a composition in terms of the systems components.
Units (mass or molar) are controlled by the composition keyword in perplex_option.dat.
n

Compositions are defined as a ratio of the form:
$\operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=1, c 1\right\} / \operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=c 2, c 3\right\}$
$n(j)=$ molar amount of component $j$
$w(j)=$ weighting factor of component $j$ (usually 1)
How many components in the numerator of the composition (<13)?
1

Enter component indices and weighting factors for the numerator:

1 - MgO
2-Al2O3
3-K2O
4 - FeO
$5-\mathrm{SiO} 2$
6-H2O
1
1

How many components in the denominator of the composition (<12)?
Enter zero to use the numerator as a composition.
2

Enter component indices and weighting factors for the denominator:
1 - MgO
2-Al2O3
3-K2O
4 - FeO
$5-\mathrm{SiO} 2$
6-H2O
1
1
4
1

The compositional variable is:
1.0 MgO
divided by
1.0 MgO + 1.0 FeO

Change it (y/n)?
n

This composition will be designated: $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{St}(\mathrm{W}) 3]$

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
8

Enter solution (left justified):
Bi(W)

Define the composition in terms of the species/endmembers of $\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{W})(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?

Answer no to define a composition in terms of the systems components.
Units (mass or molar) are controlled by the composition keyword in perplex_option.dat.
n

Compositions are defined as a ratio of the form:
$\operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=1, c 1\right\} / \operatorname{Sum}\{w(i) * n(i), i=c 2, c 3\}$
$n(j)=$ molar amount of component $j$

$$
w(j)=\text { weighting factor of component } j \text { (usually } 1 \text { ) }
$$

How many components in the numerator of the composition (<13)?
1

Enter component indices and weighting factors for the numerator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1-\mathrm{MgO} \\
& 2-\mathrm{Al} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \\
& 3-\mathrm{K} 2 \mathrm{O} \\
& 4-\mathrm{FeO} \\
& 5-\mathrm{SiO} 2 \\
& 6-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} \\
& 1 \\
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

How many components in the denominator of the composition (<12)? Enter zero to use the numerator as a composition.

2

Enter component indices and weighting factors for the denominator:
1 - MgO
2-Al2O3
3-K2O
4 - FeO
5-SiO2
6-H2O
1

1

4
1

The compositional variable is:
1.0 MgO
divided by
$1.0 \mathrm{MgO}+1.0 \mathrm{FeO}$
Change it ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
n

This composition will be designated: $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{W}) 4]$
Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
0

Change default variable range ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
n

Select the grid resolution (to use an arbitrary grid set sample_on_grid to F):
1 - $60 \times 60$ nodes
2-119x 119 nodes

```
    3-237 x 237 nodes
    4-473 x 473 nodes[default]
4
Data ranges excluding values equal to bad_number( NaN) specified in perplex_option.dat:
    C[Gt(W)1] C[Ctd(W)2] C[St(W)3] C[Bi(W)4]
min 0.121528 0.842014E-001 0.143229 0.318038
max 0.305556 0.171875 0.395833 0.637153
Output has been written to the 2d tab format file: ex8_2.tab
2d tab format files can be processed with:
    PSTABLE - a Perple_X plotting program
    PERPLE_X_PLOT - a MATLAB plotting script
    PYWERAMI - github.com/ondrolexa/pywerami
    spread-sheet programs, e.g., EXCEL
for details on tab format refer to:
    perplex.ethz.ch/perplex/faq/Perple_X_tab_file_format.txt
Select operational mode:
    1 - properties at specified conditions
    2 - properties on a 2d grid
    3-properties along a 1d path
    4-as in 3, but input from file
    0-EXIT
O
At the end, you have a new file (ex8_2.tab) in the Perple_X folder.
```


## (7) Plotting isomodes and compositional isopleths (PYWERAMI)

Use PYWERAMI to plot the calculated isomodes and compositional isopleths.

You can download Pywerami at this link from the Perple_X website (PyWerami - a free alternative to MatLab): https://petrol.natur.cuni.cz/~ondro/oldweb/pywerami:home
Install Pywerami on your pc.

Run PYWERAMI to plot isopleths and isomodes.

From Pywerami, open the .tab file.
Use the drop-down menu on the right to select the property you want to plot (e.g. Gt vol\%, Gt(W)vo\%)

You can modify the minimum and maximum values to be plotted, as well as the interval between the isopleths/isomodes.

You can save the image in .jpg or (BETTER IF YOU WANT TO MODIFY THE FIGURE) in .ps.



## Ex. 9

## Ex. 9 - P-T pseudosection for a METAPELITE in the MnNKCFMASH system

This exercise is intended to explore the influence of some minor components ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CaO}$ and MnO ) on the topology of the pseudosection calculated for the metapelite sample investigated in Ex. 8.

This exercise is based on the paper by Tinkham et al. (2001) [Geol. Mat. Res., 3, 1-42]. The modelled sample is AWBZ (see Table 1 and their Fig. 5a).

Total bulk composition (mol\%; SiO2 in excess):
Al2O3=37.99, $\mathrm{FeO}=21.93, \mathrm{MgO}=19.59, \mathrm{MnO}=0.42, \mathrm{CaO}=4.95, \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O}=6.11, \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O}=9.01$
$\mathrm{T}=425-700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathbf{P}=1-10 \mathrm{kbar}$

## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

Because the problem is the same as in Ex. 8, except for the addition of $\mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CaO}$ and MnO components you can edit the ex8.dat input file (remember to re-name the file as Ex9). Thus, the thermodynamic components are:

| begin thermodynamic component list |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Na2O | 1 | 6.11000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | molar amount |
| MgO | 1 | 19.5900 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | molar amount |
| Al2O3 | 1 | 37.9900 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | molar amount |
| K2O | 1 | 9.01000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | molar amount |
| CaO | 1 | 4.95000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | molar amount |
| MnO | 1 | 0.42000 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | molar amount |
| FeO | 1 | 21.9300 | 0.00000 | 0.00000 | molar amount |
| end thermodynamic component list |  |  |  |  |  |

Adding Na 2 O and CaO additionally implies that the ternary feldspar (plagioclase +K -feldspar) solid solution model should be added to the calculation. Furthermore, end-members in the "feldspar" solution models are abh, an and san, respectively. Therefore, the ab and mic end-members should be excluded from the calculation, in order to not interfere with the abh and san end-members.

```
begin solution phase list
Chl(W)
Bi(W)
Mica(W)
Gt(W)
Ctd(W)
St(W)
Crd(W)
feldspar
end solution phase list
```

|  |
| :--- |
| begin excluded phase list |
| ab |
| mic |
| end excluded phase list |

## (2) Doing the calculation (VERTEX)

## Run VERTEX to make the calculation:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>vertex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex9

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSSECT)

Run PSSECT to plot the calculated pseudosection:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>pssect

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex9

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $1.20 \quad$ [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:
0 [0] x-min (pts)
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill T [T] F
field_label $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
0.180 [0.18] y-scale (rel)
130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length


To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html
PostScript will be written to file: ex9.ps

Modify the default plot $(y / n)$ ?
N


Quartz and H 2 O are in excess.

Note that both plagioclase and K-feldspar are reported with the abbreviation "Fsp". Thus, fields containing two feldspars (Fsp Fsp) contain both plagioclase and K-feldspar, whereas those containing one feldspar (Fsp) can contain either plagioclase or K-feldspar. To know which of the two feldspars is stable in a given field, use WERAMI option 1 (properties at specified conditions), e.g. at 753 K, 2800 bar:

Stable phases at:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~K})=753.000 \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})=2800.00 \\
& \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)=0.00000
\end{aligned}
$$

Phase Compositions (molar proportions):
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}\text { wt } \% & \text { vol } \% & \mathrm{~mol} & \% & \mathrm{~mol} & \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{MgO} & \mathrm{Al} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 & \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O} & \mathrm{CaO} & \mathrm{MnO} & \mathrm{FeO} & \mathrm{SiO} 2 & \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Mica } & 31.65 & 31.91 & 30.62 & 12.1 & 0.06267 & 0.03038 & 1.44894 & 0.43586 & 0.00294 & 0.00000 & 0.02215 & 3.04960 & 1.00000\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllllllll}\text { Bio } & 23.03 & 21.27 & 18.75 & 7.40 & 0.00000 & 1.12483 & 0.65563 & 0.50000 & 0.00000 & 0.02344 & 1.69611 & 2.84437 & 1.00000\end{array}$


Phase speciation (molar proportions):
Chl daph: 0.46703 , ames: 0.24846 , afchl: 0.19936 , clin: 0.27208 , mnchl: 0.01157 , och1: 0.00031 , och2: -0.19882
Mica mu: 0.81919, pa: 0.12535 , ma1_dqf: 0.00294 , cel: 0.03038 , fcel: 0.02215
Bio east: 0.15563 , ann: 0.54813 , phl: 0.23671, mnbi: 0.00781 , obi: 0.05171
Fsp abh: 0.68141, an: 0.31281, san: 0.00578
The feldspar stable at $753 \mathrm{~K}, 2800$ bar is a plagioclase.

## Ex. 8 (KFMASH)



Ex. 9 (MnNCKFMASH)


Compare this pseudosection with that modelled in Ex. 8 (KFMASH system). Note that the introduction of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CaO}$ and MnO has the following consequences:

- The garnet stability field is significantly enlarged toward low P and T with respect to the pseudosection of Ex. 8;
- Chloritoid is no more stable;
- The biotite stability field is significantly enlarged toward lower T (biotite is predicted to be stable in all the fields);
- Plagioclase is predicted to be stable in most of the fields;
- Zoisite appears at low T.

[^1]Ex. 10

## Ex. 10 - T-XMg pseudosection for a METAPELITE in the MnNKCFMASH system

This exercise is intended to explore the influence of bulk $\mathrm{XMg}[\mathrm{MgO} /(\mathrm{MgO}+\mathrm{FeO})]$ on the stability field of the main mineral assemblages, for the same metapelite sample investigated in Ex. 8 and 9.
The exercise provides the opportunity to calculate an isobaric T-X pseudosection (i.e. a phase diagram section with a compositional parameter on the horizontal axis).

This exercise is based on the paper by Tinkham et al. (2001) [Geol. Mat. Res., 3, 1-42]. The modelled sample is AWBZ (see Table 1 and their Fig. 10).

The T-XMg pseudosection is calculated at a fixed pressure of 3.5 kbar and for XMg ranging between 0 and 1. The XMg of the metapelite investigated in Ex .9 is $\mathrm{XMg}=0.47$.

The two bulk compositions to be used are (mol\%; $\mathrm{SiO2}$ in excess):
XMg=0: Al2O3=37.99, $\mathrm{FeO}=41.52, \mathrm{MgO}=0.00, \mathrm{MnO}=0.42, \mathrm{CaO}=4.95, \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O}=6.11, \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O}=9.01$
XMg=1: Al2O3=37.99, $\mathrm{FeO}=000, \mathrm{MgO}=41.52, \mathrm{MnO}=0.42, \mathrm{CaO}=4.95, \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O}=6.11, \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O}=9.01$
$\mathrm{T}=425-700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{P}=3.5 \mathrm{kbar}$
Use the same solid solution models used in Ex. 9

## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>build

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default = my_project]:

Ex10

The problem definition file will be named: ex10.dat

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default = hp02ver.dat]
hp62ver.dat

Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
[enter]

Reading computational options from: perplex_option.dat
The current data base components are:

Specify computational mode:
1 - Convex-Hull minimization
2 - Constrained minimization on a 2 d grid [default]
3 - Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
4 - Output pseudocompound data
5 -1-d Phase fractionation calculations
6 - 0-d Infiltration-reactionation-fractionation
7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with > 2 independent variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables.
2

Calculations with a saturated FLUID (Y/N)?
Y

Select the independent saturated FLUID components:
H2O CO2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:

For $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluids it is only necessary to select volatile species present in the solids of interest. If the species listed here are H 2 O and CO , then to constrain O 2 chemical potential to be consistent with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ fluid speciation treat O 2 as a saturated component. Refer to the Perple_X Tutorial for details.
H2O

Calculations with saturated components ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
Y
** warning ver015** if you select > 1 saturated component, then the order you enter the components determines the saturation heirarchy and may effect your results (see Connolly 1990).

Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 CO 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2 SiO2

Use chemical potentials, activities or fugacities as independent variables (Y/N)?
N

Select thermodynamic components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 CO 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
Na2O
MgO
Al2O3
K2O
CaO

## MnO

FeO

Because the thermodynamic data file identifies: H 2 O as special components, you will be prompted next for the EoS to be used for the corresponding composants and mixtures thereof. To override this behavior, e.g., to use a generic hybrid fluid EoS, delete the special_component section from the thermodynamic data file header.

```
Select fluid equation of state:
    0-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Modified Redlich-Kwong (MRK) DeSantis et al 74
    1-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 HSMRK Kerrick & Jacobs 81
    2-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
    5-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 CORK Holland & Powell 91,98
    8-f(O2/CO2) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS*
    10-X(O) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    12-X(O)-f(S2) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    13-X(H2) H2O-H2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
    14-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Pitzer & Sterner 94; Holland & Powell mixing 03
    15-X(H2) H2O-H2 low T MRK hybrid-EoS*
    16 - X(O) H-O MRK hybrid-EoS*
    17 - X(O)-f(S2) H-O-S MRK hybrid-EoS*
    19 - X(O)-X(S) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    20-X(O)-X(C) COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    24-f(O2/CO2)-N/C C-buffered COHN MRK hybrid-EoS*
    25-X(CO2)-X(NaCl) H2O-CO2-NaCl Aranovich et al 10
    26-X(O) O-Si MRK Connolly 16
    27-X(O)-X(C) C-O-H MRK hybrid-EoS*
*Hybrid EoS use the following pure species EoS, to change these associations modify the hybrid_EoS keywords in the
perplex_option file:
    H2O - PSEoS Pitzer & Sterner 1994
    CO2 - PSEoS Pitzer & Sterner }199
    CH4 - HSMRK Kerrick & Jacobs }198
5
```

The data base has $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ as default independent potentials.
Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
N

Select $x$-axis variable:
1 - P(bar)
2-T(K)
$3-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$
4 - Composition X_C1* (user defined)
*Although only one component is specified for the $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$ phase, its equation of state permits use of its compositional variable:
*X_C1 can not be selected as the $y$-axis variable
In this case, the x -axis variable is a composition (XMg)
4

Select $y$-axis variable:

$$
1-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})
$$

```
    2-T(K)
    3-Y(CO2)
    4-Composition X_C2 (user defined)
2
```

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: $T(K)$
698
973

Specify sectioning value for: $P(b a r)$
3500

Specify sectioning value for: $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO} 2)$
0

For gridded minimization, grid resolution is determined by the number of levels (grid_levels) and the resolution at the lowest level in the X - and Y -directions (x_nodes and y_nodes) these parameters are currently set for the exploratory and autorefine cycles as follows:
stage grid_levels xnodes ynodes effective resolution
exploratory $1 \quad 60 \quad 60 \quad 60 \times 60$ nodes
auto-refine $4 \quad 60 \quad 60 \quad 473 \times 473$ nodes
To change these options edit or create the file perplex_option.dat
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html\#grid_parameters

All thermodynamic components must be constrained, constrain saturated components also $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N

Specify component amounts by mass ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
N

The amounts you enter next need not be normalized; regardless of units, they define the molar amount of the system

The bulk composition of the system will be computed as:

$$
C=C 0 *\left(1-X \_C 1\right)+C 1^{*} X \_C 1
$$

where $X_{-} C 1$ varies between 0 and 1 , and $C 0$ and $C 1$ are the compositions specified next.

To compute bulk compositions as: $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{C} 1^{*} X_{-} \mathrm{C} 1$ change the computational option keyword closed_c_space.

Enter the molar amounts of the components:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 K 2 O CaO MnO FeO
to define the composition C0
This corresponds to $\mathrm{XMgO}=0$
6.11
0.00
37.99
9.01
4.95
0.42

Enter molar amounts of the components:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 K 2 O CaO MnO FeO
to define the composition $\mathrm{C1}$
This corresponds to $\mathrm{XMgO}=1$
6.11
41.52
37.99
9.01
4.95
0.42
0.00

Output a print file $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
Y
...
Exclude pure and/or endmember phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
Y

Do you want to be prompted for phases $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
N

Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
mic
ab

Include solution models ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
Y

Enter solution model file name [default = solution_model.dat] left justified, < 100 characters:
[return]

Select models from the following list, enter 1 per line, press <enter> to finish
clinopyroxene models: $\quad \mathrm{Cpx}(\mathrm{H}) \quad$ Augite $(\mathrm{G}) \mathrm{Cpx}(\mathrm{JH})$
clinoamphibole models: $c A m p h(G)$ Cumm cAmph(DP) cAmph(G)_I
liquid models: $\quad \operatorname{melt}(\mathrm{H}) \operatorname{melt}(\mathrm{G}) \quad \operatorname{Melt}(\mathrm{JH}) \operatorname{melt}(\mathrm{W}) \operatorname{melt}(\mathrm{HP})$
chlorite models: $\quad \mathrm{Chl}(\mathrm{W}) \mathrm{Chl}(\mathrm{HP}) \mathrm{Chl}(\mathrm{LWV})$
olivine models: $\quad \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{JH}) \quad \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{SG}) \quad \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{HP}) \quad \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{HPK}) \quad \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{stx})$

O(stx7) O(stx8)
spinel models: $\quad \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{JH}) \quad \mathrm{GaHcSp} \quad \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{JR}) \quad \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{GS}) \quad \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{HP}) \quad \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{stx}) \quad \mathrm{CrSp} \quad \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{stx} 7) \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{WPC}) \quad \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{stx} 8)$
garnet models: $\quad \mathrm{Grt}(\mathrm{JH}) \mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{W}) \quad \mathrm{CrGt} \quad \mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{MPF}) \mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{B}) \quad \mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{GCT}) \mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{HP}) \mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{EWHP}) \mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{WPH}) \mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{stx})$
Gt(stx8) Gt(WPPH) $\mathrm{ZrGt}(\mathrm{KP})$ Maj
orthopyroxene models: Opx(JH) Opx(W) Opx(HP) CrOpx(HP) Opx(stx) Opx(stx8)
white-mica models: Mica(W) Pheng(HP) Mica(CF) Mica(CHA1) Mica(CHA) Mica+(CHA) Mica(SGH)
chloritoid models: $\quad \operatorname{Ctd}(\mathrm{W}) \quad \mathrm{Ctd}(\mathrm{HP}) \quad \mathrm{Ctd}(\mathrm{SGH})$
staurolite models: $\quad \mathrm{St}(\mathrm{W}) \quad \mathrm{St}(\mathrm{HP})$
biotite models: $\quad \mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{W}) \quad \mathrm{Bio}(\mathrm{TCC}) \mathrm{Bio}(\mathrm{WPH}) \mathrm{Bio}(\mathrm{HP})$
cordierite models: $\quad \mathrm{Crd}(\mathrm{W}) \quad \mathrm{hCrd}$
sapphirine models: $\quad \mathrm{Sa}(\mathrm{WP}) \quad \mathrm{Sapp}(\mathrm{TP})$ Sapp(HP) Sapp(KWP)
carpholite models: Carp Carp(M) Carp(SGH)
sudoite models: Sud(Livi) Sud Sud(M)
orthoamphibole models: Anth oAmph(DP) o-Amph
stilpnomelane models: Stlp
alphabet-phase models: A-phase
clinohumite models: Chum
brucite models: B
aqueous_electrolyte model WADDAH
wadleysite models: Wad
ringwoodite models: Ring Ring(H)
wuestite models: Wus
ilmenite models: Aki
perovskite models: Pv
High pressure garnet mode $\mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{H})$
ferropericlase models: $\operatorname{Fper}(\mathrm{H})$
MgSi Perovskite models: $\operatorname{Mpv}(H)$
CaSi Perovskite models: $\operatorname{Cpv}(\mathrm{H})$
Corundum models: $\quad \operatorname{Cor}(\mathrm{H})$
Akimotoite models: Aki(H)
wadsleyite models: $\quad$ Wad(H)
HP_clinopyroxene models: Hpx(H)
talc models: T
serpentine models: $\operatorname{Atg}(P N)$
For details on these models see:www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_solution_model_glossary.html or read the commentary in the solution model file.

Chl(W)
$\mathrm{Bi}(\mathrm{W})$
Mica(W)
Gt(W)
Ctd(W)
St(W)
Crd(W)
feldspar

Enter calculation title:
Ex10

## (2) Doing the calculation (VERTEX)

## Run VERTEX to make the calculation:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>vertex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex10

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSSECT)

Run PSSECT to plot the calculated pseudosection:

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>pssect

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex10

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $1.20 \quad$ [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:
0 [0] x-min (pts)
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill T [T] F
field_label $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad[\mathrm{F}] \mathrm{T}$
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
$0.180 \quad$ [0.18] y-scale (rel)
130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
replicate_label $0.250 \quad 0->1$ [0.025]
splines $\quad \mathrm{T} \quad[\mathrm{T}] \mathrm{F}$
tenth_ticks F [F] T
text_scale 1.000 [1.] (rel)
To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex10.ps

Modify the default plot $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
N



Comparison between the P-T pseudosection calculated in $\mathrm{Ex} .9(\mathrm{XMgO}=0.47)$ and the $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{XMgO}$ calculated at 3.5 kbar. The sequence of mineral assemblages at increasing $T$ should correspond in the two pseudosections.

## Ex. 11

## Ex. 11-DIRECT modelling of an anatectic METAPELITE (NKCFMASH system)

This exercise deals with the "DIRECT" modelling of a metapelite sample at supra-solidus conditions (i.e. melt-bearing). "Direct modelling" means that you know which is the protolith composition, including its initial $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ amount (which is generally not the case...). In other worlds, we will consider a generic metapelite (with an average composition) and we will see what happens to this metapelite if it is heated enough to experience partial melting.

This exercise is based on the paper by White et al. (2001) [J. metam. Geol., 19, 139-153]. The P-T pseudosection is reported in their Fig. 4.

Bulk composition (mol\%; SiO 2 in excess):
Al2O3=30.66, $\mathrm{FeO}=23.74, \mathrm{MgO}=12.47, \mathrm{CaO}=0.97, \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O}=1.94, \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O}=9.83, \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}=20.39$
$\mathrm{T}=630-1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{P}=0-12 \mathrm{kbar}$

## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>build

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 15, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default = my_project]:
ex11

The problem definition file will be named: ex11.dat

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default $=\mathrm{hp} 02 \mathrm{ver} . \mathrm{dat}$ :
hp62ver.dat

Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
[enter]

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat

The current data base components are:

```
Na2O MgO Al2O3 SiO2 K2O CaO TiO2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO2 Cl2 O2 H2O CO2 CuO Cr2O3 S2
F2
Transform them (Y/N)?
n
Specify computational mode:
```


## 1 - Convex-Hull minimization

2 - Constrained minimization on a $2 d$ grid [default]
3 - Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
4 - Output pseudocompound data
5-1-d Phase fractionation calculations
6-0-d Infiltration-reactionation-fractionation
7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with $>2$ independent variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables.
2

Calculations with a saturated fluid $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
Because specification of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a saturated phase component causes Perple_X to exclude any phases with the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ composition that are not named " H 2 O ", H 2 O should not be specified as a saturated fluid phase in calculations involving a hydrous silicate melt if, as is commonly the case, the melt model involves a water end-member that is not named "H2O" (e.g. h2oL). This means that, for calculations at supra-solidus conditions (e.g. melt-bearing systems), the user must consider H 2 O as a normal chemical component, and not as a saturated fluid phase.
n

Calculations with saturated components $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y
** warning ver015** if you select > 1 saturated component, then the order you enter the components determines the saturation heirarchy and may effect your results (see Connolly 1990).

Select < 6 saturated components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 H 2 O CO 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2 Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
SiO2

Use chemical potentials, activities or fugacities as independent variables (Y/N)?
n

Select thermodynamic components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 H 2 O CO 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
Na2O
MgO
Al2O3
K2O
CaO
FeO
H2O
Because the thermodynamic data file identifies: H 2 O as special components, you will be prompted next for the EoS to be used for the corresponding composants and mixtures thereof. To override this behavior, e.g., to use a generic hybrid fluid EoS, delete the special_component section from the thermodynamic data file header.

```
Select fluid equation of state:
    0-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Modified Redlich-Kwong (MRK) DeSantis et al 74
    1-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 HSMRK Kerrick & Jacobs 81
    2 - X(CO2) H2O-CO2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
    5- X(CO2) H2O-CO2 CORK Holland & Powell 91, 98
    8-f(O2/CO2) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS*
    10 - X(O) C-buffered COH MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    12 - X(O)-f(S2) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    13-X(H2) H2O-H2 MRK hybrid-EoS*
    14-X(CO2) H2O-CO2 Pitzer & Sterner 94; Holland & Powell mixing 03
    15-X(H2) H2O-H2 low T MRK hybrid-EoS*
    16 - X(O) H-O MRK hybrid-EoS*
    17-X(O)-f(S2) H-O-S MRK hybrid-EoS*
    19 - X(O)-X(S) C-buffered COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    20-X(O)-X(C) COHS MRK hybrid-EoS Connolly & Cesare 93*
    24-f(O2/CO2)-N/C C-buffered COHN MRK hybrid-EoS*
    25-X(CO2)-X(NaCl) H2O-CO2-NaCl Aranovich et al 10
    26-X(O) O-Si MRK Connolly 16
    27-X(O)-X(C) C-O-H MRK hybrid-EoS*
*Hybrid EoS use the following pure species EoS, to change these associations modify the hybrid_EoS keywords in the
perplex_option file:
    H2O - PSEoS Pitzer & Sterner 1994
    CO2 - PSEoS Pitzer & Sterner 1994
    CH4 - HSMRK Kerrick & Jacobs 1981
5
The data base has P(bar) and \(T(K)\) as default independent potentials. Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient ( \(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}\) ) ? n
Select \(x\)-axis variable:
1-P(bar)
2-T(K)
3 - Composition X_C1* (user defined)
* \(X\) _C1 can not be selected as the \(y\)-axis variable
2
Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: \(\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})\)
903
1273
Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: \(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})\)
0.1
12000
For gridded minimization, grid resolution is determined by the number of levels (grid_levels) and the resolution at the lowest level in the X - and Y -directions (x_nodes and y_nodes) these parameters are currently set for the exploratory and autorefi ne cycles as follows:
stage grid_levels xnodes ynodes effective resolution
exploratory \(1 \quad 60 \quad 60 \quad 60 \times 60\) nodes
auto-refine \(4 \quad 60 \quad 60 \quad 473 \times 473\) nodes
```

To change these options edit or create the file perplex_option.dat
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html\#grid_parameters

All thermodynamic components must be constrained, specify saturated components also $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
n

Specify component amounts by mass ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
n

The amounts you enter next need not be normalized; regardless of units, they define the molar amount of the system

Enter the molar amounts of the components:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 K 2 O CaO FeO H 2 O
for the bulk composition of interest:
1.94
12.74
30.66
9.83
0.97
23.74
22.39

Output a print file ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
y
** warning ver051** cannot make hmjL because of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file.

Exclude pure and/or endmember phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
y
Do you want to be prompted for phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
n
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
ab
mic

Include solution models ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
y
Enter the solution model file name [default = solution_model.dat]:
[enter]
** warning ver025** 0 endmembers for FeS_liq The solution will not be considered.

Select models from the following list, enter 1 per line, press <enter> to finish
clinopyroxene models: $\mathrm{Cpx}(\mathrm{H}) \quad$ Augite(G) $\mathrm{Cpx}(\mathrm{JH}) \quad \mathrm{Cpx}(\mathrm{I}) \quad \mathrm{Cpx}(\mathrm{h}) \mathrm{Cpx}(\mathrm{stx}) \mathrm{Cpx}(\mathrm{stx} 7) \operatorname{Omph}(\mathrm{HP}) \mathrm{Cpx}(\mathrm{HP})$ Cpx(m) Cpx(stx8) Omph(GHP)

```
clinoamphibole models: cAmph(G) Cumm Gl Tr Act(M) cAmph(DP) cAmph(G)_I GITrTsMr
                Ca-Amph(D) Na-Amph(D) GlTrTsPg Amph(DHP) Amph(DPW)
liquid models: melt(H) melt(G) Melt(JH) melt(W) melt(HP)
chlorite models: Chl(W) Chl(HP) Chl(LWV)
olivine models: }\quad\textrm{O}(\textrm{JH})\quad\textrm{O}(\textrm{SG})\quad\textrm{O}(\textrm{HP})\quad\textrm{O}(\textrm{HPK})\quad\textrm{O}(\textrm{stx})\quad\textrm{O}(\textrm{stx}7)\quad\textrm{Ol}(m)\quadO(stx8
spinel models: Sp(JH) GaHcSp Sp(JR) Sp(GS) Sp(HP) Sp(stx) CrSp Sp(stx7) Sp(WPC) Sp(stx8)
binary-feldspar models: Pl(JH) Pl(h) Kf San San(TH) Pl(stx8)
garnet models: Grt(JH) Gt(W) CrGt Gt(MPF) Gt(B) Gt(GCT) Gt(HP) Gt(EWHP) Gt(WPH) Gt(stx)
    Gt(stx8) Gt(WPPH) ZrGt(KP) Maj
orthopyroxene models: Opx(JH) Opx(W) Opx(HP) CrOpx(HP) Opx(stx) Opx(stx8)
white-mica models: Mica(W) Pheng(HP) MaPa Mica(CF) Mica(CHA1) Mica(CHA) Mica+(CHA) Mica(M)
    Mica(SGH)
chloritoid models: Ctd(W) Ctd(HP) Ctd(SGH)
staurolite models: St(W) St(HP)
biotite models: Bi(W) Bio(TCC) Bio(WPH) Bio(HP)
cordierite models: Crd(W) hCrd
sapphirine models: Sa(WP) Sapp(TP) Sapp(HP) Sapp(KWP)
pumpellyite models: Pu
carpholite models: Carp Carp(M) Carp(SGH)
sudoite models: Sud(Livi) Sud Sud(M)
orthoamphibole models: Anth oAmph(DP) o-Amph
ternary-feldspar models: feldspar feldspar_B PI(I1,HP) Fsp(C1)
stilpnomelane models: Stlp
alphabet-phase models: A-phase
clinohumite models: Chum
brucite models: B
aqueous_electrolyte model WADDAH
wadleysite models: Wad
ringwoodite models: Ring Ring(H)
wuestite models: Wus
ilmenite models: Aki
perovskite models: Pv
High pressure garnet mode Gt(H)
ferropericlase models: Fper(H)
MgSi Perovskite models: Mpv(H)
CaSi Perovskite models: Cpv(H)
Corundum models: }\operatorname{Cor(H)
Akimotoite models: Aki(H)
wadsleyite models: Wad(H)
HP_clinopyroxene models: Hpx(H)
talc models: T
serpentine models: Atg(PN)
For details on these models see:www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_solution_model_glossary.html or read the commentary
in the solution model file.
Bi(W)
Mica(W)
Gt(W)
St(W)
Crd(W)
Opx(W)
```


## feldspar

melt(W)

Enter calculation title:
Ex11

## (2) Doing the calculation (VERTEX)

Run VERTEX to make the calculation:
C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>vertex
Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex11
${ }^{* *}$ warning ver114** the following endmembers are missing for $\mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{W})$
spss fmn_i fkho_i kho1 andr_i
**warning ver050** reformulating prismatic solution: $\mathrm{Gt}(\mathrm{W}) \quad$ because of missing endmembers.
(reformulation can be controlled explicitly by excluding additional endmembers).
150 pseudocompounds generated for: Gt(W)
**error ver180** too many pseudocompounds 16000000 generated subdividing site 1 of solution: melt(W) this error can usually be eliminated by one of the following actions (best listed first):

- increase the 1st value of the initial_resolution keyword in in perplex_option.dat
- restrict the subdivision range for the solution
- if non-linear subdivision is specified for the solution then increase the resolution parameters or change to linear subdivision
- increase parameter k13 and recompile


## Press Enter to quit...

The calculation ends abruptly with an error message explaining that VERTEX has generated TOO MANY PSEUDOCOMPOUNDS for the solution model "melt(W)". There are four suggestions to overcome this problem (i.e. to decrease the number of generated pseudocompounds): suggestion (1) implies to make changes in the perplex_option file, suggestions (2) and (3) imply to make changes in the solution_model file, whereas suggestion (4) is out of our reach (it means that it is necessary to recompile VERTEX).
I suggest to follow suggestion (1), i.e. to modify the initial_resolution values in the perplex_option.dat file.
The default values of the initial_resolution keyword are currently set as: 1/16 (exploratory stage) and 1/48 (auto-refine stage).
The new suggested values are: 1/10 (exploratory stage) and 1/16 (auto-refine stage).

```
initial_resolution 1/10 1/16|[1/16] >0, <1
```

I suggest to rename BOTH the perplex_option file (e.g. perplex_option_ex11.dat) AND the input file (e.g. ex11_2); remember to change the name of the perplex_option file in the input file.

## Run again VERTEX

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>vertex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex11_2

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSSECT)

Run PSSECT to plot the calculated pseudosection:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>pssect

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex11_2

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $1.20 \quad$ [1.2] (rel)
bounding_box:
$0 \quad$ [0] x-min (pts)
0 [0] y-min (pts)
800 [800] x-length (pts)
800 [800] y-length (pts)
field_fill T [T] F
field_label T [T] F
field_label_scale 0.75 [0.72] (rel)
font Helvetica
grid $\quad$ F $\quad$ [ ] T
half_ticks T [T] F
line_width $\quad 1.00 \quad 0-99$ [1.] (pts)
picture_transformation :
0.180 [0.18] x-scale (rel)
$0.180 \quad$ [0.18] y-scale (rel)
130. [0.18] x-translation (pts)
220. [0.18] y-translation (pts)
0.00 [0.0] rotation (deg)
plot_aspect_ratio 1.000 [1.0] x_axis_length/y_axis_length
replicate_label $0.250 \quad 0->1[0.025]$
splines T [T] F
tenth_ticks F [F]T

$$
\text { text_scale } \quad 1.000 \quad[1 .] \text { (rel) }
$$

To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex11.ps

Modify the default plot $(y / n)$ ?
n
**warning ver099** the section contains phase fields of variance > 6 these will be drawn with pattern fills that may look strange. Suppress phase field fills for fields with variance > 6 (y/n)?
n
There are 10 fields for: Opx Bio Crd Fsp Fsp H2O
There are 14 fields for: Gt Melt Mica Bio Fsp H2O
There are 4 fields for: Mica Bio Fsp Fsp sill H2O
There are 3 fields for: Gt Melt Mica Bio Fsp ky
There are 2 fields for: Mica St Bio Fsp sill H2O
There are 2 fields for: Gt Mica St Bio Fsp sill H2O



## (4) Calculating ISOMODES (WERAMI)

This section explains how to calculate the variation in the modal amounts of each phase (vol\%) for the modelled pseudosection. There are also suggestions for calculating isomodes for 2 immiscible phases (i.e. PI and Kfs) of the same solution (i.e. feldspar) coexisting in one or more fields.

Run WERAMI to calculate the ISOMODES of each phase.

C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>werami

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
ex11_2

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Perple_X computational option settings for WERAMI:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Input/Output options:
aqueous_output T [F] T
aqeuous_species 20 [20] 0-100
aq_solvent_composition y [y] m : $\mathrm{y}=>$ mol fraction, $\mathrm{m}=>$ molality
aq_solute_composition $m \quad y[m]: y=>m o l$ fraction, $m=>$ molality
spreadsheet T [F] T
logarithmic_p F [F] T
bad_number $\quad \mathrm{NaN} \quad[\mathrm{NaN}]$
composition_constant F [F] T
composition_phase mol [mol] wt
composition_system wt [wt] mol
proportions vol [vol] wt mol
absolute $\quad F \quad[F] T$
cumulative $\quad F \quad[F] T$
fancy_cumulative_modes F [F] T
interpolation on [on] off
melt_is_fluid F [F] T
solution_names abb [model] abbreviation full
species_output T [T] F
species_Gibbs_energies $F \quad[F] T$
seismic_output som [some] none all
pause_on_error T [T] F
poisson_test F [F] T
interim_results aut [auto] off manual
sample_on_grid $F$ [T] F
auto_exclude $\quad$ TT] F
Information file output options:
option_list_files F [F] T; echo computational options
Thermodynamic options:
approx_alpha T [T] F

Anderson-Gruneisen F [F] T
hybrid_EoS_H2O 4 [4] 0-2, 4-7
hybrid_EoS_CO2 4 [4] 0-4, 7
hybrid_EoS_CH4 0 [0] 0-1, 7
fd_expansion_factor $2.0>0$ [2.]
finite_difference_p $0.1 \mathrm{D}+05>0$ [1d4]; fraction $=0.1 \mathrm{D}-02 \quad[1 \mathrm{~d}-2]$
Seismic velocity options:
bounds VRH [VRH]HS
vrh/hs_weighting $0.5 \quad[0.5] 0->1$
explicit_bulk_modulus T [F] T
poisson_ratio on [on] all off; Poisson ratio $=0.35$
To change these options see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html
Select operational mode:
1 - properties at specified conditions
2 - properties on a 2 d grid
3 - properties along a 1d path

```
    4-as in 3, but input from file
    0-EXIT
2
```

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
1 - Specific Enthalpy (J/m3)
2 - Density (kg/m3)
3 - Specific heat capacity ( $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{m} 3$ )
4 - Expansivity ( $1 / K$, for volume)
5 - Compressibility (1/bar, for volume)
6 - Composition (Mol, Mass, or Wt\%) of the system
7 - Mode (Vol, Mol, or Wt proportion) of a phase
8 - Composition (Mol, Mass, or Wt\%) of a solution phase
9 - Grueneisen thermal ratio
10 - Adiabatic bulk modulus (bar)
11 - Adiabatic shear modulus (bar)
12 - Sound velocity (km/s)
13 - P-wave velocity (Vp, km/s)
14 - S-wave velocity (Vs, km/s)
15 - Vp/Vs
16 - Specific entropy ( $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{m} 3$ )
17 - Entropy ( $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
18 - Enthalpy ( $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{kg}$ )
19 - Heat Capacity (J/K/kg)
20 - Specific mass of a phase (kg/m3-system)
21 - Poisson ratio
22 - Molar Volume (J/bar)
23 - Dependent potentials (J/mol, bar, K)
24 - Assemblage Index
25 - Modes of all phases
26 - Sound velocity $T$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{K}$ )
27 - P-wave velocity $T$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{K}$ )
28 - S-wave velocity T derivative (km/s/K)
29 - Adiabatic bulk modulus T derivative (bar/K)
30 - Shear modulus T derivative (bar/K)
31 - Sound velocity $P$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{bar}$ )
32 - P -wave velocity $P$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{bar}$ )
33 - S-wave velocity $P$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{bar}$ )
34 - Adiabatic bulk modulus $P$ derivative (unitless)
35 - Shear modulus $P$ derivative (unitless)
36 - All phase \&/or system properties
37 - Absolute amount (Vol, Mol, or Wt) of a phase
38 - Multiple property output
39 - Heat capacity ratio (Cp/Cv)
40 - Lagged or back-calculated aqueous solute chemistry
7
Enter solution or compound (left justified):
melt(W)
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
You should answer N if you want to calculate the modal amounts of solid phases.

Fractions are Wt , Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7
Enter solution or compound (left justified):
Mica(W)
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties (y/n)?
n
Fractions are Wt, Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7
Enter solution or compound (left justified):
Bi(W)
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
n
Fractions are Wt , Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.
Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7
Enter solution or compound (left justified):
feldspar
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
n
Fractions are Wt, Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7
Enter solution or compound (left justified):
Gt(W)
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
n
Fractions are Wt, Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7
Enter solution or compound (left justified):
Crd(W)
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
n
Fractions are Wt , Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.
Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7
Enter solution or compound (left justified):
Opx(W)

Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
n
Fractions are Wt, Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.
Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7
Enter solution or compound (left justified):
ky
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
n
Fractions are Wt , Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7
Enter solution or compound (left justified):
sill
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
n
Fractions are Wt, Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
7
Enter solution or compound (left justified):
and
Include fluid in computation of aggregate (or modal) properties ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
n
Fractions are Wt , Vol, or Mol depending on the perplex_option.dat proportions keyword.
Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
0

Change default variable range ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
n

Select the grid resolution (to use an arbitrary grid set sample_on_grid to F ):
1-60× 60 nodes
2-119x 119 nodes
3-237x 237 nodes
4-473×473 nodes [default]
4
...
...

2 immiscible phases of feldspar coexist with the following molar compositions:

| H 2 O | MgO | Al 2 O 3 | K 2 O | CaO | FeO | Na 2 O | SiO 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.505 | 0.422 | 0.010 | 0.000 | 0.073 | 2.990 |
| 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.789 | 0.009 | 0.577 | 0.000 | 0.202 | 2.423 |

Identify the phase of interest by:

1 - the maximum value of a composition [default].
2 - the minimum value of a composition.
3 - the range of one or more compositions.
4-a combination of the above.
5 - average the compositions of immiscible phases.
Kfs and PI have been modelled using the same solid solution model ("feldspar"); therefore, in the modelled pseudosection, you have fields in which two feldspars coexist. For those fields where there are two stable feldspars, you should specify what do you want to calculate (i.e. isomodes for Kfs or for PI?).
You should choose one of the above criteria: for example, if you want to calculate the isomodes for plagioclase, you can specify that the phase of interest should be identified basing on the maximum anorthite content (and, conversely, if you want to calculate the isomodes for K-feldspar, it should be identified basing on the maximum sanidine content, or the minimum anorthite content).
1

The following prompts define the composition $\mathrm{C}[1]$ to be used to identify the phase of interest.
NOTE: discriminatory criteria are only applied when immiscible phases coexist. If only one phase of a solution is stable, then data for this phase is output regardless of whether the phase meets the criteria specified here.
Read carefully this note.
Define the composition in terms of the species/endmembers of feldspar $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?
Answer no to define a composition in terms of the systems components. Units (mass or molar) are controlled by the composition keyword in perplex_option.dat.
y
Compositions are defined as a ratio of the form:
$\operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=1, c 1\right\} / \operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} y(i), i=c 2, c 3\right\}$
$\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{j})=$ mole fraction of species j
$w(j)=$ weighting factor of species $j$ (usually 1 )
How many components in the numerator of the composition (<13)?
1

Enter species indices and weighting factors for the numerator:

$$
1-a b h
$$

2-an
3-san
2
1

How many species in the denominator of the composition (<12)?
Enter zero to use the numerator as a composition.
0

The compositional variable is: 1.0 an
Change it ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
n

Remember that you are calculating the isomodes of plagioclase in those fields where two feldspar coexist. In the fields where you have only one feldspar, the calculated isomodes refer to either PI or Kfs, depending on which one is stable.

In order to calculate the isomodes of K-feldspar in the fields where two feldspar coexists, you should run again WERAMI, asking for Kfs isomodes.
...
Output has been written to the 2d tab format file: ex10_1.tab
2d tab format files can be processed with:
PSTABLE - a Perple_X plotting program
PERPLE_X_PLOT - a MATLAB plotting script
PYWERAMI - petrol.natur.cuni.cz/~ondro/pywerami:home
spread-sheet programs, e.g., EXCEL
for details on tab format refer to:
perplex.ethz.ch/faq/perple_x_tab_file_format.txt
Select operational mode:
1 - properties at specified conditions
2 - properties on a 2d grid
3 - properties along a 1d path
4 - as in 3, but input from file
0 - EXIT
0
At the end, you have a new file (ex10_1.tab) in the Perple_X folder.
Run again WERAMI to calculate isomodes for K-feldspar (ex10_2.tab).


NB: If you have doubts on which feldspar is stable in each field assemblage, you can run WERAMI, option 1. This option allows to calculate all the properties of the system (including phase compositions) at specified P-T conditions.

For example at $973 \mathrm{~K}\left(700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathbf{8} \mathbf{k b a r}$ :

Stable phases at:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~K})=973.000 \\
& \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})=8000.00
\end{aligned}
$$

Phase Compositions (molar proportions):
wt \% vol \% $\quad \mathrm{mol} \% \mathrm{~mol} \quad \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{MgO} \quad \mathrm{Al2O} 3 \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{CaO} \quad \mathrm{FeO} \quad \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{SiO} 2$


Phase speciation (molar proportions):

```
Gt alm: 0.79084, py: 0.18052, gr: 0.02864
Melt fo8L: 0.00029, fa8L: 0.00094, abL: 0.16179, sil8L: 0.00528, anL: 0.00404, kspL: 0.08421,q8L: 0.09305
    h2oL: 0.65039
Mica mu:0.81339, pa:0.08137, ma1_dqf: 0.00360, cel: 0.05226, fcel: 0.04938
Bio east: 0.29539, ann: 0.51700, phl: 0.24337, obi: -0.05576
Fsp abh: 0.78357, an: 0.16694, san: 0.04949
```


## Ex. 12

## Ex. 12-INDIRECT modelling of an anatectic METAPELITE

In Ex. 11 we have considered a model metapelite, and we have supposed to know the protolith bulk composition (including its initial $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ amount). However, in most cases, we have to deal with natural samples, of which we do not know the whole history. Furthermore, a number of evidence are consistent with most migmatites and granulites having lost some to virtually all of their melt during metamorphism.

This exercise aims at modelling a REAL SAMPLE OF ANATECTIC METAPELITE.

## What do we know?

- The observed mineral assemblage
- The measured mineral compositions
- The measured bulk composition (which often does not coincide with the composition of the protolith!)

What we do not know?

- The bulk composition of the protolith (if a certain amount of melt was lost during the prograde evolution)
- The amount of melt that was lost
- The number of melt loss events
- The P-T conditions at which melt was lost


## STRATEGY

The problem can be divided in two parts:
(A) If a certain amount of melt was lost during the prograde evolution, the measured bulk composition of the sample represents the FINAL rock composition, after the loss of that melt. Therefore, the pseudosection calculated using the MEASURED bulk composition allows to constrain the P-T evolution from peak conditions to final melt crystallization (i.e. retrograde evolution), but may not be valid for the prograde evolution of the rock.
(B) An APPROXIMATE PROTOLITH COMPOSITION can be calculated by REINTEGRATING melt into the measured rock composition. The pseudosection calculated using the MELT-REINTEGRATED bulk composition allows the exploration of the extended supra-solidus domain and the assessment of an APPROXIMATE prograde evolution.

This exercise is based on the paper by Groppo et al. (2012) [J. Petrol., 53, 1057-1088]. The P-T pseudosection is reported in their Fig. 10a.

## MEASURED BULK COMPOSITION

Bulk composition may be obtained using conventional methods (e.g. XRF, ICP-MS), BUT we need a precise estimate of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ amount in the bulk. A PRECISE ESTIMATE OF THE $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ CONTENT IN THE BULK is, in fact, crucial because it controls the position of the solidus and the amount of melt that can be produced from the source rock as a function of P-T. Conventional methods do not provide such an accurate estimate of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ content (do not thrust the LOI value!).

My suggested method is to combine the mineral proportions obtained from the modal estimate of a compositional map of the whole thin section with the mineral chemistry acquired at the microprobe. This method allows a precise estimate of the modal percentage of hydrous minerals (e.g. biotite, cordierite) in the rock, which is required to derive the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ content in the bulk.


## (1) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

## Bulk composition (mol\%):

$\mathrm{SiO2}=79.45$; $\mathrm{TiO2}: 0.57$; $\mathrm{Al} 2 \mathrm{O} 3=8.6, \mathrm{FeO}=3.49, \mathrm{MgO}=2.18, \mathrm{CaO}=1.11, \mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O}=1.5, \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O}=2.00$, H2O=1.04
$\mathrm{T}=700-900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{P}=3-15 \mathrm{kbar}$
Because the problem is similar to that of Ex. 11, we can try to skip the BUILD session and to directly edit the input file, starting from that of Ex. 11_2 (Use the perplex_option_ex11.dat file created for Ex11).
NB: SiO2 is not considered in excess; add TiO2 and MnO in the list of thermodynamic components; change the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{T}$ range of interest; exclude $\mathrm{Chl}(\mathrm{W}), \mathrm{St}(\mathrm{W})$ and $\mathrm{Ctd}(\mathrm{W})$ (these are surely not stable at $\mathrm{T}>700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

## (2) Doing the calculation (VERTEX)

Run vertex to make the calculation:
C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>vertex
Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex12
...

## (3) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSSECT)

Run PSSECT to plot the calculated pseudosection:
C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>pssect
Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
Ex12


Peak P-T conditions can be derived by:
(a) the stability field of the peak assemblage ( $\mathrm{Grt}+\mathrm{Bt}+\mathrm{Kfs}+\mathrm{Pl}+\mathrm{Sil}+\mathrm{Qtz}+\mathrm{Rt}+\mathrm{L}$ );
(b) the modelled vs. observed garnet composition (see details in Groppo et al., 2012)

P-T conditions of final melt crystallization are given by:
(a) the intersection between the MODELLED ISOMODES of each phase and the solidus, that should correspond to the MEASURED mineral modes.


Comparison with Fig. 10a in Groppo et al. (2012). Note that, although minor changes occur in the topology of the pseudosection due to the different thermodynamic databases and solid solution models used, the final results are very similar.

Option 1 of WERAMI allows to quickly check if the modelled mineral modes at the solidus (i.e. at P-T conditions estimated for the final melt crystallization: $770^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{kbar}$ ) are comparable (within the error) with the observed mineral modes.

## Werami, option 1

Stable phases at:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~K})=1053.00 \\
& \mathrm{P}(\text { bar })=6000.00
\end{aligned}
$$

Phase Compositions (molar proportions):


This exercise is based on the paper by Groppo et al. (2012) [J. Petrol., 53, 1057-1088]. The P-T pseudosection is reported in their Fig. 10 b.

In natural systems, it is not possible to know exactly the total amount and composition of missing melt and the number of episodes of melt loss, therefore the EXACT missing melt cannot be added back to reconstruct the real sub-solidus protolith composition. As a consequence, the prograde portion of the P-T evolution of anatectic rocks is generally less well constrained than the retrograde one.

An APPROXIMATE protolith composition can be calculated by reintegrating melt into the measured rock composition. It has been demonstrated that the simple case of a single event of melt loss (occurred at peak T conditions) is a defensible end-member case.

## (i) How much melt should be re-integrated?

An amount of melt sufficient to model a $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-saturated solidus in the pressure range of interest.
This melt-reintegrated composition likely approximates that of a protolith containing the maximum possible amount of mica before melting.

How to calculate the amount of melt to be reintegrated Use a trial and error method.

- start from the measured bulk composition and add a small amount of melt (e.g. $0.05 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ); calculate a new pseudosection with the reintegrated bulk composition (better if you extend the T range down to $650^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); check if the modelled solidus is still dry or wet.
- repeat this process until a $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-saturated (wet) solidus is modelled in the P -range of interest.


## (ii) Of which composition?

The composition of reintegrated melt can be calculated at peak-T conditions.

How to estimate the melt composition to be reintegrated Run WERAMI, option 1, at peak P-T conditions. The melt composition is expressed in molar proportions of oxides (see below).

Run Werami, option 1 to estimate the melt composition at peak T conditions $\left(780^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8.0 \mathrm{kbar}\right)$
Stable phases at:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{T}(\mathrm{~K})=1053.00 \\
& \mathrm{P}(\text { bar })=8000.00
\end{aligned}
$$

Phase Compositions (molar proportions):


Calculate the re-integrated bulk compositions adding small amounts of melt to the measured bulk composition.

| melt composition ( $780^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7.6 \mathrm{kbar}$ ) |  |  | $\frac{\mathrm{BC}^{* *}}{\mathrm{~mol} \%}$ | Melt reintegrated bulk compositions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | mol* | mol\% |  | + $0.05 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ |  | + $0.10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ |  | + $0.15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ |  | + $0.20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ |  |
| SiO2 | 1.4393 | 61.57 | 79.45 | 82.5 | 78.60 | 85.6 | 77.82 | 88.7 | 77.12 | 91.8 | 76.47 |
| TiO2 | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0.57 | 0.6 | 0.54 | 0.6 | 0.52 | 0.6 | 0.50 | 0.6 | 0.48 |
| Al2O3 | 0.1797 | 7.69 | 8.60 | 9.0 | 8.56 | 9.4 | 8.52 | 9.8 | 8.48 | 10.1 | 8.45 |
| FeO | 0.0064 | 0.27 | 3.49 | 3.5 | 3.34 | 3.5 | 3.20 | 3.5 | 3.07 | 3.5 | 2.95 |
| MnO | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.1 | 0.06 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.05 |
| MgO | 0.0022 | 0.09 | 2.18 | 2.2 | 2.08 | 2.2 | 1.99 | 2.2 | 1.91 | 2.2 | 1.83 |
| CaO | 0.0111 | 0.48 | 1.11 | 1.1 | 1.08 | 1.2 | 1.05 | 1.2 | 1.03 | 1.2 | 1.00 |
| Na 2 O | 0.0719 | 3.08 | 1.50 | 1.7 | 1.58 | 1.8 | 1.64 | 2.0 | 1.71 | 2.1 | 1.76 |
| K2O | 0.0751 | 3.21 | 2.00 | 2.2 | 2.06 | 2.3 | 2.11 | 2.5 | 2.16 | 2.6 | 2.20 |
| H2O | 0.5520 | 23.61 | 1.04 | 2.2 | 2.11 | 3.4 | 3.09 | 4.6 | 3.98 | 5.8 | 4.80 |
| Total | 2.3377 | 100.00 | 100 | 105.0 | 100.00 | 110.0 | 100.00 | 115.0 | 100.00 | 120.0 | 100.00 |

* this composition has been obtained using WERAMI 1 for the pseudosection calculated using the actually measured bulk composition
** this is the measured bulk composition

Start adding a small amount of melt ( $0.05 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ); use the new "melt-reintegrated" bulk composition to calculate a new pseudosection and check if the solidus is dry or wet.
Repeat this process for progressively increasing amounts of melt reintegrated to the measured bulk composition and check the nature of the solidus each time.


The pseudosection calculated using the bulk composition obtained by re-integration of $0.20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of melt predicts a wet solidus over the entire pressure range of interest. This "melt-reintegrated" bulk composition should be therefore considered as the APPROXIMATE protolith composition.

You can use this "melt-reintegrated" pseudosection:
(a) to constrain the APPROXIMATE prograde evolution of your sample and,
(b) to estimate the MAXIMUM amount of melt that would have been produced if no melt loss occurred during the prograde evolution (i.e. if melt loss occurred in a single event, at peak P-T conditions).


## Ex. 13

## Ex. $13-\log f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ vs $\log f\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ diagram for the system C-Fe

The aim of this exercise is to calculate a $\log f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ vs. $\log f\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ diagram showing the stability fields of Fe-oxides, Fe-sulphides and graphite as a function of $f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ and $f\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ (see the figure below; Best, 2003: "Igneous and metamorphic petrology", $2^{\text {nd }}$ edition, Balckwell).
It is explained how to use CTRANSF for redefining the thermodynamic components of the database and how to deal with fugacities as independent variables. The diagram also shows compositional variations of the pyrrothite solid solution as a function of $\log f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ and allows predicting fluid speciation as a function of $\log f\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ and $\log f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$.

16.30 Stability field of graphite compared with those of Fe -oxides and Fe -sulfides at $P=2 \mathrm{kbar}, P_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=1 \mathrm{kbar}$, and $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Redrawn from Froese (1981).

## (1) Redefinition of the thermodynamic components (CTRANSF)

In order to consider the equilibria involving iron, Fe-sulphides, Fe-oxides, graphite, CO 2 and CH 4 , we need to transform the database by redefining the thermodynamic components in term of $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O} 2$ and H 2 (instead of $\mathrm{FeO}, \mathrm{CO} 2$ and H 2 O ). This can be done by CTRANSF:
$\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CO} 2-\mathrm{O} 2$
O2=CO2-C

H2=H2O-0.5 02
$\mathrm{Fe}=\mathrm{FeO}-0.502$

## Run CTRANSF:

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>ctransf

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default $=\mathrm{hp02ver}$. dat]:
hp62ver.dat

Output will be written to file: ctransf.dat

The current data base components are:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 O 2 H 2 O CO 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F2

Transform them $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y
Enter new component name, < 6 characters, left justified:
C
Enter old component to be replaced with C :
02
Enter other components (<11) in C 1 per line, <enter> to finish:
CO2

Enter stoichiometric coefficients of:
O 2 CO 2
in C (in above order):
-1
1
$\mathrm{C}=-1.00 \mathrm{O} 2 \quad 1.00 \mathrm{CO} 2$
Is this correct $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y

The current data base components are:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 C H2O CO2 CuO Cr2O3 S2 F2
Transform them ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
y
Enter new component name, < 6 characters, left justified:
02
Enter old component to be replaced with 02 :
CO2

CO2 is a possible saturated phase component. Is the new component O 2 also a possible saturated phase component $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
n
Enter other components (<11) in O2 1 per line, <enter> to finish:
C

Enter stoichiometric coefficients of:
CO2 C
in O2 (in above order):
1
-1
$\mathrm{O} 2=1.00 \mathrm{CO} 2-1.00 \mathrm{C}$
Is this correct $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y

The current data base components are:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO FeO NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 C H 2 O O 2 CuO Cr 2 O 3 S 2 F 2
Transform them ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
y
Enter new component name, < 6 characters, left justified:
H2
Enter old component to be replaced with H 2 :
H2O

H 2 O is a possible saturated phase component. Is the new component H 2 also a possible saturated phase component ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
n
Enter other components (<11) in H2 1 per line, <enter> to finish:
02

Enter stoichiometric coefficients of:
$\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} \quad \mathrm{O} 2$
in H2 (in above order):
1
-0.5
$\mathrm{H} 2=1.00 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}-0.50 \mathrm{O} 2$
Is this correct $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y

The current data base components are:
$\mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{MgO} \mathrm{Al} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{SiO} 2 \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{CaO} \mathrm{TiO} 2 \mathrm{MnO} \mathrm{FeO} \mathrm{NiO} \mathrm{ZrO} 2 \mathrm{Cl} 2 \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{CuO} \mathrm{Cr} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{~S} 2 \mathrm{~F} 2$
Transform them ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
y

Enter new component name, < 6 characters, left justified:
Fe
Enter old component to be replaced with Fe :
FeO
Enter other components (<11) in Fe 1 per line, <enter> to finish:
O2

```
Enter stoichiometric coefficients of:
    FeO O2
in Fe (in above order):
1
-0.5
Fe = 1.00 FeO -0.50 O2
Is this correct (Y/N)?
y
The current data base components are:
\(\mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{MgO} \mathrm{Al} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{SiO} 2 \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{CaO} \mathrm{TiO} 2 \mathrm{MnO} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{NiO} \mathrm{ZrO} 2 \mathrm{Cl} 2 \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{CuO} \mathrm{Cr} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{~S} 2 \mathrm{~F} 2\)
Transform them ( \(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}\) )?
```

n

A new file ctrans.dat has been created in the Perplex folder. This is the equivalent of hp62ver.dat, but with the $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O} 2$ and H 2 components instead of $\mathrm{FeO}, \mathrm{CO} 2$ and H 2 O . The file ctransf.dat should be specified as the thermodynamic data file in the following calculation.

## (2) Definition of the problem (BUILD)

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>build

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

NO is the default (blank) answer to all $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ prompts

Enter a name for this project (the name will be used as the root for all output file names) [default = my_project]:
ex13

The problem definition file will be named: ex13.dat

Enter thermodynamic data file name [default = hp02ver.dat]:
ctransf.dat
This is the new database.

Enter the computational option file name [default = perplex_option.dat]:
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat

The current data base components are:
The current data base components are:
$\mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{MgO} \mathrm{Al} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{SiO} 2 \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{CaO} \mathrm{TiO} 2 \mathrm{MnO} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{NiO} \mathrm{ZrO} 2 \mathrm{Cl} 2 \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{CuO} \mathrm{Cr} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{~S} 2 \mathrm{~F} 2$ n

Note that $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O} 2$ and H 2 (and not $\mathrm{FeO}, \mathrm{CO} 2$ and H 2 O ) appear in the list of the database components.

Specify computational mode:
1 - Convex-Hull minimization
2 - Constrained minimization on a 2d grid [default]
3 - Constrained minimization on a 1d grid
4 - Output pseudocompound data
5 -1-d Phase fractionation
6-0-d Infiltration-reaction-fractionation
7-2-d Phase fractionation (FRAC2D and TITRATE reactive transport models)
Use Convex-Hull minimization for Schreinemakers projections or phase diagrams with > 2 independent variables. Use constrained minimization for phase diagrams or phase diagram sections with < 3 independent variables.

2
Although this type of calculation could be done with CONVEX (i.e. a $\log f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)-\operatorname{logf}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ grid), it is preferable to treat it with VERTEX as a pseudosection (using a generic bulk composition such as: $\mathrm{Fe}=1, \mathrm{H} 2=1, \mathrm{C}=0.5$ mol\%), because using a fluid model in CONVEX is costly in term of calculation time.

Calculations with saturated components $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
n

Use chemical potentials, activities or fugacities as independent variables $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ? y

We want to calculate a $\log f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ vs $\log f\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ diagram, therefore we need to use fugacities as independent variables on both the axes.

Specify a component whose chemical potential, activity or fugacity is to be independent, press <enter> to finish:
$\mathrm{Na} 2 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{MgO} \mathrm{Al} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{SiO} 2 \mathrm{~K} 2 \mathrm{O} \mathrm{CaO} \mathrm{TiO} 2 \mathrm{MnO} \mathrm{Fe} \mathrm{NiO} \mathrm{ZrO} 2 \mathrm{Cl} 2 \mathrm{C} \quad \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 2 \mathrm{CuO} \mathrm{Cr} 2 \mathrm{O} 3 \mathrm{~S} 2 \mathrm{~F} 2$ S2

Component S2 is to be characterized by:
1 - chemical potential [default]
$2-\log 10$ (fugacity)
$3-\log 10($ activity)
2
Select the phase to be used to define S2 fugacity from the following:
S S2
S2
**warning ver064** in general it is wise to exclude the unused phases from the above list from your calculation. NOTE: you will not be prompted for these phases if you select the automatic phase exclusion prompt. to exclude unused reference phases either do not select the prompt option or edit the problem definition after running BUILD
Read this note. We must remember to exclude $S$ by editing the input file.

The log10(S2 fugacity) variable is named: f_S2

Specify a component whose chemical potential, activity or fugacity is to be independent, press <enter> to finish:
In other words, if you only want one mobile component, then press <enter>
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO Fe NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 C H2 O2 CuO Cr2O3 2
02

Component O 2 is to be characterized by:
1 - chemical potential [default]
2 - $\log 10$ (fugacity)
$3-\log 10($ activity)
2
Phase O2 will be used to define 02 fugacity
The $\log 10$ ( O 2 fugacity) variable is named: $\mathrm{f} \_\mathrm{O} 2$
Select thermodynamic components from the set:
Na 2 O MgO Al 2 O 3 SiO 2 K 2 O CaO TiO 2 MnO Fe NiO ZrO 2 Cl 2 H 2 O C CuO Cr2O3F2
Enter names, 1 per line, press <enter> to finish:
Fe
H2
C

The data base has $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$ and $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ as default independent potentials.
Make one dependent on the other, e.g., as along a geothermal gradient ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ )?
N

Select $x$-axis variable:
1-P(bar)
2-T(K)
3-f_S2
4-f_O2
5 - Composition X_C1* (user defined)
*X_C1 can not be selected as the $y$-axis variable
3

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: f_S2
-15
5
**WARNING** f_S2 is the base 10 log of activity/fugacity; therefore f_S2 implies supersaturation with respect to the reference phase.
Specify new values ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
n

Select y-axis variable:
2-T(K)
3-P(bar)
4-f_O2
4

Enter minimum and maximum values, respectively, for: f_O2
-25
-5

Specify sectioning value for: $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})$
2000

Specify sectioning value for: $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$
973

For gridded minimization, grid resolution is determined by the number of levels (grid_levels) and the resolution at the lowest level in the $X$ - and $Y$-directions (x_nodes and $y_{-}$nodes) these parameters are currently set for the exploratory and autorefine cycles as follows:
stage grid_levels xnodes ynodes effective resolution
exploratory $140 \quad 40 \quad 40 \times 40$ nodes
auto-refine $4 \quad 60 \quad 60 \quad 473 \times 473$ nodes
To change these options edit or create the file perplex_option.dat
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_options.html\#grid_parameters
Specify component amounts by mass ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) ?
n

The amounts you enter next need not be normalized; regardless of units, they define the molar amount of the system

Enter the molar amounts of the components:
Fe H2 C
for the bulk composition of interest:
1

1
0.5
**warning ver110** in this mode Perple_X will not check whether conditions are supersaturated with respect to mobile components.
To explicitly compute saturation surfaces:

1) use convex hull optimization and do not use activities or fugacities as independent variables
2) or compute the saturation surface with FLUIDS or FRENDLY.

Output a print file $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y
**warning ver051** cannot make hmjL because of missing data or an invalid definition in the thermodynamic data file.

Exclude pure and/or endmember phases (Y/N)?
Y
Do you want to be prompted for phases ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ )?
y
Exclude fper $\quad(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ ?
y

```
Exclude hem (Y/N)?
n
Exclude mt (Y/N)?
n
Exclude gth (Y/N)?
y
Exclude sid (Y/N)?
n
Exclude pyr (Y/N)?
n
Exclude trot (Y/N)?
N
Exclude tro (Y/N)?
y
Exclude lot (Y/N)?
y
Exclude trov (Y/N)?
N
The pyrrothite solution model is defined by two end-members: trot (FeS) and trov (Feo.88S). tro and lot
should be excluded.
Exclude iron (Y/N)?
n
Exclude gph (Y/N)?
n
Exclude diam (Y/N)?
y
Exclude H2O (Y/N)?
n
Exclude CO2 (Y/N)?
n
Exclude CO (Y/N)?
Y
You can exclude CO to speed up the calculation.
Exclude CH4 (Y/N)?
n
Exclude H2 (Y/N)?
n
Exclude H2S (Y/N)?
n
Exclude h2oL (Y/N)?
y
Exclude fbr (Y/N)?
y
Include solution models (Y/N)?
y
```

Enter the solution model file name [default = solution_model.dat]:
enter
...
Select models from the following list, enter 1 per line, press <enter> to finish
electrolytic_fluid models Aq_yuri Aq_solven0
fluid models: $\quad \mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{F}$ (salt) $\mathrm{COHF} \quad \mathrm{COH}$-Fluid COH -Fluid+
WADDAH C-H-Fluid HOS-Fluid
pyrrhotite models: $\quad \mathrm{Po}(\mathrm{HP})$
gas models: CCO-vapor
For details on these models see: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_solution_model_glossary.html or read the commentary in the solution model file.
$\mathrm{COH}-$ Fluid
Po(HP)

Enter calculation title:
Ex13

## (3) Doing the calculation (VERTEX)

## Before running CONVEX, remember to edit the input file by excluding " S ".

Run CONVEX to make the calculation:

C: \PERPLEX\Perplex686>vertex

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
ex13
...
...

## (4) Plotting the calculated phase diagram (PSSECT)

Run PSSECT to plot the calculated phase diagram.
C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>pssect

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project or plot file name [i.e., without the .plt suffix]:
ex13

Perple_X plot options are currently set as:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
axis_label_scale $\quad 1.20 \quad[1.2]$ (rel)
bounding_box:


To change these options edit or create the plot option file
See: www.perplex.ethz.ch/perplex_plot_options.html

PostScript will be written to file: ex13.ps

Modify the default plot (y/n)?
n


## (5) Calculating fluid speciation and pyrrothite composition (WERAMI)

Fluid speciation (for the specified P-T conditions and system composition) and pyrrothite composition as a function of $f\left(\mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ can be calculated using WERAMI.

## C:\PERPLEX\Perplex686>werami

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.

Enter the project name (the name assigned in BUILD) [default = my_project]:
ex13

Reading Perple_X options from: perplex_option.dat
Writing Perple_X option summary to file: not requested

Perple_X version 6.8.6, source updated Jan 24, 2019.
Copyright (C) 1986-2019 James A D Connolly <www.perplex.ethz/copyright.html>.
Perple_X computational option settings for WERAMI:
Keyword: Value: Permitted values [default]:
Input/Output options:



Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
1 - Specific Enthalpy (J/m3)
2 - Density (kg/m3)
3 - Specific heat capacity ( $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{m} 3$ )

4 - Expansivity (1/K, for volume)
5 - Compressibility (1/bar, for volume)
6 - Composition (Mol, Mass, or Wt\%) of the system
7 - Mode (Vol, Mol, or Wt proportion) of a phase
8 - Composition (Mol, Mass, or Wt\%) of a solution phase
9 - Grueneisen thermal ratio
10 - Adiabatic bulk modulus (bar)
11 - Adiabatic shear modulus (bar)
12 - Sound velocity (km/s)
13 - P-wave velocity (Vp, km/s)
14 - S-wave velocity (Vs, km/s)
15 - Vp/Vs
16 - Specific entropy ( $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{K} / \mathrm{m} 3$ )
17 - Entropy (J/K/kg)
18 - Enthalpy (J/kg)
19 - Heat Capacity (J/K/kg)
20 - Specific mass of a phase ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m} 3$-system)
21 - Poisson ratio
22 - Molar Volume (J/bar)
23 - Dependent potentials (J/mol, bar, K)
24 - Assemblage Index
25 - Modes of all phases
26 - Sound velocity T derivative (km/s/K)
27 - P-wave velocity T derivative (km/s/K)
28 - S-wave velocity T derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{K}$ )
29 - Adiabatic bulk modulus T derivative (bar/K)
30 - Shear modulus T derivative (bar/K)
31 - Sound velocity $P$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{bar}$ )
32 - P -wave velocity $P$ derivative ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{bar}$ )
33 - S-wave velocity P derivative (km/s/bar)
34 - Adiabatic bulk modulus P derivative (unitless)
35 - Shear modulus $P$ derivative (unitless)
36 - All phase \&/or system properties
37 - Absolute amount (Vol, Mol, or Wt) of a phase
38 - Multiple property output
39 - Heat capacity ratio (Cp/Cv)
40 - Lagged or back-calculated aqueous solute chemistry
8

Enter solution (left justified):

## COH -Fluid

Define the composition in terms of the species/endmembers of COH -Fluid $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?

Answer no to define a composition in terms of the systems components.
Units (mass or molar) are controlled by the composition keyword in perplex_option.dat.
y
Compositions are defined as a ratio of the form:
Sum $\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=1, c 1\right\} / \operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} y(i), i=c 2, c 3\right\}$
$y(j)=$ mole fraction of species $j$

```
w(j) = weighting factor of species j (usually 1)
```

How many species in the numerator of the composition (<13)?
1

Enter species indices and weighting factors for the numerator:
1-CO2
2 - CH4
3-H2S
4-H2
5-H2O
1
1

How many species in the denominator of the composition (<12)?
Enter zero to use the numerator as a composition.
0

The compositional variable is: 1.0 CO 2
Change it $(y / n)$ ?
n

This composition will be designated: $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{COH}-$ Fluid1]

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
8

Enter solution (left justified):
COH-Fluid

Define the composition in terms of the species/endmembers of COH -Fluid $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?

Answer no to define a composition in terms of the systems components.
Units (mass or molar) are controlled by the composition keyword in perplex_option.dat.
y

Compositions are defined as a ratio of the form:
$\operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=1, c 1\right\} / \operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} y(i), i=c 2, c 3\right\}$
$y(j)=$ mole fraction of species $j$
$w(j)=$ weighting factor of species $j$ (usually 1)

How many species in the numerator of the composition (<13)?
1

Enter species indices and weighting factors for the numerator:
1-CO2
2 - CH4
3-H2S
4-H2

$$
5-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}
$$

How many species in the denominator of the composition (<12)?
Enter zero to use the numerator as a composition.
0

The compositional variable is: 1.0 CH 4

Change it ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
n

This composition will be designated: C[COH-Fluid2]

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
8

Enter solution (left justified):
COH-Fluid

Define the composition in terms of the species/endmembers of COH -Fluid $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?

Answer no to define a composition in terms of the systems components.
Units (mass or molar) are controlled by the composition keyword in perplex_option.dat.
y

Compositions are defined as a ratio of the form:
$\operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=1, c 1\right\} / \operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} y(i), i=c 2, c 3\right\}$
$y(j)=$ mole fraction of species $j$
$w(j)=$ weighting factor of species $j$ (usually 1)

How many species in the numerator of the composition (<13)?
1

Enter species indices and weighting factors for the numerator:
1-CO2
2 - CH4
3-H2S
4-H2
5-H2O
3
1

How many species in the denominator of the composition (<12)?
Enter zero to use the numerator as a composition.
0

The compositional variable is: 1.0 H 2 S

## Change it (y/n)?

n

This composition will be designated: $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{COH}$-Fluid3]

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
8

Enter solution (left justified):
COH -Fluid

Define the composition in terms of the species/endmembers of COH -Fluid $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?

Answer no to define a composition in terms of the systems components.
Units (mass or molar) are controlled by the composition keyword in perplex_option.dat.
y

Compositions are defined as a ratio of the form:
Sum $\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=1, c 1\right\} / \operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} y(i), i=c 2, c 3\right\}$
$y(j)=$ mole fraction of species $j$
$w(j)=$ weighting factor of species $j$ (usually 1 )

How many species in the numerator of the composition (<13)?
1

Enter species indices and weighting factors for the numerator:
1-CO2
2 - CH4
3-H2S
4-H2
$5-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$
4
1

How many species in the denominator of the composition (<12)?
Enter zero to use the numerator as a composition.
0

The compositional variable is: 1.0 H 2

Change it ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) ?
n

This composition will be designated: C[COH-Fluid4]

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
8

Enter solution (left justified):
COH -Fluid

Define the composition in terms of the species/endmembers of COH-Fluid $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?

Answer no to define a composition in terms of the systems components.
Units (mass or molar) are controlled by the composition keyword in perplex_option.dat.
y

Compositions are defined as a ratio of the form:
$\operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} n(i), i=1, c 1\right\} / \operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} y(i), i=c 2, c 3\right\}$
$y(j)=$ mole fraction of species $j$
$\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{j})=$ weighting factor of species j (usually 1 )

How many species in the numerator of the composition (<13)?
1

Enter species indices and weighting factors for the numerator:
1 - CO2
2 - CH4
3-H2S
4-H2
$5-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$
5
1

How many species in the denominator of the composition (<12)?
Enter zero to use the numerator as a composition.
0

The compositional variable is: 1.0 H 2

Change it (y/n)?
n

This composition will be designated: $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{COH}-$ Fluid5]

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
8

Enter solution (left justified):
Po(HP)

Define the composition in terms of the species/endmembers of $\mathrm{Po}(\mathrm{HP}) \quad(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ ?

Answer no to define a composition in terms of the systems components.
Units (mass or molar) are controlled by the composition keyword in perplex_option.dat.
y

Compositions are defined as a ratio of the form:
Sum $\{w(i) * n(i), i=1, c 1\} / \operatorname{Sum}\left\{w(i)^{*} y(i), i=c 2, c 3\right\}$
$y(j)=$ mole fraction of species $j$

```
w(j) = weighting factor of species j (usually 1)
```

How many species in the numerator of the composition (<13)?
1

Enter species indices and weighting factors for the numerator:
1 - trov
2 - trot
1
1

How many species in the denominator of the composition (<12)?
Enter zero to use the numerator as a composition.
0

The compositional variable is: 1.0 trov
Change it $(y / n)$ ?
n

This composition will be designated: $\mathrm{C}[\mathrm{Po}(\mathrm{HP}) 6]$

Select a property [enter 0 to finish]:
0
n

Select the grid resolution (to use an arbitrary grid set sample_on_grid to F):
1 - $60 \times 60$ nodes
2-119x 119 nodes
3-237x 237 nodes
4-473 x 473 nodes [default]
4
${ }^{* *}$ warning ver178** at $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})=973.0 \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{bar})=2000$.
the shear modulus of: iron is missing or invalid and has been estimated from the default poisson ratio


Output has been written to the 2d tab format file: EX13_1.tab
2d tab format files can be processed with:
PSTABLE - a Perple_X plotting program
PERPLE_X_PLOT - a MATLAB plotting script
PYWERAMI - github.com/ondrolexa/pywerami
spread-sheet programs, e.g., EXCEL
for details on tab format refer to: perplex.ethz.ch/perplex/faq/Perple_X_tab_file_format.txt

```
Select operational mode:
    1-properties at specified conditions
    2 - properties on a 2d grid
    3-properties along a 1d path
    4-as in 3, but input from file
    0-EXIT
0
```




The dashed fields represent unrealistic conditions (almost pure H 2 S or CH 4 fluid, in blue and red, respectively). The stability field of graphite in equilibrium with a C-O-H fluid is represented in white. The coexistence of graphite and magnetite or pyrrothite strongly constrains the oxidation conditions of the system.


[^0]:    ** Starting auto_refine computational stage **
    $0.3 \%$ done with low level grid.
    2.9\% done with low level grid.
    5.4\% done with low level grid.
    8.0\% done with low level grid.
    $10.5 \%$ done with low level grid.
    $13.1 \%$ done with low level grid.
    $15.7 \%$ done with low level grid.
    $18.2 \%$ done with low level grid.
    $20.8 \%$ done with low level grid.

[^1]:    154 | Updated: 2019, January 28

